Module – 4.1

INTERLUDE AN ASSESSMENT AND A WAY FORWARD

S. Lakshmivarahan

School of Computer Science

University of Oklahoma

Norman, Ok – 73069, USA

varahan@ou.edu

LEAST SQUARES FORMULATIONS – A CLASSIFICATION

- Linear vs nonlinear
- Ordinary vs weighted
- Orthogonal vs oblique projection
- Full rank vs rank deficient
- Over vs under determined
- Off-line vs on-line formulations

PATHWAYS TO THE SOLUTION



- Module 4.2
- These methods are useful throughout the course

PATHWAYS TO SOLUTION – LINEAR CASE

• Off-line, ordinary linear, full rank formulation: Module – 3.1

 $(H^{T}H)x = H^{T}Z$, $(H^{T}H) - SPD$, m > n $(HH^{T})y = Z$ and $x = H^{T}y$, $(HH^{T}) - SPD$, m < n

 Off-line, weighted, linear full rank formulation: Module – 3.1 (H^TWH)x = H^TWZ, (H^TWH) – SPD, m > n

 $(HWH^T)y = Z \text{ and } x = WH^Ty, (HWH^T) - SPD, m < n$

• W - SPD

PATH WAYS TO SOLUTION – LINEAR CASE

Off-line, ordinary linear, rank-deficient formulation: Module – 3.2

 $(H^{T}H + \alpha I)x = H^{T}Z$, $(H^{T}H + \alpha I) - SPD$, $\alpha > 0$, m > n $(HH^{T} + \alpha I)y = Z$ and $x = H^{T}y$, $(HH^{T} + \alpha I) - SPD$, $\alpha > 0$, m < n

• On-line, ordinary linear, full rank case: Module – 10

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{X}^*(\mathsf{m}+1) = \mathsf{X}^*(\mathsf{m}) + \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{m}+1}\mathsf{h}_{\mathsf{m}+1}[\mathsf{z}_{\mathsf{m}+1} - h_{m+1}^T\mathsf{x}^*(\mathsf{m})] \\ &K_m^{-1} = \mathsf{H}^\mathsf{T}\mathsf{H} \\ &K_{m+1}^{-1} = K_m^{-1} + \mathsf{h}_{\mathsf{m}+1}\mathsf{h}_{\mathsf{m}+1}^T \end{aligned}$$

• K_{m+1} is obtained by Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula

PATH WAYS TO SOLUTION – NONLINEAR CASE

- Off-line, ordinary, nonlinear case: Module 3.4
 - Solve a set of non-linear equations:

 $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{h})[\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{Z}] = \mathbf{0}$

- On-line, ordinary, nonlinear case:
 - Use first-order approximation to h(x) $[D_{x_{c}}^{T}(h)D_{x_{c}}(h)](x - x_{c}) = D_{x_{c}}^{T}(h)Z$ $x_{c}^{new} < --x_{c} + (x - x_{c})$
 - Use second-order approximation to h(x) $\begin{bmatrix}D_{x_c}^T(h)D_{x_c}(h) - \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \nabla_x^2 h_i(x_c)](x - x_c) = D_{x_c}^T(h)Z$ $g = z - h(x_c), x_c^{new} < --x_c + (x - x_c)$

A WAY FORWARD – COMING ATTRACTIONS:

- Method of normal equations
- At its core, need methods for solving (H^TH)x = H^TZ or (HH^T)y = Z
- These are linear systems of the form

Ax = b

with A as a symmetric positive definite (SPD) matrix

• A stand method to linear systems with SPD matrix is the <u>Cholesky</u> <u>decomposition</u> method – (Module 4.2)

ALTERNATE DECOMPOSITION (QR AND SVD) METHODS

- Instead of decomposing (H^TH) or (HH^T) using cholesky method, we can directly decompose H that will simplify the form of the least squares solution
- <u>QR decomposition</u> (Module 4.2)
- <u>(SVD)</u> (Module 4.2)

DIRECT MINIMIZATION OF f(x)

- Use well known iterative methods to directly minimize f(x): (Module 4.3):
 - Gradient method
 - Conjugate gradient method
 - Quasi-Newton methods

REFERENCES

• This Module is a summary of Chapters 5 -7 in LLD (2006)