

Fusion Products and Chari-Venkatesh Modules for Current Lie Algebras of type A_2

(Jt work with Shushma Rani)

Tanusree Khandai

Algebraic and Combinatorial Methods in Representation Theory

International Centre for Theoretical Sciences, Bangalore,

13-24 November, 2023

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Mohali

The Setup:

The Setup

- \mathfrak{g} := complex finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra ;
 \mathfrak{h} := Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .
- $\{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$:= simple roots of \mathfrak{g} ,
 R^+ := set of positive roots, R := set of roots of \mathfrak{g} ,
- $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_i : \alpha \in R^+, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$:= Chevalley basis of \mathfrak{g} ,
For $\alpha \in R^+$, let $h_\alpha = [e_\alpha, f_\alpha]$.
- $\mathfrak{n}^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathbb{C}e_\alpha$, $\mathfrak{n}^- = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathbb{C}f_\alpha$
- $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$:= fundamental weights of \mathfrak{g} ,
 $P^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \lambda(h_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \forall \alpha \in R^+\}$ dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{g}
- P^+ parametrizes the set of finite-dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules.
For $\lambda \in P^+$, let $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight λ .

- The current Lie algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra with underlying vector space

$$\mathfrak{g}[t] := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$$

and Lie bracket operation :

$$[x \otimes P, y \otimes Q] = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes PQ, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[t].$$

- For any subalgebra \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{g} , let
 - $\mathfrak{a}[t] := \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$.
 - $U(\mathfrak{a}[t]) :=$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{a}[t]$.
- The \mathbb{Z} -grading in $\mathbb{C}[t]$ induces a grading on $\mathfrak{a}[t]$ and $U(\mathfrak{a}[t])$.

For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$U(\mathfrak{a}[t])[s] := \{(x_{i_1} \otimes t^{r_1}) \cdots (x_{i_k} \otimes t^{r_k}) : \sum_{j=1}^k r_j = s, x_{i_j} \in \mathfrak{a} \forall j\}$$

Representations of Current Lie algebra

- Given $\lambda \in P^+$, the **local Weyl module**, $W_{loc}(\lambda)$ is defined as the cyclic, $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module generated by vector w_λ which satisfies following relations:

$$(e_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])w_\lambda = 0, \quad (f_\alpha \otimes 1)^{\lambda(h_\alpha)+1}w_\lambda = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in R^+$$

$$(h \otimes t^r)w_\lambda = \lambda(h)\delta_{0,r}w_\lambda, \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

Any cyclic finite-dimensional highest weight $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module with the highest weight λ is a quotient of $W_{loc}(\lambda)$.

- For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, using the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_a : \quad \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ x \otimes t &\mapsto ax, \end{aligned}$$

a \mathfrak{g} -module V can be considered as a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module. Such a module is called an **evaluation module** and is denoted by $ev_a(V)$.

Clearly $ev_a(V(\lambda))$ is a graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module when $a = 0$.

Fusion Product Modules

Fusion Product of Evaluation Modules

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in (P^+)^k$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ a k -tuple of pairwise distinct complex numbers, set

$$V(\lambda, \mathbf{a}) := \text{ev}_{a_1} V(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{ev}_{a_k} (V(\lambda_k))$$

- The \mathbb{N} -grading in $U(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ induces a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant grading on $V(\lambda, \mathbf{a})$ which is given by

$$V(\lambda, \mathbf{a})[r] = \bigoplus_{0 \leq s \leq r} U(\mathfrak{g}[t])[s] \cdot v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k,$$

where v_i is the generator of $V(\lambda_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

- The **fusion product** of the modules $\{\text{ev}_{a_i}(V(\lambda_i)) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ at $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is the associated graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module

$$\bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{N}} V(\lambda, \mathbf{a})[r] / V(\lambda, \mathbf{a})[r - 1].$$

It is denoted by $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \cdots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$.

