The vortex ansatz as a fertile testing ground for certain systems of PDE

Vamsi Pritham Pingali

Indian Institute of Science

The bottom line

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction the vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction the vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.
- Has been applied to

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction the vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.
- Has been applied to Hermitian-Einstein metrics (GP),

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction the vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.
- Has been applied to Hermitian-Einstein metrics (GP), the KYM equations (GP-GF-AC-P-Y),

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction the vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.
- Has been applied to Hermitian-Einstein metrics (GP), the KYM equations (GP-GF-AC-P-Y), the vector bundle MA equation (P),

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction the vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.
- Has been applied to Hermitian-Einstein metrics (GP), the KYM equations (GP-GF-AC-P-Y), the vector bundle MA equation (P), Gieseker stability (Ghosh),

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction the vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.
- Has been applied to Hermitian-Einstein metrics (GP), the KYM equations (GP-GF-AC-P-Y), the vector bundle MA equation (P), Gieseker stability (Ghosh), CYM equations (P, Ghosh),

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction the vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.
- Has been applied to Hermitian-Einstein metrics (GP), the KYM equations (GP-GF-AC-P-Y), the vector bundle MA equation (P), Gieseker stability (Ghosh), CYM equations (P, Ghosh), the Demailly systems (Mandal), and

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction the vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.
- Has been applied to Hermitian-Einstein metrics (GP), the KYM equations (GP-GF-AC-P-Y), the vector bundle MA equation (P), Gieseker stability (Ghosh), CYM equations (P, Ghosh), the Demailly systems (Mandal), and the vector bundle J-equation (Takahashi)

The bottom line

- The vortex ansatz produces vector bundles with many symmetries.
- It can be used to dimensionally reduce several PDE to simpler PDE.
- Since systems of PDE might be an important future direction the vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.
- Has been applied to Hermitian-Einstein metrics (GP), the KYM equations (GP-GF-AC-P-Y), the vector bundle MA equation (P), Gieseker stability (Ghosh), CYM equations (P, Ghosh), the Demailly systems (Mandal), and the vector bundle J-equation (Takahashi) to prove Kobayashi-Hitchin-Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau-type correspondences.

The vortex ansatz

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^* \omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau} \pi_2^* \omega_{FS})$ where

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^* \omega_{\Sigma} + \frac{4}{\tau} \pi_2^* \omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^*\omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0).

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^* \omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau} \pi_2^* \omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^*\omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$$

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^*\omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$$

- $L_1 = \pi_1^*((r_1+1)L) \otimes \pi_2^*(r_2\mathcal{O}(2))$

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^*\omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$$

- $L_1 = \pi_1^*((r_1+1)L) \otimes \pi_2^*(r_2\mathcal{O}(2))$
- $L_2 = \pi_1^*(r_1 L) \otimes \pi_2^*((r_2+1)\mathcal{O}(2))$

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S=\Sigma\times\mathbb{CP}^1, \omega=\pi_1^*\omega_\Sigma+\frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L,h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0\to L_1\to V\to L_2\to 0$$

- $L_1=\pi_1^*((r_1+1)L)\otimes\pi_2^*(r_2\mathcal{O}(2))$
- $L_2=\pi_1^*(r_1L)\otimes\pi_2^*((r_2+1)\mathcal{O}(2))$
- If $\phi\in H^0(\Sigma,L)$, V has second fundamental form $\pi_1^*\phi\otimes\pi_2^*\zeta$ where $\zeta=\frac{\sqrt{8\pi}dz}{\tau(1+|z|^2)}\otimes d\bar{z}$.

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^* \omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$$

- $L_1 = \pi_1^*((r_1+1)L) \otimes \pi_2^*(r_2\mathcal{O}(2))$
- $L_2 = \pi_1^*(r_1 L) \otimes \pi_2^*((r_2+1)\mathcal{O}(2))$
- If $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$, V has second fundamental form $\pi_1^*\phi \otimes \pi_2^*\zeta$ where $\zeta = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi}dz}{\tau(1+|z|^2)} \otimes d\bar{z}$.
- Consider a smooth metric h on L and

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^*\omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$$

- $L_1 = \pi_1^*((r_1+1)L) \otimes \pi_2^*(r_2\mathcal{O}(2))$
- $L_2 = \pi_1^*(r_1 L) \otimes \pi_2^*((r_2+1)\mathcal{O}(2))$
- If $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$, V has second fundamental form $\pi_1^*\phi \otimes \pi_2^*\zeta$ where $\zeta = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi}dz}{\tau(1+|z|^2)} \otimes d\bar{z}$.
- Consider a smooth metric h on L and a smooth function f_2 on Σ.

