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## Electron Ion Collider

 a machine to look inside the nucleusEIC will take precision snapshots of the internal structures of the protons and neutrons, allowing us a better understanding of the strongest force in nature

A precise theoretical description of our current understanding (the Standard Model) is also necessary to find any agreement/disagreement with precise experimental data

The inclusive/semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (DIS/SIDIS) plays a crucial role.
Parton model connects the partonic cross-section to the hadronic one through PDFs \& FFs. We compute the partonic cross-section using the framework of perturbative QCD order by order in $\alpha_{s}$.

Higher order corrections are essential to

- achieve sufficiently/comparably precise theoretical estimates
- reduce the uncertainties arising from the factorization scales


## An example from the LHC : NNLO QCD for the SM Higgs

The NNLO QCD corrections played very important role in confirming the SM Higgs.

```
16.00 pb LO
+20.84 pb NLO (EFT)
-2.05 pb ( }\mp@subsup{m}{t}{}\mathrm{ exact NLO)
+ 9.56 pb NNLO (EFT)
+ 0.34 pb NNLO (1/m}\mp@subsup{|}{t}{}
+ 2.40 pb EW
+ 1.49 pb N N}\textrm{LO}(\textrm{EFT}
```



If we had considered LO only, we would have never found the SM Higgs.
For EIC also, higher order corrections will play important role!

## Semi-Inclusive DIS



## SIDIS

$$
l+H \rightarrow l+H^{\prime}+X
$$

Phase-space:
Final state hadron is tagged!
Extra constrain on the phase-space

DIS

$$
l+H \rightarrow l+X
$$

Phase-space:
All final states are fully integrated!

$$
\left.d P S\right|_{\mathrm{SIDIS}}=\left.d P S\right|_{\mathrm{DIS}} \times \delta\left(z^{\prime}-\frac{p_{a} \cdot p_{b}}{p_{a} \cdot q}\right)
$$

The hadronic part is characterized by two structure functions $F_{1} \& F_{2}$.

## Parton model \& perturbative expansion

$$
F_{i}=x^{i-1} \sum_{a, b} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{d x_{1}}{x_{1}} f_{a}\left(x_{1}, \mu_{F}^{2}\right) \int_{z}^{1} \frac{d z_{1}}{z_{1}} D_{b}\left(z_{1}, \mu_{F}^{2}\right) \times \mathcal{F}_{i, a b}\left(\frac{x}{x_{1}}, \frac{z}{z_{1}}, Q^{2}, \mu_{F}^{2}\right)
$$

the finite coefficient functions which can be computed perturbatively

In QCD, we have a series expansion of the partonic cross sections in strong coupling constant $\alpha_{s}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{a b}(z) & =\mathcal{F}_{a b}^{(0)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{s}^{m} \mathcal{F}_{a b}^{(m)}(z) \\
& =\mathcal{F}_{a b}^{(0)}\left[1+\alpha_{s} \mathcal{F}_{a b}^{(1)}(z)+\alpha_{s}^{2} \mathcal{F}_{a b}^{(2)}(z)+\alpha_{s}^{3} \mathcal{F}_{a b}^{(3)}(z)+\cdots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We are interested in the second order correction

## Goal of this talk

- Motivation, kinematics and the basics have been discussed on Monday talk by V. Ravindran
- In this talk, we discuss the details of the computational technology


## Schematic diagrams for NNLO contributions to SIDIS



Each individual contribution is divergent: $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ in dimensional regularization

## Schematic diagrams for NNLO contributions to SIDIS



Sum of all degenerate processes: KLN theorem \& mass factorization

## Computational procedure

$$
d=4-2 \epsilon
$$

- Diagrammatic approach -> QGRAF to generate Feynman diagrams
- In-house FORM routines for algebraic manipulation: Lorentz, Dirac and Color algebra
- Reverse unitarity : phase-space integrals to loop integrals

$$
\delta\left(k^{2}-m^{2}\right) \sim \frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\frac{1}{k^{2}-m^{2}-i 0}-\frac{1}{k^{2}-m^{2}+i 0}\right)
$$