Conjecture [Feigin, Loktev, 1999]

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra and V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k be cyclic $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules. Then for arbitrary k -tuples of distinct complex numbers, (z_1, \dots, z_k) , $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$,

$$V_1^{z_1} * \dots * V_k^{z_k} \cong V_1^{a_1} * \dots * V_k^{a_k}$$

as $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules.

⁰Feigin, B. and Loktev, S., On generalized Kostka polynomials and the quantum Verlinde rule; Differential topology, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and applications, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, 194, 61–79, 1999

This conjecture has been proved in several cases:

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, independent proofs of the conjecture have been given by Feigin and Loktev in 1999, Chari and Venkatesh, 2013.
- When the V_i s are fundamental representations of \mathfrak{g} ,
 - Chari and Loktev proved the conjecture for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ in 2006,
 - Fourier and Littelmann proved it for \mathfrak{g} simply-laced, in 2007,
- In 2015, for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$, Naoi proved the conjecture in the case when highest weight of the fusion product module is a multiple of a fundamental weight.
- For fusion product of two graded irreducible $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules, the conjecture was proved by
 - Fourier in 2015, for some special cases when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$
 - Kus and Barth in 2020, in the case when \mathfrak{g} is of rank 2.

Properties of $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$

- $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$ is a finite dimensional, highest weight $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module with highest weight $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$.

Hence $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$ is a quotient of $W_{loc}(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i)$.

Properties of $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$

- $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$ is a finite dimensional, highest weight $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module with highest weight $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$.

Hence $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$ is a quotient of $W_{loc}(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i)$.

- $\dim V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k} = \prod_{i=1}^k \dim V(\lambda_i)$.

Properties of $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$

- $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$ is a finite dimensional, highest weight $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module with highest weight $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$.

Hence $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$ is a quotient of $W_{loc}(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i)$.

- $\dim V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k} = \prod_{i=1}^k \dim V(\lambda_i)$.
- Given $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ - k -tuple of distinct complex numbers, if $v_{\lambda, \mathbf{a}}^*$ is the image of the generator of $\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \text{ev}_{a_i}(V(\lambda_i))$ in $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$, then

$$x \otimes t^s \cdot v_{\lambda, \mathbf{a}}^* = x \otimes (t - a_1) \cdots (t - a_s) \cdot v_{\lambda, \mathbf{a}}^*, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, \text{ and } a_1, \dots, a_s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Given a dominant integral weight $\lambda \in P^+$, a $|R^+|$ -tuple of partitions $\xi = (\xi^\alpha)_{\alpha \in R^+}$ is said to be λ -compatible if

$$\xi^\alpha = (\xi_1^\alpha, \dots, \xi_r^\alpha), \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \xi_i^\alpha = \lambda(h_\alpha)$$

Definition

Given $\lambda \in P^+$ and a $|R^+|$ -tuple of λ -compatible partitions, the Chari-Venkatesh module $V(\xi)$ is defined to be the graded quotient of $W_{loc}(\lambda)$ by the submodule generated by the graded elements

$$(x_\alpha^+ \otimes t)^s (x_\alpha^- \otimes 1)^{r+s} w_\lambda,$$

with $s, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s + r \geq 1 + rk + \sum_{j \geq k+1} \xi_j^\alpha$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in R^+$.

Chari-Venkatesh Modules...

Given a fusion product module $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \cdots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$, for each $\alpha \in R^+$ let

$$\xi^\alpha := \text{majorization of } (\lambda_1(h_\alpha), \dots, \lambda_k(h_\alpha)).$$

Clearly, this gives a $|R^+|$ -tuple of partitions, namely $\xi(\lambda)$, which is λ -compatible.

Let $V(\xi(\lambda))$ denote the corresponding Chari-Venkatesh modules.

Chari-Venkatesh Modules...

Given a fusion product module $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \cdots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$, for each $\alpha \in R^+$ let

$$\xi^\alpha := \text{majorization of } (\lambda_1(h_\alpha), \dots, \lambda_k(h_\alpha)).$$

Clearly, this gives a $|R^+|$ -tuple of partitions, namely $\xi(\lambda)$, which is λ -compatible.