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^* \omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau} \pi_2^* \omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$$

- $L_1 = \pi_1^*((r_1+1)L) \otimes \pi_2^*(r_2 \mathcal{O}(2))$
- $L_2 = \pi_1^*(r_1 L) \otimes \pi_2^*((r_2+1)\mathcal{O}(2))$
- If $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$, V has second fundamental form $\pi_1^* \phi \otimes \pi_2^* \zeta$ where $\zeta = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi} dz}{\tau(1+|z|^2)} \otimes d\bar{z}$.
- Consider a smooth metric h on L and a smooth function f_2 on Σ. Put $h_1 = \pi_1^*(h f_2 \frac{8\pi}{\tau} h_0^{r_1}) \otimes \pi_2^*(h_{FS}^{2r_2})$ on L_1 and

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^*\omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$$

- $L_1 = \pi_1^*((r_1+1)L) \otimes \pi_2^*(r_2\mathcal{O}(2))$
- $L_2 = \pi_1^*(r_1 L) \otimes \pi_2^*((r_2+1)\mathcal{O}(2))$
- If $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$, V has second fundamental form $\pi_1^*\phi \otimes \pi_2^*\zeta$ where $\zeta = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi}dz}{\tau(1+|z|^2)} \otimes d\bar{z}$.
- Consider a smooth metric h on L and a smooth function f_2 on Σ. Put $h_1 = \pi_1^*(hf_2\frac{8\pi}{\tau}h_0^{r_1}) \otimes \pi_2^*(h_{FS}^{2r_2})$ on L_1 and $h_2 = \pi_1^*(f_2 h_0^{r_1}) \otimes \pi_2^*(h_{FS}^{2r_2+2})$ on L_2.

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^*\omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$$

- $L_1 = \pi_1^*((r_1+1)L) \otimes \pi_2^*(r_2\mathcal{O}(2))$
- $L_2 = \pi_1^*(r_1 L) \otimes \pi_2^*((r_2+1)\mathcal{O}(2))$
- If $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$, V has second fundamental form $\pi_1^*\phi \otimes \pi_2^*\zeta$ where $\zeta = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi}dz}{\tau(1+|z|^2)} \otimes d\bar{z}$.
- Consider a smooth metric h on L and a smooth function f_2 on Σ. Put $h_1 = \pi_1^*(hf_2\frac{8\pi}{\tau}h_0^{r_1}) \otimes \pi_2^*(h_{FS}^{2r_2})$ on L_1 and $h_2 = \pi_1^*(f_2 h_0^{r_1}) \otimes \pi_2^*(h_{FS}^{2r_2+2})$ on L_2.
- Can be extended

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^*\omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$$

- $L_1 = \pi_1^*((r_1+1)L) \otimes \pi_2^*(r_2\mathcal{O}(2))$
- $L_2 = \pi_1^*(r_1 L) \otimes \pi_2^*((r_2+1)\mathcal{O}(2))$
- If $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$, V has second fundamental form $\pi_1^*\phi \otimes \pi_2^*\zeta$ where $\zeta = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi}dz}{\tau(1+|z|^2)} \otimes d\bar{z}$.
- Consider a smooth metric h on L and a smooth function f_2 on Σ. Put $h_1 = \pi_1^*(hf_2\frac{8\pi}{\tau}h_0^{r_1}) \otimes \pi_2^*(h_{FS}^{2r_2})$ on L_1 and $h_2 = \pi_1^*(f_2 h_0^{r_1}) \otimes \pi_2^*(h_{FS}^{2r_2+2})$ on L_2.
- Can be extended to higher ranks

