

Module – 3.2

STATIC, DETERMINISTIC LINEAR INVERSE PROBLEM ILL-POSED PROBLEM AND IMPERFECT MODEL

S. Lakshmivarahan

School of Computer Science

University of Oklahoma

Norman, Ok – 73069, USA

varahan@ou.edu

WELL AND ILL-POSED PROBLEMS

- Let $Z = Hx$, $Z \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $H \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
- Module 3.1 contains solution to the well-posed linear least squares problems when the matrix H is full rank, that is $\text{Rank}(H) = \min\{m, n\}$
- If the $\text{Rank}(H) < \min\{m, n\}$, then H is rank-deficient and the problem is ill-posed
- In this case, the Grammian matrices $H^T H$ and $H H^T$ are singular

ILL-POSED PROBLEM – TIKHONOV REGULARIZATION

- When H is rank deficient, we cannot use the formula

$$H^+ = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T \text{ or } H^+ = H^T (H H^T)^{-1}$$

for the generalized inverse of H in computing X_{LS}

- While we could still compute H^+ using the method of singular value decomposition (SVD) (Module – 4.2), we seek alternate formulation of the least squares problem
- The method of regularization due to Tikhonov is used to get around the rank deficiency of H

TIKHONOV'S METHOD

- Define

$$f(x) = \alpha \|x\|_2^2 + \|Z - Hx\|_2^2 \quad \rightarrow (1)$$

- The addition of $\alpha \|x\|_2^2$ to the traditional sum of squared error term helps to avoid the challenges resulting from the rank deficiency of H
- Rewriting

$$f(x) = \alpha x^T x + (Z - Hx)^T (Z - Hx) \quad \rightarrow (2)$$

It readily follows that (Verify!)

$$\nabla_x f(x) = (H^T H + \alpha I)x - H^T Z$$

$$X_{LS}(\alpha) = (H^T H + \alpha I)^{-1} H^T Z \quad \rightarrow (3)$$

TIKHONOV'S METHOD

- Since $H^T H$ is singular, by adding a diagonal perturbation αI to $H^T H$, we can ensure that $(H^T H + \alpha I)$ is non-singular
- One could use the Gershgorin Circle theorem to $H^T H$ to estimate the least value of α that would render $(H^T H + \alpha I)$ non-singular
- If H is of full rank, then we can set $\alpha = 0$ and obtain the known least square solution

A MATRIX IDENTITY

- A well known matrix identity:

$$[A^T B^{-1} A + D^{-1}]^{-1} A^T B^{-1} = D A^T [B + A D A^T]^{-1} \quad \rightarrow (4)$$

- Setting $A = H$, $B = I$, $D^{-1} = \alpha I$, this identity becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} [H^T H + \alpha I]^{-1} H^T &= \alpha^{-1} H^T [I + \alpha^{-1} H H^T]^{-1} \\ &= \alpha^{-1} H^T [\alpha^{-1} (\alpha I + H H^T)]^{-1} \\ &= H^T [\alpha I + H H^T]^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad \rightarrow (5)$$

A UNIFIED APPROACH

- Substituting (5) in (3):

$$X_{LS}(\alpha) = (H^T H + \alpha I)^{-1} H^T Z, \quad m > n \quad \rightarrow (6)$$

$$= H^T [\alpha I + H H^T]^{-1} Z, \quad m < n \quad \rightarrow (7)$$

- Setting $\alpha = 0$ in (6) leads the optimal solution to the full rank problem when $m > n$ – Refer to Module – 3.1
- Setting $\alpha = 0$ in (7) leads to the optimal solution to the full rank problem when $m < n$ – Refer to Module – 3.1

PERFECT VS IMPERFECT MODEL

- The saying goes: “no model is perfect, but some models are useful”
- Often assume that a model is perfect
- Imperfection in a model come from various directions:
 - complete physics, wrong parametrization, etc
- Irrespective of whether the model is perfect or not, in the overdetermined case, the model is inconsistent in the sense we saw in Module 3.1
- In the underdetermined case, the choice of the method depends on whether or not the model is perfect

STRONG VS WEAK CONSTRAINED FORMULATION: $m < n$

- When $m < n$, and the model is perfect, we strictly enforce the model constraint using the Lagrangian multiplier method – see Module 3.1 for details
- This is often called the Strong Constraint formulation
- If the model is not perfect, it is pointless to enforce it strictly
- We require the model equation to be satisfied only approximately
- This is known as the Weak Constraint formulation

STRONG CONSTRAINT FORMULATION - REVISITED

- Let $Z = Hx$ with $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $H \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $m < n$
- Assume that H is of full rank and recall that there are infinitely many solutions
- Seek an unique solution that minimizes the following cost functional:

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - b^T x + c \quad \rightarrow (8)$$

- Strong constraint formulation:

Minimize $L(x, \lambda)$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and

$$L(x, \lambda) = J(x) + \lambda^T (Z - Hx) \quad \rightarrow (9)$$

STRONG CONSTRAINT - CONTINUED

- The necessary condition are:

$$\nabla_x L(x, \lambda) = 2Ax - b - H^T \lambda = 0 \quad \rightarrow (10)$$

$$\nabla_\lambda L(x, \lambda) = Z - Hx = 0 \quad \rightarrow (11)$$

- Express x in terms of λ using (10), substitute in (11), it can be verified that the strong solutions are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \lambda_s &= (HA^{-1}H^T)^{-1}[Z - HA^{-1}b] \\ x_s &= A^{-1}b + A^{-1}H^T[HA^{-1}H^T]^{-1}[Z - HA^{-1}b] \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow (12)$$