Let $V(\xi(\lambda))$ denote the corresponding Chari-Venkatesh modules.

Lemma : Given $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in (P^+)^k$, there exists a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module surjective map

$$V(\xi(\lambda)) \twoheadrightarrow V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \cdots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k};$$

for all k -tuple of distinct complex numbers (a_1, \dots, a_k) .

Consequently, $\dim V(\xi(\lambda)) \geq \prod_{i=1}^k \dim V(\lambda_i)$.

Chari and Venkatesh proved in [CV-15]¹,

- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$,

$$V(\xi(\lambda)) \cong_{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$$

- For the proof, they determined a basis of $V(\xi(\lambda))$ using a series of short exact sequences of CV-modules and showed that

$$\dim V(\xi(\lambda)) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \dim V(\lambda_i) = \dim V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}.$$

- Using dimension arguments and the fact that $V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}$ is a quotient of $V(\xi(\lambda))$ it followed that

$$V(\xi(\lambda)) \cong_{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} V(\lambda_1)^{a_1} * \dots * V(\lambda_k)^{a_k}.$$

³Vyjayanthi Chari, R. Venkatesh. *Demazure modules, fusion Products, and Q-systems*. Commun.Math.Phys.333,(2015),no.2, 566–593.

Our Results

Chari-Venkatesh Modules for $\mathfrak{sl}_3[t]$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$, $\theta :=$ highest root of \mathfrak{g} .

Given $\lambda, \mu \in P^+$, the Chari-Venkatesh module $V(\xi(\lambda, \mu))$ is defined as the cyclic module generated by a non-vector vector $v_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ which satisfies the following relations:

$$\mathfrak{n}^+[t].v_{(\lambda, \mu)} = 0, \quad x \otimes t^k.v_{(\lambda, \mu)} = 0, \quad \forall k \geq 2,$$

$$(f_\alpha \otimes 1)^{\lambda + \mu(h_\alpha) + 1} v_{(\lambda, \mu)} = 0, \quad (f_\alpha \otimes t)^{\min\{\lambda(h_\alpha), \mu(h_\alpha)\} + 1} v_{(\lambda, \mu)} = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in R^+$$

$$(h \otimes t^r)v_{(\lambda, \mu)} = (\lambda + \mu)(h)\delta_{0,r}v_{(\lambda, \mu)}, \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Theorem (K, S. Rani)

Let λ, μ be dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{sl}_3 . For any distinct pair of complex numbers (a, b) , $V^a(\lambda) * V^b(\mu)$ is isomorphic to $V(\xi(\lambda, \mu))$ as a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module.

The set $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda, 2)$

- Given a dominant integral weight Λ of \mathfrak{sl}_3 , let

$$\begin{aligned} P(\Lambda, 2) &= \{(\lambda, \mu) \in P^+ \times P^+ : \lambda + \mu = \Lambda\}; \\ \mathcal{P}(\Lambda, 2) &= \{\xi(\lambda, \mu) : (\lambda, \mu) \in P(\Lambda, 2)\} \end{aligned}$$

- Given $(\lambda, \mu), (\lambda', \mu') \in P(\Lambda, 2)$, we say $\xi(\lambda, \mu) \succeq \xi(\lambda', \mu')$ if for each $\alpha \in R^+$,

$$\xi^\alpha(\lambda, \mu) \leq \xi^\alpha(\lambda', \mu')$$

with respect to the dominance ordering \leq on partitions of $\Lambda(h_\alpha)$.

- Lemma:** Let $\Lambda \in P^+$. Given $(\lambda, \mu), (\lambda', \mu') \in P(\Lambda, 2)$ there exists a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module surjective map

$$V(\xi(\lambda, \mu)) \twoheadrightarrow V(\xi(\lambda', \mu')),$$

whenever $\xi(\lambda, \mu) \succeq \xi(\lambda', \mu')$.