The vortex ansatz

- Take $(S = \Sigma \times \mathbb{CP}^1, \omega = \pi_1^*\omega_\Sigma + \frac{4}{\tau}\pi_2^*\omega_{FS})$ where Σ is a compact Riemann surface and ω_Σ is the curvature of an ample bundle (L, h_0). $SU(2)$ acts on S.
- Let V be an extension

$$0 \to L_1 \to V \to L_2 \to 0$$

- $L_1 = \pi_1^*((r_1+1)L) \otimes \pi_2^*(r_2\mathcal{O}(2))$
- $L_2 = \pi_1^*(r_1 L) \otimes \pi_2^*((r_2+1)\mathcal{O}(2))$
- If $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$, V has second fundamental form $\pi_1^*\phi \otimes \pi_2^*\zeta$ where $\zeta = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi}dz}{\tau(1+|z|^2)} \otimes d\bar{z}$.
- Consider a smooth metric h on L and a smooth function f_2 on Σ. Put $h_1 = \pi_1^*(hf_2\frac{8\pi}{\tau}h_0^{r_1}) \otimes \pi_2^*(h_{FS}^{2r_2})$ on L_1 and $h_2 = \pi_1^*(f_2 h_0^{r_1}) \otimes \pi_2^*(h_{FS}^{2r_2+2})$ on L_2.
- Can be extended to higher ranks and higher-dimensional Σ.

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda \omega^2$ on a vortex bundle

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda \omega^2$ on a vortex bundle boils down to the

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda \omega^2$ on a vortex bundle boils down to the vortex equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = \frac{\tau - |\phi|_h^2}{2}\omega_\Sigma$.

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda \omega^2$ on a vortex bundle boils down to the vortex equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = \frac{\tau - |\phi|_h^2}{2}\omega_\Sigma$.
- This equation can be solved

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda \omega^2$ on a vortex bundle boils down to the vortex equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = \frac{\tau - |\phi|_h^2}{2}\omega_\Sigma$.
- This equation can be solved using the Kazdan-Warner theory (Garcia-Prada)

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda \omega^2$ on a vortex bundle boils down to the vortex equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = \frac{\tau - |\phi|_h^2}{2}\omega_\Sigma$.
- This equation can be solved using the Kazdan-Warner theory (Garcia-Prada) iff $c_1(L) < \frac{\tau(Vol(\Sigma))}{4\pi}$, which is

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda \omega^2$ on a vortex bundle boils down to the vortex equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = \frac{\tau - |\phi|_h^2}{2}\omega_\Sigma$.
- This equation can be solved using the Kazdan-Warner theory (Garcia-Prada) iff $c_1(L) < \frac{\tau(Vol(\Sigma))}{4\pi}$, which is precisely the Mumford stability condition

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda \omega^2$ on a vortex bundle boils down to the vortex equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = \frac{\tau - |\phi|_h^2}{2}\omega_\Sigma$.
- This equation can be solved using the Kazdan-Warner theory (Garcia-Prada) iff $c_1(L) < \frac{\tau(Vol(\Sigma))}{4\pi}$, which is precisely the Mumford stability condition for invariant subsheaves.

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda\omega^2$ on a vortex bundle boils down to the vortex equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = \frac{\tau - |\phi|_h^2}{2}\omega_\Sigma$.
- This equation can be solved using the Kazdan-Warner theory (Garcia-Prada) iff $c_1(L) < \frac{\tau(Vol(\Sigma))}{4\pi}$, which is precisely the Mumford stability condition for invariant subsheaves.
- Solving using the method of continuity:

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda\omega^2$ on a vortex bundle boils down to the vortex equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = \frac{\tau - |\phi|_h^2}{2}\omega_\Sigma$.
- This equation can be solved using the Kazdan-Warner theory (Garcia-Prada) iff $c_1(L) < \frac{\tau(Vol(\Sigma))}{4\pi}$, which is precisely the Mumford stability condition for invariant subsheaves.
- Solving using the method of continuity: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f_t = u^{1-t}\frac{\tau - |\phi|_{h_0}^2 e^{-f_t}}{2}\omega_\Sigma$ where

