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I will talk about unipotent generators for arithmetic groups. To illustrate the kind of results discussed here, let me start with a non-example.

## The group $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$

Consider a subgroup $\Gamma \subset S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ of finite index. The elementary subgroup $\Delta$ of $\Gamma$ is the subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by the upper and lower triangular matrices $U^{+} \cap \Gamma$ and $U^{-} \cap \Gamma$ in the group $\Gamma$.
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Consider a subgroup $\Gamma \subset S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ of finite index. The elementary subgroup $\Delta$ of $\Gamma$ is the subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by the upper and lower triangular matrices $U^{+} \cap \Gamma$ and $U^{-} \cap \Gamma$ in the group $\Gamma$.
For example, if $\Gamma$ is the principal congruence subgroup of level $m$ in $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, then

$$
\Delta=<\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & m \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
m & 1
\end{array}\right)>.
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If $m \geq 3$, then $\Delta$ has infinite index in $\Gamma$ (or in $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ ).

## The Group $S L_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$

In contrast, a theorem of J.Tits says that if $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of finite index in $S L_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ for $n \geq 3$, then the subgroup $\Delta$ of $\Gamma$ generated by upper and lower triangular unipotent matrices in $\Gamma$ has finite index in $\Gamma$.
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The proof uses the methods of the proof of the congruence subgroup property for $S L_{n}(\mathbb{Z})(n \geq 3)$.
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The group $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a lattice in the real rank one group $S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, whereas, for $n \geq 3$, the group $S L_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a lattice in a "higher rank" group $S L_{n}(\mathbb{R})$.
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Assume further that $\mathbb{Q}-\operatorname{rank}(G) \geq 1$ (equivalent conditions: (2) $G(\mathbb{R}) / G(\mathbb{Z})$ is non-compact, (3) $G(\mathbb{Z})$ has unipotent elements and (4) $G$ has a proper parabolic subgroup $P$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

Fix a proper parabolic $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup $P \subset G$, with unipotent radical $U=U^{+}$. Let $U^{-}$be the opposite unipotent radical.

## The Main Result

## Theorem 1

With the foregoing assumptions, given a subgroup $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Z})$ of finite index, the "elementary subgroup" $\Delta$ of $\Gamma$ generated by $U^{+} \cap \Gamma$ and $\mathrm{U}^{-} \cap \Gamma$ has finite index in $\Gamma$.
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A very different, but similar looking result is due to Hee Oh (1998), Benoist-Oh (2010), Benoist and Miquel (2020), who proved that if $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{R})$ is a Zariski dense discrete subgroup generated by lattices in opposing unipotent radicals of real parabolic subgroups, then $\Gamma$ is a lattice (provided $\mathbb{R}-\operatorname{rank}(G) \geq 2$ ). I understand that the proof uses the foregoing theorem.

## Remarks

The earlier proof by Raghunathan and myself was quite general, but especially in the $\mathbb{Q}-\operatorname{rank}(G)=1$ case, involved some complicated case-by-case check (of an $S U(2,1)$-reduction for a complicated system of embedded $S U(2,1)$ 's). The present proof is uniform and is much shorter. It uses, however, certain embedded $S L_{2}$ (the Jacobson-Morozov Theorem).
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In the $\mathbb{Q}-\operatorname{rank}(G)=1$ case, the Artin reciprocity law was also used crucially, but the present proof uses "only" the Dirichlet theorem on the infinitude of primes in arithmetic progressions.
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In the $\mathbb{Q}-\operatorname{rank}(G)=1$ case, the Artin reciprocity law was also used crucially, but the present proof uses "only" the Dirichlet theorem on the infinitude of primes in arithmetic progressions.

If $\mathbb{R}-\operatorname{rank}(G)=1$, then for most congruence subgroups $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Z})$, the elementary subgroup $\Delta$ has infinite index. In this sense, the statement is always false for real rank one groups.