Steps towards the proof of the Theorem:

Step 1:

Proposition [S Rani, -K]

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1\omega_1 + \lambda_2\omega_2$, $\mu = \mu_1\omega_1 + \mu_2\omega_2 \in P^+$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \geq \mu_1 + \mu_2$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1$. Set

$$\xi(\lambda, \mu)^+ = \begin{cases} \xi(\lambda + \omega_1, \mu - \omega_1) & \text{if } \mu_2 = 0, \text{ and } \mu_1 > 0, \\ \xi(\lambda + \omega_2, \mu - \omega_2) & \text{if } \lambda_2 \geq \mu_2 > 0, \\ \xi(\lambda + (\mu_2 - \lambda_2)\omega_2, \mu_1\omega_1 + \lambda_2\omega_2) & \text{if } \lambda_2 < \mu_2 \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow V(\xi(\lambda, \mu)) \xrightarrow{\phi(\lambda, \mu)} V(\xi(\lambda, \mu)^+) \rightarrow 0$$

where the kernel, $\ker(\lambda, \mu)$ admits a filtration whose successive quotients are the direct sum of finitely many CV- modules.

Proof of main theorem continued...

In particular, setting τ_r is the grade shift operator for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we show:

- i. When $\mu_2 = 0$ and $\mu_1 > 0$, $\ker \phi(\lambda, \mu)$ admits a filtration by modules that are quotients of :

$$\tau_{\mu_1}^* V(\lambda + w_0\mu + j\alpha_2), \quad \max\{0, \mu_1 - \lambda_2\} \leq j \leq \mu_1.$$

Proof of main theorem continued...

In particular, setting τ_r is the grade shift operator for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we show:

- i. When $\mu_2 = 0$ and $\mu_1 > 0$, $\ker \phi(\lambda, \mu)$ admits a filtration by modules that are quotients of :

$$\tau_{\mu_1}^* V(\lambda + w_0\mu + j\alpha_2), \quad \max\{0, \mu_1 - \lambda_2\} \leq j \leq \mu_1.$$

- ii. When $\mu_2 > 0$ and $\mu_1 > 0$, $\ker \phi(\lambda, \mu)$ admits a filtration by CV modules that are quotients of :

$$\bigoplus_{a \in S_j^{\lambda, \mu}} \tau_{|\mu|}^* (V(\lambda + w_0\mu + a\alpha_2 + (j - a)\alpha_1), \quad 0 \leq j < \mu_1 + \mu_2,$$

$$\tau_{|\mu|}^* \mathcal{F}_{\lambda + \mu_2(\omega_1 - \omega_2), \mu_1\omega_1},$$

$$\text{with } S_j^{\lambda, \mu} = \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z} : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq a \leq \mu_1, \quad 0 \leq j - a < \mu_2, \\ \mu_2 - \lambda_1 \leq j - 2a \leq \lambda_2 - \mu_1 \end{array} \right\}$$

- iii. When $\mu_2 > \lambda_2$, $\ker \phi(\lambda, \mu)$ admits a filtration by modules that are quotients of :

$$\bigoplus_{a \in S_{\lambda, \mu}^{(\ell, k)}} \tau_{\mu_1 + \lambda_2 + \ell}^* V(\lambda + w_0 \mu + (\mu_2 - \lambda_2 - \ell)\theta + a\alpha_2 + (k - a)\alpha_1),$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq \lambda_2 - \mu_2$, $0 \leq k \leq \mu_1 + \lambda_2$,

where, $S_{\lambda, \mu}^{(\ell, k)} = \{a \in \mathbb{Z} : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq a \leq \mu_1, 0 \leq k - a \leq \lambda_2, \\ \mu_1 - \mu_2 + \ell \leq 2a - k \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \ell \end{array} \}$.

Step 2:

Using the short exact sequences and by applying induction on $\min\{\lambda(h_\theta), \mu(h_\theta)\}$, we get a set of recurrence relations on the dimensions of the associated CV-modules.