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- The Hermitian-Einstein equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega = \lambda \omega^2$ on a vortex bundle boils down to the vortex equation $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = \frac{\tau - |\phi|_h^2}{2}\omega_{\Sigma}$.
- This equation can be solved using the Kazdan-Warner theory (Garcia-Prada) iff $c_1(L) < \frac{\tau(Vol(\Sigma))}{4\pi}$, which is precisely the Mumford stability condition for invariant subsheaves.
- Solving using the method of continuity: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} f_t = u^{1-t}\frac{\tau - |\phi|_{h_0}^2 e^{-f_t}}{2}\omega_{\Sigma}$ where $f_0 = 0$, $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_0 = c\omega_{\Sigma} > 0$, $|\phi|_{h_0}^2 < \frac{\tau}{2}$, and $u = \frac{2c}{\tau - |\phi|_{h_0}^2}$.

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation:

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_{\Sigma}$

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_{\Sigma}$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_{\Sigma}$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism.

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_{\Sigma}$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT,

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_{\Sigma}$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT, the set of $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solving the equation

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_{\Sigma}$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT, the set of $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solving the equation is open.

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_\Sigma$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT, the set of $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solving the equation is open.
- Closedness:

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_\Sigma$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT, the set of $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solving the equation is open.
- Closedness: Since $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\phi|^2_{h_t} = -\sqrt{-1}\Theta_t|\phi|^2_{h_t} + \nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger$,

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_{\Sigma}$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT, the set of $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solving the equation is open.
- Closedness: Since $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\phi|^2_{h_t} = -\sqrt{-1}\Theta_t|\phi|^2_{h_t} + \nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^{\dagger}$, by max. princ. $|\phi|^2_{h_t} \leq \tau$.

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_\Sigma$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT, the set of $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solving the equation is open.
- Closedness: Since $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\phi|^2_{h_t} = -\sqrt{-1}\Theta_t|\phi|^2_{h_t} + \nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger$, by max. princ. $|\phi|^2_{h_t} \leq \tau$. By max prin,

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_{\Sigma}$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT, the set of $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solving the equation is open.
- Closedness: Since $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\phi|^2_{h_t} = -\sqrt{-1}\Theta_t|\phi|^2_{h_t} + \nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^{\dagger}$, by max. princ. $|\phi|^2_{h_t} \leq \tau$. By max prin, $\|f_t\| \leq C$ (when $0 < \delta \leq t \leq 1$) and hence

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_{\Sigma}$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT, the set of $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solving the equation is open.
- Closedness: Since $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\phi|^2_{h_t} = -\sqrt{-1}\Theta_t|\phi|^2_{h_t} + \nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^{\dagger}$, by max. princ. $|\phi|^2_{h_t} \leq \tau$. By max prin, $\|f_t\| \leq C$ (when $0 < \delta \leq t \leq 1$) and hence by elliptic theory we have a priori estimates.

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_\Sigma$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT, the set of $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solving the equation is open.
- Closedness: Since $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\phi|^2_{h_t} = -\sqrt{-1}\Theta_t|\phi|^2_{h_t} + \nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger$, by max. princ. $|\phi|^2_{h_t} \leq \tau$. By max prin, $\|f_t\| \leq C$ (when $0 < \delta \leq t \leq 1$) and hence by elliptic theory we have a priori estimates. By Arzela-Ascoli we are done.

Hermitian-Einstein (HE) metrics

- Linearisation: $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}v - u^{1-t}\frac{|\phi|^2_{h_0}e^{-f_t}}{2}v\omega_{\Sigma}$ whose kernel is trivial and is hence an isomorphism. By the inf.dim. IFT, the set of $0 \leq t \leq 1$ solving the equation is open.
- Closedness: Since $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\phi|^2_{h_t} = -\sqrt{-1}\Theta_t|\phi|^2_{h_t} + \nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^{\dagger}$, by max. princ. $|\phi|^2_{h_t} \leq \tau$. By max prin, $\|f_t\| \leq C$ (when $0 < \delta \leq t \leq 1$) and hence by elliptic theory we have a priori estimates. By Arzela-Ascoli we are done.
- Uniqueness is by max prin.

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E),

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied)

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE:

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model:

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$.

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations:

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n - Ce^{-\phi} + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n - Ce^{-\phi} + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Further generalisations due to Schlitzer-Stoppa and Scarpa-Stoppa.