- Decomposition of the dot products to obtain scalar integrals

$$
\frac{2 l \cdot p}{l^{2}(l-p)^{2}}=\frac{l^{2}-(l-p)^{2}+p^{2}}{l^{2}(l-p)^{2}}=\frac{1}{(l-p)^{2}}-\frac{1}{l^{2}}+\frac{p^{2}}{l^{2}(l-p)^{2}}
$$

- Identity relations among scalar integrals : IBPs, LIs \& SRs
- Algebraic linear system of equations relating the integrals

```
                        \Downarrow
Master integrals (MIs)
```

- Computation of MIs : Method of differential equation (generic \& canonical)
- UV renormalization and mass factorization
- Numerical evaluation using suitable PDFs and FFs
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Loop computation procedure: Integration-by-parts identities

## Integration-by-parts identities

Generalization of Gauss's theorem in $d$ dimension
Within dimensional regularization, all integrals in $d$ dimension are well-defined and convergent $\Rightarrow$ integrand must be zero at boundary

$$
\int \prod_{i=1}^{l} \mathcal{D}^{d} l_{i} \frac{d}{d l_{j}^{\mu}}\left(\frac{v^{\mu}}{D_{1}^{n_{1}} \ldots D_{m}^{n_{m}}}\right)=\left.0 \quad\right|_{v \equiv l, p}
$$

## A very simple example 1 :

$$
\mathcal{I}(n)=\int \frac{d^{d} l}{(2 \pi)^{d / 2}} \frac{1}{\left(l^{2}-m^{2}\right)^{n}}
$$

The identity for $v \equiv l$ gives a recursion relation for $\mathcal{I}(n+1) \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}(n)$

$$
\mathcal{I}(n+1)=\frac{(d-2 n)}{2 n m^{2}} \mathcal{I}(n)
$$

The relation can be represented as


## Integration-by-parts identities

## Another simple example 2:

$$
\mathcal{I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=\int \frac{d^{d} l}{(2 \pi)^{d / 2}} \frac{1}{\left(l^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right)^{n_{1}}\left((l-q)^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right)^{n_{2}}}
$$

The relations now depend on $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ and whether they are positive or non-positive.


- The relations are like translations from one point to another.
- The first goal is to choose the red points (the MIs).
- The second goal is to find an efficient path (IBP reduction rules) with minimal translation.

Integral families with 7 propagators.

$$
\mathcal{I}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{7}\right)
$$

For NNLO, it's not difficult! Thousands of Feynman integrals can be written in terms of only a few! However for three-loop onward, it becomes extremely challenging.

Scalar integrals form a 'vector space' $\Rightarrow$ IBP reduction is a 'projection' to basis vectors

Several technical advances have been made in recent years by improving system-solving strategy, either due to novel algorithms or to the development of software.

- LiteRed : Symbolical recursion relation
- FIRE, Reduze, Kira: Laporta algorithm; Solves for specific integer values

Loop computation procedure: Solving remaining integrals

## The method of differential equations

A Feynman integral is a function of spacetime dimension $d$ and kinematic invariants $x, z$.

$$
J_{i}=\mathcal{N} \int \frac{d^{d} l_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} l_{2}}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{l_{1}^{2} l_{2}^{2}\left(\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)^{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(l_{1}-q\right)^{2}\left(l_{2}-q\right)^{2}} \equiv f(d, x, z)
$$

The idea is to obtain a differential eqn. for the integral w.r.t. $x, z$ and solve it.
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The idea is to obtain a differential eqn. for the integral w.r.t. $x, z$ and solve it.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d z} J_{i}= & \text { some combinations of integrals } \\
& \Downarrow \text { IBP identities/reduction } \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i j} J_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

$c_{i j}$ 's are rational function of $d, x$ and $z$.
$J_{i}$ is a basis 'vector' $\Rightarrow \frac{d}{d z}$ is a 'rotation' $\Rightarrow$ IBP reduction is a 'projection' to basis vectors
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The idea is to obtain a differential eqn. for the integral w.r.t. $x, z$ and solve it.