From these we deduce $\dim V(\xi(\lambda, \mu)) \leq \dim V(\lambda) \cdot \dim V(\mu)$ and thus prove the theorem.

Graded Character

- Let $V = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{N}} V[r]$ be a finite-dimensional graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module. Then for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $V[r]$ is completely reducible as \mathfrak{g} -module.
- For a dominant integral weight ν , let

$$[V : \tau_p(V(\nu))] := \text{multiplicity of } V(\nu) \text{ in } V[p]$$

and let

$$[V : V(\nu)]_q = \sum_{p \geq 0} [V : \tau_p(V(\nu))] q^p.$$

- By definition the **graded character** of a $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module V is :

$$ch_{gr} V = \sum_{\nu \in P^+} [V : \tau_p(V(\nu))]_q ch_{\mathfrak{g}} V(\nu),$$

where $ch_{\mathfrak{g}} V(\nu)$ is the \mathfrak{g} -character of $V(\nu)$.

- $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [V : V(\nu)]_q :=$ numerical multiplicity of $V(\nu)$ in V .
 $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [V(\xi(\lambda, \mu)) : V(\nu)]_q :=$ Littlewood Richardson coefficient $c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\nu}$, for $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in P^+$,

Theorem (K, S Rani)

Let $(\lambda, \mu) \in P^+(\lambda + \mu, 2)$ such that $\lambda(h_\alpha) \geq \mu(h_\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \theta\}$. If $\mu(h_{\alpha_2}) = 0$, Then

$$[V(\xi(\lambda, \mu)) : V(\nu)]_q = q^{\mu_1 - j}$$

for $\nu = \lambda + w_0\mu + j\theta + a_j\alpha_2$, and 0 otherwise.

This shows that the \mathfrak{sl}_3 -modules $V(\lambda) \otimes V(m\omega_1)$ is multiplicity free.

Theorem (K, S.Rani)

Let $(\lambda, \mu) \in P^+(\lambda + \mu, 2)$ be such that $\lambda(h_\alpha) \geq \mu(h_\alpha) > 0$ for $\alpha \in R^+$.
Then,

$$[V(\xi(\lambda, \mu)) : V(\nu)]_q = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=0}^a q^{|\mu| - \ell - s - j}, & \text{if } \nu = \lambda + w_0\mu + (l+j)\theta + (\mu_2 - j)\alpha_1 + a\alpha_2, \text{ with } (j, l, a) \in A \\ \sum_{s=0}^{\min\{a, b\}} q^{|\mu| - s - j}, & \text{if } \nu = \lambda + w_0\mu + j\theta + b\alpha_1 + a\alpha_2, \text{ with } (j, a, b) \in B \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{(j, \ell, a) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : j = 0, 0 \leq \ell \leq \mu_1, \max\{0, |\mu| - \lambda_2 - \ell\} \leq a \leq \mu_1 - \ell\} \\ &\sqcup \{(j, \ell, a) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : 1 \leq j \leq \mu_2, 0 \leq \ell \leq \mu_1, a = \mu_1 - \ell\} \\ &\sqcup \{(j, \ell, a) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : 1 \leq j \leq \mu_2, 0 \leq \ell \leq \mu_1, \ell + 2j \leq |\mu| - \lambda_2, a = |\mu| - \lambda_2 - (\ell + 2j)\} \\ B &= \{(j, a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : j = 0, 0 \leq a \leq \mu_1, 0 \leq b \leq \mu_2 - 1, \mu_2 - \lambda_1 \leq b - a \leq \lambda_2 - \mu_1\} \\ &\sqcup \{(j, a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : 1 \leq j \leq \mu_2 - 1, 0 \leq a \leq \mu_1, 0 \leq b < \mu_2 - j, b - a = \lambda_2 - \mu_1 + j\} \\ &\sqcup \{(j, a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : 1 \leq j \leq \mu_2 - 1, 0 \leq a \leq \mu_1, 0 \leq b < \mu_2 - j, b - a = \mu_2 - \lambda_1 - j\} \end{aligned}$$