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n - Ce^{-\phi} + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Further generalisations due to Schlitzer-Stoppa and Scarpa-Stoppa.
- The vortex ansatz provides

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n - Ce^{-\phi} + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Further generalisations due to Schlitzer-Stoppa and Scarpa-Stoppa.
- The vortex ansatz provides examples of solutions by reducing

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda\omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda\omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda\omega^n Id$,
$\omega^n - Ce^{-\phi} + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Further generalisations due to Schlitzer-Stoppa and Scarpa-Stoppa.
- The vortex ansatz provides examples of solutions by reducing the PDE to a system on a Riemann surface.

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n - Ce^{-\phi} + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Further generalisations due to Schlitzer-Stoppa and Scarpa-Stoppa.
- The vortex ansatz provides examples of solutions by reducing the PDE to a system on a Riemann surface. AC,GF, GP, P, and Yao studied the resulting gravitating vortex equations. (

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n - Ce^{-\phi} + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Further generalisations due to Schlitzer-Stoppa and Scarpa-Stoppa.
- The vortex ansatz provides examples of solutions by reducing the PDE to a system on a Riemann surface. AC,GF, GP, P, and Yao studied the resulting gravitating vortex equations. (A special case is EB equations studied extensively by Yang.)

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n - Ce^{-\phi} + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Further generalisations due to Schlitzer-Stoppa and Scarpa-Stoppa.
- The vortex ansatz provides examples of solutions by reducing the PDE to a system on a Riemann surface. AC,GF, GP, P, and Yao studied the resulting gravitating vortex equations. (A special case is EB equations studied extensively by Yang.) Ghosh proved existence for

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda\omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda\omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda\omega^n Id$, $\omega^n - Ce^{-\phi} + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Further generalisations due to Schlitzer-Stoppa and Scarpa-Stoppa.
- The vortex ansatz provides examples of solutions by reducing the PDE to a system on a Riemann surface. AC,GF, GP, P, and Yao studied the resulting gravitating vortex equations. (A special case is EB equations studied extensively by Yang.) Ghosh proved existence for the CYM equations, and

Kähler-Yang-Mills (KYM) and offshoots

- Motivated by the moduli problem for triples (M, L, E), and physical considerations AC, GF, and GP came up with (and studied) the KYM *system* of PDE: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $S_\omega \omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Likewise, we came up with a toy model: The CYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = \eta$. Ghosh came up with a KE version of the KYM equations: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \lambda \omega^n Id$, $\omega^n - Ce^{-\phi} + \alpha tr((\sqrt{-1}\Theta)^2)\omega^{n-2} = c\omega^n$.
- Further generalisations due to Schlitzer-Stoppa and Scarpa-Stoppa.
- The vortex ansatz provides examples of solutions by reducing the PDE to a system on a Riemann surface. AC,GF, GP, P, and Yao studied the resulting gravitating vortex equations. (A special case is EB equations studied extensively by Yang.) Ghosh proved existence for the CYM equations, and almost HE equations (Gieseker stability) with this ansatz.

Vector bundle Mongе-Ampére equation

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics.

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability,

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions:

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE?

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question,

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question, a line bundle version of it (

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question, a line bundle version of it (higher Chern character classes)

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question, a line bundle version of it (higher Chern character classes) is the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills (dHYM) equation (Jacob, Yau, Collins, Xie, Han, Jin, G. Chen, Chu, Lee, Takahashi, Ballal, P, etc).

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question, a line bundle version of it (higher Chern character classes) is the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills (dHYM) equation (Jacob, Yau, Collins, Xie, Han, Jin, G. Chen, Chu, Lee, Takahashi, Ballal, P, etc).
- The dHYM equation

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question, a line bundle version of it (higher Chern character classes) is the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills (dHYM) equation (Jacob, Yau, Collins, Xie, Han, Jin, G. Chen, Chu, Lee, Takahashi, Ballal, P, etc).
- The dHYM equation in the case of surfaces boils down to

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question, a line bundle version of it (higher Chern character classes) is the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills (dHYM) equation (Jacob, Yau, Collins, Xie, Han, Jin, G. Chen, Chu, Lee, Takahashi, Ballal, P, etc).
- The dHYM equation in the case of surfaces boils down to the usual Monge-Ampère equation

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question, a line bundle version of it (higher Chern character classes) is the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills (dHYM) equation (Jacob, Yau, Collins, Xie, Han, Jin, G. Chen, Chu, Lee, Takahashi, Ballal, P, etc).
- The dHYM equation in the case of surfaces boils down to the usual Monge-Ampère equation $\omega_\phi^n = \eta$.