## Remarks

The proof also gives the centrality of the congruence subgroup kernel $C$ in the non-uniform case (due to Raghunathan). Once the centrality is proved, (assuming that $G$ is simply connected) the finiteness and the exact computation of $C$ follows (from the work of Raghunathan, Gopal Prasad and Rapinchuk).
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The proof also gives the centrality of the congruence subgroup kernel $C$ in the non-uniform case (due to Raghunathan). Once the centrality is proved, (assuming that $G$ is simply connected) the finiteness and the exact computation of $C$ follows (from the work of Raghunathan, Gopal Prasad and Rapinchuk).

Rapinchuk (unpublished) has a proof of centrality of the congruence subgroup kernel which does not even use the Dirichlet theorem.
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The Margulis normal subgroup theorem immediately implies that $F(m)$ is arithmetic. Since $\Delta_{P}(m)=\Delta(m)=<U(m), U^{-}(m)>$ is normalised by $F(m)=<U(m), M(m), U^{-}(m)>$, it follows that the elementary group $\Delta_{P}(m)$ is arithmetic, for maximal parabolic subgroups $P$. But, for any parabolic subgroup $Q \subset P$ with $P$ maximal, and unipotent radicals $V, U$ respectively, we have the inclusion of unipotent radicals $U \subset V$, and hence $\Delta_{Q}(m) \supset \Delta_{P}(m)$ is arithmetic.
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Assume that $P$ is a maximal parabolic $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of $G$. We have the opposite parabolic subgroup $P^{-}$. The first step in the proof is to consider the system $\{F(m)\}_{m \geq 1}$ of subgroups generated by the congruence subgroups $P^{ \pm}(m \mathbb{Z})$. We designate this family to be a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of identity. By left translation, we get a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of any element of $G(\mathbb{Q})$.
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Thus, the higher rank assumption is used twice: to prove that the completion $\mathcal{G}$ of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ (with respect to the system $F(m)$ of subgroups) exists as a topological group, and also to prove that the relevant kernel $K$ is central.
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Intersecting with $G(\mathbb{Q})$ we then get $\left[\Gamma_{m}, \Gamma_{m}\right] \subset F(m)$, proving Theorem 2.
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In the higher rank case, the group $M(\mathbb{Z})$ is infinite, and this allows us to prove that the above intersection has many elements, which also proves (by replacing $g$ by $g \gamma$ for varying $\gamma \in F(m)$ ) that ${ }^{g}(F(m))$ contains $P^{-}\left(m^{\prime}\right)$ for some $m^{\prime}$. Similarly, ${ }^{g}(F(m)) \supset P\left(m^{\prime}\right)$ for some $m^{\prime}$.
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Consider the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \overline{G(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow 1$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is the completion of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ with respect to the " $F(m)$ " completion, and $G(\mathbb{Q})$ is the congruence completion. (By general considerations), the group $K$ is the inverse limit of the sets $K_{m}=F(m) \backslash \Gamma_{m} / F(m)$ (equpped with the discrete topology) as $m$ varies.
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Let $M$ be the group of diagonals; then $M(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ is the group of diagonals whose diagonal entries are units in the ring $R=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$; it is an infinite (cyclic) group. $M(R)$ acts by conjugation on the sets $F(m)$ and $\Gamma_{m}$ and also on the kernel $K$, and the inverse limit $K=\lim F(m) \backslash \Gamma_{m} / F(m)$ is compatible with this $M(R)$ action.
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Let $M$ be the group of diagonals; then $M(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ is the group of diagonals whose diagonal entries are units in the ring $R=\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$; it is an infinite (cyclic) group. $M(R)$ acts by conjugation on the sets $F(m)$ and $\Gamma_{m}$ and also on the kernel $K$, and the inverse limit $K=\lim F(m) \backslash \Gamma_{m} / F(m)$ is compatible with this $M(R)$ action.

If we prove that there is a fixed infinite (finite index) subgroup $D$ of $M(R)$ which acts trivially on each $K_{m}$ as $m$ varies, then it acts trivially on $K$; but all of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $K$ and the simplicity of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ then implies that $G(\mathbb{Q})$ acts trivially on $K$; hence $K$ is central.