- Setting $b = 0$, $c = 0$ and $A = I$, we get the solution

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \lambda_s &= (HH^T)^{-1}Z \\ x_s &= H^T(HH^T)^{-1}Z \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow (11)$$

as given in Module 3.1

WEAK CONSTRAINED FORMULATION

- Let $\alpha > 0$ and define a Penalty function

$$P_\alpha(x) = J(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2}(Z - Hx)^T(Z - Hx) \quad \rightarrow (14)$$

- The necessary condition for minimum is given by

$$\nabla_x P_\alpha(x) = Ax - b + \alpha H^T(Hx - Z) = 0 \quad \rightarrow (15)$$

- Solving:

$$x(\alpha) = x_1(\alpha) + x_2(\alpha) \quad \rightarrow (16)$$

$$x_1(\alpha) = (A + \alpha H^T H)^{-1} b \quad \rightarrow (17)$$

$$x_2(\alpha) = \alpha (A + \alpha H^T H)^{-1} H^T Z \quad \rightarrow (18)$$

SHERMAN – MORRISON – WOODBURY (SMW) FORMULA

- Let $H \in R^{m \times n}$, $\varepsilon_x \in R^{n \times n}$, $\varepsilon_v \in R^{m \times m}$ $m < n$
- SMW Formula – (two versions)
- $[H^T \varepsilon_v^{-1} H + \varepsilon_x^{-1}]^{-1} = \varepsilon_x - \varepsilon_x H^T [H \varepsilon_x H^T + \varepsilon_v]^{-1} H \varepsilon_x$ $\rightarrow (19)$
- $[H \varepsilon_x H^T + \varepsilon_v]^{-1} = \varepsilon_v^{-1} - \varepsilon_v^{-1} H [H^T \varepsilon_v^{-1} H + \varepsilon_x^{-1}]^{-1} H^T \varepsilon_v$ $\rightarrow (20)$
- Multiplying both side of (20) on the left by $\varepsilon_x H^T$ and simplifying (refer to LLD (2006) – Chapter 17), obtain the matrix identity
$$\varepsilon_x H^T [H \varepsilon_x H^T + \varepsilon_v]^{-1} = [H^T \varepsilon_v^{-1} H + \varepsilon_x^{-1}]^{-1} H^T \varepsilon_v^{-1} \quad \rightarrow (21)$$

RELATING WEAK AND STRONG SOLUTION

- Applying (20) to (17) with $\varepsilon_x^{-1} = A$, $\varepsilon_v^{-1} = \alpha I_m$:

$$\begin{aligned}(A + \alpha HH^T)^{-1} &= A^{-1} - A^{-1}H^T[HA^{-1}H + \alpha^{-1}I_m]^{-1}HA^{-1} \\ &\rightarrow A^{-1} - A^{-1}H^T[HA^{-1}H]^{-1}HA^{-1} \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty\end{aligned}\quad \rightarrow (22)$$

- Hence, from (17)

$$x_1^* = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} x_1(\alpha) = A^{-1}b - A^{-1}H^T(HA^{-1}H^T)^{-1}HA^{-1}b \quad \rightarrow (23)$$

RELATING WEAK AND STRONG SOLUTIONS

- Applying (21) to (18) with $\varepsilon_x^{-1} = A$, $\varepsilon_v^{-1} = \alpha I_m$:

$$\alpha(A + \alpha HH^T)^{-1}H^T = A^{-1}H^T[HA^{-1}H + \alpha^{-1}I_m]^{-1}$$
$$\rightarrow A^{-1}H^T[HA^{-1}H]^{-1} \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty \quad \rightarrow (24)$$

- Hence, from (18)

$$X_2^* = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} x_2(\alpha) = A^{-1}H^T(HA^{-1}H^T)^{-1}Z \quad \rightarrow (25)$$

- $X_1^* + X_2^* = A^{-1}b + A^{-1}H^T(HA^{-1}H^T)^{-1}[Z - HA^{-1}b] \quad \rightarrow (26)$
 $= X_s \text{ in (12)}$

- That is, in the limit as the penalty parameter α increases without bound, the weak solution converges to the strong solution

EXERCISES

(7.1) Compute the Gradient and Hessian

$$f(x) = \alpha x^T x + (Z - Hx)^T (Z - Hx)$$

and verify the relation (3)

(7.2) Verify that (12) gives the solution of (10) and (11)

(7.3) Let $H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}$ with $\varepsilon > 0$

Compute the eigenvalues of $H^T H$ and plot them as a function of ε for

$$-1 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$$

EXERCISES

(7.4) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $z = (3, 4, 5)^T$

a) Compute the unique minimizer x^* of $J(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - b^T x$ when $Z = Hx$ using Lagrangian multiplier

b) Compute the unique minimizer $x(\alpha)$ of

$$P_\alpha(x) = J(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2}(Z - Hx)^T(Z - Hx) \text{ as a function of } \alpha$$

c) Plot the norm of $x(\alpha)$ Vs α and show $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} x(\alpha) = x^*$

REFERENCES

- This module follows from Chapter 5 LLD (2006) and the following report

S.Lakshmivarahan (2015) “On the convergence of class of weak solution to the strong solution of an equality constraint minimization. Problem: A direct proof using matrix identities, School of Computer Science, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Ok - 73019 USA