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{z}\left(\begin{array}{l}
J_{1} \\
J_{2} \\
J_{3} \\
J_{4} \\
\vdots \\
J_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{l}
J_{1} \\
J_{2} \\
J_{3} \\
J_{4} \\
\vdots \\
J_{n}
\end{array}\right) \\
d_{z \mathbb{J}}=\mathbb{A}(d, z) \mathbb{J}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The method of differential equations

A Feynman integral is a function of spacetime dimension $d$ and kinematic invariants $x, z$.

$$
J_{i}=\mathcal{N} \int \frac{d^{d} l_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} l_{2}}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{l_{1}^{2} l_{2}^{2}\left(\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)^{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(l_{1}-q\right)^{2}\left(l_{2}-q\right)^{2}} \equiv f(d, x, z)
$$

The idea is to obtain a differential eqn. for the integral w.r.t. $x, z$ and solve it.

$$
d_{z}\left(\begin{array}{l}
J_{1} \\
J_{2} \\
J_{3} \\
J_{4} \\
\vdots \\
J_{n-1} \\
J_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet \\
0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet \\
0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \bullet
\end{array}\right]\left(\begin{array}{l}
J_{1} \\
J_{2} \\
J_{3} \\
J_{4} \\
\vdots \\
J_{n-1} \\
J_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The bullets ( $\bullet$ ) indicate a non-zero rational function of $d, x$ and $z$.
To solve such a system, it would be best to organize it in such a way that it diagonalizes, or at least it takes a block-triangular form. Then, it can be solved using bottom-up approach.

## The method of differential equations

- However, even a small $2 \times 2$ sub-system is difficult to solve in $d$-dimension.
- The solution is to expand the sub-system in $\epsilon$ and solve order-by-order in $\epsilon$.

$$
\frac{d}{d z} J_{n}(z, \epsilon)=\mathcal{C}_{n m}(z, \epsilon) J_{n}(z, \epsilon)+\mathcal{R}_{n}(z, \epsilon)
$$

Taylor expansion in $\epsilon$

$$
J_{n}(z, \epsilon)=\sum_{k=-2}^{\infty} J_{n}^{(k)}(z) \epsilon^{k}, \mathcal{C}_{n}(z, \epsilon)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{n}^{(k)}(z) \epsilon^{k}, \mathcal{R}_{n}(z, \epsilon)=\sum_{k=-2}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_{n}^{(k)}(z) \epsilon^{k}
$$

The leading pole is fixed for a topology (process).

$$
\frac{d}{d z} J_{n}^{(k)}(z)=\mathcal{C}_{n m}^{(0)}(z) J_{n}^{(k)}(z)+\sum_{p=1}^{k+2} \mathcal{C}_{n m}^{(p)}(z) J_{n}^{(k-p)}(z)+\mathcal{R}_{n}^{(k)}(z)
$$
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The leading pole is fixed for a topology (process). The homogeneous part is same for all $k$ !

$$
\frac{d}{d z} J_{n}^{(k)}(z)=\mathcal{C}_{n m}^{(0)}(z) J_{n}^{(k)}(z)+\sum_{p=1}^{k+2} \mathcal{C}_{n m}^{(p)}(z) J_{n}^{(k-p)}(z)+\mathcal{R}_{n}^{(k)}(z)
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## Algorithm : to solve a system of linear first order diff. eqns.

- First step is to reduce the sub-system to a higher order eqn in a single unknown

$$
d_{z}\binom{J_{1}}{J_{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet & \bullet
\end{array}\right]\binom{J_{1}}{J_{2}}+\binom{R_{1}}{R_{2}} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned}
& a \frac{d^{2} J_{1}}{d z^{2}}+b \frac{d J_{1}}{d z}+c J_{1}+d=0 \\
& J_{2}=a^{\prime} \frac{d J_{1}}{d z}+b^{\prime} J_{1}+c^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Start with the leading pole $\left(\epsilon^{-2}\right)$ - find the homogeneous solutions $\left(h_{i}(z)\right)$ and best uncoupling procedure - solve for the nonhomogeneous part using the method of variation of constant
- Structure of homogeneous part is same at each order in $\epsilon$-expansion. Hence the homogeneous solutions and uncoupling procedure are unique for any order
- Now at each order in $\epsilon$, find the nonhomogeneous part $(r(y))$ keeping the uncoupling structure fixed and solve using variation of constant

$$
g(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}(z) \int d y \frac{r(y) W_{i}(y)}{W(y)}
$$

The results are obtained in terms of iterated integrals (HPLs/GPLs).