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question, a line bundle version of it (higher Chern character classes) is the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills (dHYM) equation (Jacob, Yau, Collins, Xie, Han, Jin, G. Chen, Chu, Lee, Takahashi, Ballal, P, etc).
- The dHYM equation in the case of surfaces boils down to the usual Monge-Ampère equation $\omega_\phi^n = \eta$.
- Motivated by this observation,

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question, a line bundle version of it (higher Chern character classes) is the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills (dHYM) equation (Jacob, Yau, Collins, Xie, Han, Jin, G. Chen, Chu, Lee, Takahashi, Ballal, P, etc).
- The dHYM equation in the case of surfaces boils down to the usual Monge-Ampère equation $\omega_\phi^n = \eta$.
- Motivated by this observation, we came up with

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Mumford stability involves the first Chern class and corresponds to HE metrics. As a consequence of solvability, the Kobayashi-Lübke-Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality is met.
- Natural questions: Is there a (non-asymptotic) stability condition involving higher Chern classes that corresponds to a PDE? If so, does its solvability imply inequalities between classes other than c_1, c_2?
- For the second question, a line bundle version of it (higher Chern character classes) is the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills (dHYM) equation (Jacob, Yau, Collins, Xie, Han, Jin, G. Chen, Chu, Lee, Takahashi, Ballal, P, etc).
- The dHYM equation in the case of surfaces boils down to the usual Monge-Ampère equation $\omega_\phi^n = \eta$.
- Motivated by this observation, we came up with the vector bundle Monge-Ampère equation:

$$(\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h)^n = \eta Id.$$

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation.

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation.

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability:

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf,

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$.

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E, h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M,

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E, h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive,

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n=1$: HE equation. For $r=1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E,h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive, then E is MA-stable.

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n=1$: HE equation. For $r=1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E,h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive, then E is MA-stable.
- MA-positivity:

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E, h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive, then E is MA-stable.
- MA-positivity: $\displaystyle\int_M \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{tr}\left(a\left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^k a^{\dagger}\left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1-k}\right) > 0.$

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E, h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive, then E is MA-stable.
- MA-positivity: $\displaystyle\int_M \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{tr}\left(a\left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^k a^\dagger \left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1-k}\right) > 0.$
- We came up with a

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E, h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive, then E is MA-stable.
- MA-positivity: $\displaystyle\int_M \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{tr}\left(a\left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^k a^\dagger \left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1-k}\right) > 0.$
- We came up with a moment map interpretation (and a

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n=1$: HE equation. For $r=1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E,h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive, then E is MA-stable.
- MA-positivity: $\displaystyle\int_M \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{tr}\left(a\left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^k a^\dagger \left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1-k}\right) > 0.$
- We came up with a moment map interpretation (and a pre-quantum line bundle).

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n=1$: HE equation. For $r=1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E,h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive, then E is MA-stable.
- MA-positivity: $\displaystyle\int_M \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{tr}\left(a\left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^k a^\dagger \left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1-k}\right) > 0.$
- We came up with a moment map interpretation (and a pre-quantum line bundle).
- Chern class inequality for

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E, h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive, then E is MA-stable.
- MA-positivity: $\displaystyle\int_M \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{tr}\left(a\left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^k a^\dagger \left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1-k}\right) > 0.$
- We came up with a moment map interpretation (and a pre-quantum line bundle).
- Chern class inequality for rank-2 bundles on surfaces

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n=1$: HE equation. For $r=1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E,h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive, then E is MA-stable.
- MA-positivity: $\displaystyle\int_M \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{tr}\left(a\left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^k a^\dagger \left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1-k}\right) > 0.$
- We came up with a moment map interpretation (and a pre-quantum line bundle).
- Chern class inequality for rank-2 bundles on surfaces with MA-positively curved solutionsof vbMA:

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- For $n = 1$: HE equation. For $r = 1$: MA equation. Thus we expect stability and positivity to be necessary.
- MA-stability: If S is saturated coherent subsheaf, then $\frac{ch_n(S)}{rk(S)} < \frac{ch_n(E)}{rk(E)}$. P: If (E, h) is an indecomposable Hermitian holomorphic rank-2 bundle on a smooth projective surface M, such that $(i\Theta_h)^2 = \eta Id$ where $\eta > 0$ and $tr(i\Theta_h)$ is positive, then E is MA-stable.
- MA-positivity: $\int_M \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{tr}\left(a\left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^k a^\dagger \left(\frac{i\Theta_A}{2\pi}\right)^{n-1-k}\right) > 0.$
- We came up with a moment map interpretation (and a pre-quantum line bundle).
- Chern class inequality for rank-2 bundles on surfaces with MA-positively curved solutionsof vbMA: $c_1^2(E) - 4c_2(E) \leq 0$.

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces:

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture:

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is Mumford stable w.r.t L,

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is Mumford stable w.r.t L, then $E \otimes L^k$ admits an MA-positive solution to

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is Mumford stable w.r.t L, then $E \otimes L^k$ admits an MA-positive solution to the vbMA for

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is Mumford stable w.r.t L, then $E \otimes L^k$ admits an MA-positive solution to the vbMA for *a* right-hand-side.

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is Mumford stable w.r.t L, then $E \otimes L^k$ admits an MA-positive solution to the vbMA for *a* right-hand-side.
- Provides an approach to

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is Mumford stable w.r.t L, then $E \otimes L^k$ admits an MA-positive solution to the vbMA for *a* right-hand-side.
- Provides an approach to the Griffiths conjecture for

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is Mumford stable w.r.t L, then $E \otimes L^k$ admits an MA-positive solution to the vbMA for *a* right-hand-side.
- Provides an approach to the Griffiths conjecture for rank-2 stable bundles on surfaces:

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is Mumford stable w.r.t L, then $E \otimes L^k$ admits an MA-positive solution to the vbMA for *a* right-hand-side.
- Provides an approach to the Griffiths conjecture for rank-2 stable bundles on surfaces: Ballal proved that

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is Mumford stable w.r.t L, then $E \otimes L^k$ admits an MA-positive solution to the vbMA for *a* right-hand-side.
- Provides an approach to the Griffiths conjecture for rank-2 stable bundles on surfaces: Ballal proved that MA-positivity is preserved along this continuity path.

Vector bundle Monge-Ampére equation

- Rank-2 on surfaces: Nakano and dual-Nakano positivity imply MA-positivity which implies Griffiths positivity.
- Griffiths conjecture: An ample bundle admits a Griffiths-positively curved metric. (Still open beyond Riemann surfaces.)
- P: If E is Mumford stable w.r.t L, then $E \otimes L^k$ admits an MA-positive solution to the vbMA for *a* right-hand-side.
- Provides an approach to the Griffiths conjecture for rank-2 stable bundles on surfaces: Ballal proved that MA-positivity is preserved along this continuity path. So "only" *a priori* estimates are left.

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz,

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation:

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

where $u = \frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$.

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

where $u = \frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$. Surprisingly enough,

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

where $u = \frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$. Surprisingly enough, uniqueness and openness proved to be hardest.

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

where $u = \frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$. Surprisingly enough, uniqueness and openness proved to be hardest.
- Ghosh generalised the estimates

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

  where $u = \frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$. Surprisingly enough, uniqueness and openness proved to be hardest.
- Ghosh generalised the estimates to general equations that also include

Vortex Mongé-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi \wedge \nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

  where $u = \frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$. Surprisingly enough, uniqueness and openness proved to be hardest.
- Ghosh generalised the estimates to general equations that also include the CYM equations with the vortex ansatz.