Graded Character and LR coefficients

Theorem (K, S.Rani)

Let $(\lambda, \mu) \in P^+(\lambda + \mu, 2)$ be such that $\lambda(h_\alpha) \geq \mu(h_\alpha) > 0$ for $\alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \theta\}R^+$ and $\lambda(h_{\alpha_2}) \leq \mu(h_{\alpha_2})$. Then

$$[V(\xi(\lambda, \mu)) : V(\nu)]_q = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=0}^{\min\{a,b\}} q^{|\rho_\lambda^\mu| - \ell - s}, & \text{if } \nu = \rho_\mu^\lambda + w_0 \rho_\lambda^\mu - \ell\theta + a\alpha_2 + b\alpha_1, (\ell, a, b) \in C \\ \sum_{s=0}^{\min\{b_1, b_2\}} q^{|\rho_\lambda^\mu| - s - j}, & \text{if } \nu = \rho_\mu^\lambda + w_0 \rho_\lambda^\mu + j\theta + b_1\alpha_2 + b_2\alpha_1, (j, b_1, b_2) \in B_{inv} \\ \sum_{s=0}^a q^{|\rho_\lambda^\mu| - \ell - s - j}, & \text{if } \nu = \rho_\mu^\lambda + w_0 \rho_\lambda^\mu + (l+j)\theta + (\lambda_2 - j)\alpha_1 + a\alpha_2, (j, \ell, a) \in A_{inv} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where A_{inv} and B_{inv} are obtained from A and B respectively by interchanging λ_2 with μ_2 and vice versa and

$$C = \{(\ell, a, b) : \ell = 0, 0 \leq a \leq \mu_1, 0 \leq b \leq \lambda_2, \mu_2 - \lambda_1 \leq a_2 - a_1 \leq \lambda_2 - \mu_1\} \\ \sqcup \{(\ell, a, b) : 1 \leq \ell \leq \mu_2 - \lambda_2 - 1, 0 \leq a \leq \mu_1, 0 \leq b \leq \lambda_2, b - a = \mu_2 - \lambda_1 - \ell\} \\ \sqcup \{(\ell, a, b) : 1 \leq \ell \leq \mu_2 - \lambda_2 - 1, 0 \leq a \leq \mu_1, 0 \leq b \leq \lambda_2, b - a = \lambda_2 - \mu_1 + \ell\}.$$

Computing the Littlewood Richardson coefficients from the graded character of $V(\xi(\lambda, \mu))$ we observe the following:

Theorem (K. S. Rani)

For a dominant integral weight $\lambda = m_1\omega_1 + m_2\omega_2$ of \mathfrak{sl}_3 , let

$$|\mathfrak{p}_\lambda| = m_1 + 2m_2.$$

Given a triple (λ, μ, ν) of dominant integral weights of $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ such that $|\mathfrak{p}_\lambda| + |\mathfrak{p}_\mu| \equiv |\mathfrak{p}_\nu| \pmod{3}$, for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$c_{N\lambda, N\mu}^{N\nu} = N(c_{\lambda, \mu}^\nu - 1) + 1.$$

References

- V Chari , S. Loktev, Weyl, Demazure and Fusion Modules for the current algebra of sl_{r+1} , *Advances in Mathematics*, 207, 928–960, (2006).
- V Chari , R. Venkatesh, Demazure Modules, Fusion Products and Q-Systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 333, 799–830, (2015).
- B Feigin, S Loktev, On generalized Kostka polynomials and the quantum Verlinde rule. Volume 194 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 61-79. *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, RI, 1999.
- G. Fourier and P. Littelmann. Weyl modules, Demazure modules, KR-modules, crystals, fusion products and limit constructions. *Adv. Math.*, 211(2):566-593, 2007.
- K M Murray, Thesis, June (2018).
- Khandai T, Shushma Rani, Fusion Modules for Current Algebra of type A_2 , arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2303.03337, March 2023.

Thank you !