## $S L_{2}$ continued

Suppose that $g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ be an element of $\Gamma_{m}$ viewed as an element of the double coset $F(m) \backslash \Gamma_{m} / F(m)$, and let $s=\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1}\end{array}\right) \in M(R)$. In his proof of centrality of the congruence subgroup kernel for $S L_{2}$ (when the number field $K$ has infinitely many units), Serre makes the following computation:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u & 0 \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\left(u^{-2}-1\right) \frac{c}{a} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \left(u^{2}-1\right) \frac{b}{a} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

If $u \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod \quad a)$, then this says that ${ }^{s}(g)=u^{-} g u^{+}$where $u^{ \pm}$are lower and upper triangular matrices in $E(m)$. Hence ${ }^{t}(g)=g$ in the double coset $F(m) \Gamma_{m} F(m)$, and thus the congruence subgroup $M(a)$ of level a fixes the element $g$ in the double coset.

We may replace $g$ by $g^{\prime}=g\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ x & 1\end{array}\right)$ for some $x \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod \quad m)$ without altering the coset $F(m) g F(m)$. But $g^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a+b x & b \\ c+d x & d\end{array}\right)$ which shows that the group $M(a+b x)$ also fixes the double coset through $g$. Hence the group $M_{a, b, m}$ generated by the collection $\{M(a+b x)\}_{x \equiv 0(\bmod \quad m)}$ fixes the double coset.

## Proposition 1

(Serre) There exists a subgroup $D$ of finite index in $M(\mathbb{Z})$ such that for any $a, b, m$ as above, the group $D$ is contained in the group $M_{a, b, m}$.

The proof uses the Artin reciprocity law for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. Thus, this group $\Delta$ fixes every element (double coset) in $F(m) \backslash \Gamma_{m} / F(m)$ and hence acts trivially on the inverse limit $K$ of these double coset spaces.

## Centrality in the general case

The proof in the general case is similar. Recall: $P$ is a maximal parabolic $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup with $G \supset P=U M$ and $P^{-}=U^{-} M$. We then prove

## Proposition 2

For any linear algebraic $\mathbb{Q}$-group $M$, and a fixed integer $N$, there exists a subgroup $\Delta \subset M(\mathbb{Z})$ of finite index such that for every $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime, and every integer $m$ coprime to $a$, the group generated by the collection $\left\{M(a+b m x)^{N}: x \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ contains $\Delta$.

The proof is a consequence of Dirichlet's theorem on the infinitude of primes in arithmetic progression.

In the case of a diagonal torus, the result of Serre would follow from the

## Lemma 4

Let $\phi$ be the Euler totient function, and let $a, b$ be coprime integers. Then the g.c.d.

$$
\text { g.c.d. }\{\phi(a+b x): x=0,1,2, \cdots\},
$$

is bounded by a constant independent of a, b: this g.c.d. divides 16 .
This can be proved by using the Dirichlet theorem on primes in arithmetic progression. Analogously, one can ask:

## Question 1

Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ denote the set of polynomials of degree $n$, whose coefficients have content one. Does there exist a constant $C=C(n)$ such that

$$
\text { g.c.d }\left\{\phi(P(x)): x \in \mathbb{Z}, P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}\right\} \leq C \text { ? }
$$

When $n=2$, the answer is yes, by a recent result of Sounderarajan. He also shows that the result is true in general if one assumes a well known conjecture (Schinzel's conjecture) that if $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is an irreducible polynomial with content one, then there are infinitely many integers $x$ such that $f(x)$ is prime.

When $n=2$, the answer is yes, by a recent result of Sounderarajan. He also shows that the result is true in general if one assumes a well known conjecture (Schinzel's conjecture) that if $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is an irreducible polynomial with content one, then there are infinitely many integers $x$ such that $f(x)$ is prime.
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