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

  where $u = \frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$. Surprisingly enough, uniqueness and openness proved to be hardest.
- Ghosh generalised the estimates to general equations that also include the CYM equations with the vortex ansatz.
- Currently we (with Ballal) are

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

  where $u = \frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$. Surprisingly enough, uniqueness and openness proved to be hardest.
- Ghosh generalised the estimates to general equations that also include the CYM equations with the vortex ansatz.
- Currently we (with Ballal) are studying a higher-dimensional vortex MA equation.

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

  where $u = \frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$. Surprisingly enough, uniqueness and openness proved to be hardest.
- Ghosh generalised the estimates to general equations that also include the CYM equations with the vortex ansatz.
- Currently we (with Ballal) are studying a higher-dimensional vortex MA equation. Leads to a fully nonlinear *system*.

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

  where $u=\frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$. Surprisingly enough, uniqueness and openness proved to be hardest.
- Ghosh generalised the estimates to general equations that also include the CYM equations with the vortex ansatz.
- Currently we (with Ballal) are studying a higher-dimensional vortex MA equation. Leads to a fully nonlinear *system*. Openness is done.

Vortex Monge-Ampére equation

- With the vortex ansatz, vbMA reduces to the following equation: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h = (1-|\phi|_h^2)\frac{\mu e^f \omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_h^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_h^2)}$ where f is given and μ is a constant.
- We proved existence and uniqueness using the method of continuity

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_t} = (1-|\phi|_t^2)\frac{\mu u^{1-t}\omega_\Sigma + \sqrt{-1}t\nabla^{1,0}\phi\wedge\nabla^{0,1}\phi^\dagger}{(2r_2+|\phi|_t^2)(2+2r_2-|\phi|_t^2)}$$

  where $u=\frac{2r_2(2r_2+2)}{\mu(1-|\phi|_0^2)}$. Surprisingly enough, uniqueness and openness proved to be hardest.
- Ghosh generalised the estimates to general equations that also include the CYM equations with the vortex ansatz.
- Currently we (with Ballal) are studying a higher-dimensional vortex MA equation. Leads to a fully nonlinear *system*. Openness is done. Closedness appears nastily difficult!

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead!

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al),

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like the maximum principle,

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like the maximum principle, Evans-Krylov theory, etc.

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like the maximum principle, Evans-Krylov theory, etc. A wide gap in technology available.

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like the maximum principle, Evans-Krylov theory, etc. A wide gap in technology available.
- Rewards exist!

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like the maximum principle, Evans-Krylov theory, etc. A wide gap in technology available.
- Rewards exist! Demailly proposed several PDE-based approaches towards

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like the maximum principle, Evans-Krylov theory, etc. A wide gap in technology available.
- Rewards exist! Demailly proposed several PDE-based approaches towards the Griffiths conjecture in its full generality.

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like the maximum principle, Evans-Krylov theory, etc. A wide gap in technology available.
- Rewards exist! Demailly proposed several PDE-based approaches towards the Griffiths conjecture in its full generality. All are fully nonlinear systems.

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like the maximum principle, Evans-Krylov theory, etc. A wide gap in technology available.
- Rewards exist! Demailly proposed several PDE-based approaches towards the Griffiths conjecture in its full generality. All are fully nonlinear systems.
- The vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground.

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like the maximum principle, Evans-Krylov theory, etc. A wide gap in technology available.
- Rewards exist! Demailly proposed several PDE-based approaches towards the Griffiths conjecture in its full generality. All are fully nonlinear systems.
- The vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground. For instance, Mandal recently proved

An advertisement for systems of PDE and the vortex ansatz

- KE is dead! Long live cscK !
- The subject is branching out into CY manifolds (Tosatti et al), the symplectic side (Minimal surfaces (Székelyhidi), Lagrangian submanifolds and mirror symmetry (Rubinstein, Collins, Yau, etc), and into systems of PDE (Dervan, Stoppa, GF, etc).
- Systems of fully nonlinear PDE have not been studied much and are resistant to techniques like the maximum principle, Evans-Krylov theory, etc. A wide gap in technology available.
- Rewards exist! Demailly proposed several PDE-based approaches towards the Griffiths conjecture in its full generality. All are fully nonlinear systems.
- The vortex ansatz is a fertile testing ground. For instance, Mandal recently proved the feasibility of some of Demailly's methods for the vortex bundle.

Thank you