## Iterated integrals

From Feynman integrals to iterated integrals: What do we gain?
Direct numerical integration of Feynman integrals is tedious, unstable and challenging to obtain precise results.
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Iterated integrals are one-dimensional. They can be computed with great precision in a short amount of time. Besides, they have the following properties:
(a) Shuffle algebra : Allows to obtain a basis for a set of iterated integrals. Reduction to such a basis is extremely effective to reduce the computation time by few times.
(b) Scaling invariance : Allows to convert the limit of these integrals from kinematical variables ( $z$ ) to constants (1). This makes the integration really precise.
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Till date, most of the MIs were solved in terms of GPLs
$\Downarrow$
the iterative kernel is a simple polynomial $\quad \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d t}{a+t} \int_{0}^{t} \cdots$
What happens when we have (multiple) square-roots?!

## Rationalization

## Rationalizable

- Find a suitable transformation

Let's consider $\sqrt{4 m^{2}-s}$.
We can use Landau transformation $s=-m^{2} \frac{(1-x)^{2}}{x}$ for this.

## Non-rationalizable

- A single transformation can not rationalize all square-roots simultaneously.
$\Downarrow$
Square-roots will be present in the iterated integrals.

1) We can accept 'the fact' and evaluate them with appropriate analytic continuation.
or
2) Instead of using a single transformation rule to rationalize them, we write the system (each MI) as sum of functions of dependent variables and treat them separately. As a result, each sub-system has alphabet with 'good' letters with different argument.

Phase-space computation procedure: Reverse unitarity

## Reverse unitarity

- The IBP identities and method of differential equations are state-of-the-art tools. They only depend on the 'form' of the object (Feynman integrals) and its variables.
- The phase-space integrals are challenging, specially the angular integration.

$$
\mathcal{N} \int d^{d} l_{1} d^{d} l_{2} d^{d} p_{b} \frac{1}{\left(p_{a}-l_{1}\right)^{2} \ldots} \delta\left(l_{1}^{2}\right) \delta\left(l_{2}^{2}\right) \delta\left(p_{b}^{2}\right) \delta^{d}\left(p_{a}+q-l_{1}-l_{2}-p_{b}\right) \delta\left(z^{\prime}-\frac{p_{a} \cdot p_{b}}{p_{a} \cdot q}\right)
$$

- The idea is to write the phase-space integrals in the loop-integral format and apply the methods (IBP \& DE).
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$$

- The idea is to write the phase-space integrals in the loop-integral format and apply the methods (IBP \& DE).
- Reverse unitarity

$$
\delta\left(k^{2}-m^{2}\right) \sim \frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\frac{1}{k^{2}-m^{2}-i 0}-\frac{1}{k^{2}-m^{2}+i 0}\right)
$$

- We can consider only the first term, as the differential equation is independent of the sign of $i 0$. Of course, we need a boundary conditions to solve differential equations and that is where the actual physics information (phase-space integrals) goes in!

To obtain the finite partonic cross-section

## Compute \& combine everything and mass factorization



- We compute relevant Feynman diagrams \& corresponding Feynman integrals analytically.
- We combine them appropriately, perform mass factorization and obtain the finite partonic cross-section.



## Results

We have computed the non-singlet contributions to the quark initiated process with quark fragmenting to hadrons. The finite partonic crosssection has been convoluted with PDFs and FFs to obtain the hadronic cross-section through a FOR-
 TRAN code.


## Checks

- The master integrals were computed using different methods!
- Mass factorization (universal) removes all remaining infrared singularities!
- Successful checks with available results in the threshold limit!
- The constraint ( $z^{\prime}$ ) can be integrated in our analytic result. We found perfect agreement with the fully inclusive result.

- EIC will unravel the mysteries of strong force.
- Theoretical precision studies are extremely necessary to fully exploit the EIC data.
- Our current (well-tested) theoretical understanding (the SM) is constrained by its perturbative nature and hence, higher order perturbative corrections are necessary to achieve precise theoretical predictions.
- In this talk, we have presented the computational details to obtain the first results on NNLO QCD corrections to SIDIS.
- The technicalities are impressive and generic.
- Aside the phenomenological impact of the result, it also sets a milestone for the computational technique.

Thank you for your attention!

