Hysteretic oscillations in a well-mixed population of budding yeast

Sandeep Krishna and Sunil Laxman







Sandeep Krishna, Sunil Laxman (2018) A minimal "push-pull" bistability model explains oscillations between quiescent and proliferative cell states. **bioRxiv 239897; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/239897**

Funding: NCBS-TIFR, Simons Foundation, inStem, Dept. of Biotechnology, Wellcome DBT India Alliance

Oscillations in a well-mixed chemostat



Oscillations in a well-mixed chemostat



The metabolic oscillation as reflecting a two state (Q and G) oscillation



Proliferation decisions in a population of cells



Of biological interest:

What makes some cells enter growth/proliferation, while others don't? How does the metabolic state of a cell regulate different cell fates?

Of mathematical interest:

Can the yeast metabolic oscillations be explained as a two-state relaxation oscillator? If so, what does this tell us about the above biological questions?

"Frustrated bistability": a mechanism to engineer oscillations



"Frustrated bistability": a mechanism to engineer oscillations



A frustrated bistability model of yeast oscillations



A frustrated bistability model of yeast oscillations



Cell-cell communication is necessary

Sandeep Krishna, Sunil Laxman (2018) bioRxiv 239897; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/239897





Predicting what happens to oscillations as we alter resource availability



Decrease σ by > 50%

- Prediction: Changing feeding rate changes frequency but not amplitude.
- Oscillation disappears when feeding rate is increased or decreased ~2-fold.

Sandeep Krishna, Sunil Laxman (2018) A minimal "push-pull" bistability model explains oscillations between quiescent and proliferative cell states. **bioRxiv 239897; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/239897**

Proliferation decisions in a population of cells



Of biological interest:

What makes some cells enter growth/proliferation, while others don't? How does the metabolic state of a cell regulate different cell fates?

Of mathematical interest:

Can the yeast metabolic oscillations be explained as a two-state relaxation oscillator? If so, what does this tell us about the above biological questions?

Identifying the metabolic driver



Identifying the metabolic driver Our best guess: Acetyl-CoA





Summary / Future directions

Theory:

Can the yeast metabolic oscillations be explained as a two-state relaxation oscillator? Yes If so, what does this tell us about the above biological questions? Acetyl-CoA

>Some counter-intuitive elements in the model that need explanation
>Need a more detailed model where the internal resource amount varies from cell to cell

Experiment:

How does the metabolic state (Acetyl-CoA) of a cell regulate different cell fates? How do cells communicate their metabolic state to each other?

>Experiments that manipulate Acetyl-Coa >Experiments that manipulate the external environment of the population

Sandeep Krishna, Sunil Laxman (2018) A minimal "push-pull" bistability model explains oscillations between quiescent and proliferative cell states. **bioRxiv 239897; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/239897**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dQ}{dt} &= \nu_{GQ}G - \nu_{QG}Q - \phi(t)Q, \\ \frac{dG}{dt} &= \gamma G - \nu_{GQ}G + \nu_{QG}Q - \phi(t)G, \\ q &\equiv Q/(G+Q) \\ \\ \frac{dq}{dt} &= \nu_{GQ}(1-q) - \nu_{QG}q - \gamma q(1-q), \end{aligned}$$



$$\frac{dq}{dt} = v_{GQ}(1-q) - v_{QG}q - \gamma q(1-q), \ \frac{da}{dt} = \sigma - \mu \gamma (1-q)a - \gamma (1-q)a,$$

$$\gamma = 0.32 \times a \ hr^{-1}, \ \sigma = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \ \mu = 1, \\ v_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \ v_{GQ} = [1+1.8 \times \theta (q-0.9)] \times 32 \ hr^{-1},$$







Figure 4: Breakdown of oscillations.

A) Varying the rate of production of resource σ . (i) $\sigma = 0.346/hr$, (ii) $\sigma = 0.400/hr$ (default parameters, same as Fig 3), (iii) $\sigma = 0.866/hr$. B) Varying the growth rate of cells γ . (i) $\gamma = 0.500/hr$, (ii) $\gamma = 1.665/hr$ (default parameters, same as Fig 3), (iii) $\gamma = 2.000/hr$. A metabolic resource, acetyl CoA satisfies criteria to be the controller of this $Q \leftarrow \rightarrow G$ bistability



Why Acetyl-CoA?





Proliferation decisions in a population of cells



- <u>The question</u>: What makes some cells enter growth/ proliferation, while the others don't?
- <u>Important driver of such behavior</u>: the availability and use of a metabolic resource.
- <u>Our goal:</u> can you use theoretical/mathematical models to explain such phenomena?



Plausible scenarios leading to a two-state Q & G oscillation.



ii) Accumulation of a non-consumable, external resource



iii) Production and internal consumption of a resource



A "tug-of-war" between Q and G is necessary for oscillations between the two states.



 $\gamma = 0.32 \times a \ hr^{-1}, \sigma = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 1, \nu_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \nu_{GQ} = [1 + 1.8 \times \theta(q - 0.9)] \times 32 \ hr^{-1}, \sigma = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 1, \nu_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \nu_{GQ} = [1 + 1.8 \times \theta(q - 0.9)] \times 32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 1, \nu_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \nu_{GQ} = [1 + 1.8 \times \theta(q - 0.9)] \times 32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 1, \nu_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \nu_{GQ} = [1 + 1.8 \times \theta(q - 0.9)] \times 32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 1, \nu_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \nu_{GQ} = [1 + 1.8 \times \theta(q - 0.9)] \times 32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 1, \nu_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \nu_{GQ} = [1 + 1.8 \times \theta(q - 0.9)] \times 32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 1, \nu_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \nu_{GQ} = [1 + 1.8 \times \theta(q - 0.9)] \times 32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 1, \nu_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \nu_{GQ} = [1 + 1.8 \times \theta(q - 0.9)] \times 32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 1, \nu_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \nu_{GQ} = [1 + 1.8 \times \theta(q - 0.9)] \times 32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 1, \nu_{QG} = 0.32 \ hr^{-1}, \mu = 0.32 \ h$

A "tug-of-war" between Q and G is necessary for oscillations between the two states.



But.....



Counterintuitive model, with the "resource" influencing both Q and G states



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dq}{dt} &= v_{GQ}(1-q) - v_{QG}q - \gamma q(1-q), \ \frac{da}{dt} = \sigma - \mu \gamma (1-q)a - \gamma (1-q)a, \\ \gamma &= 1.665 \ hr^{-1}, \ \sigma = 0.3996 \ hr^{-1}, \ \mu = 1, \\ v_{QG} &= v[1-0.99 \times \theta(q-K)], \ K = a^2/(0.75^2 + a^2), \\ v &= (0.165 - 0.125K) \ hr^{-1}, \ v_{GQ} = 16.65 \ hr^{-1} \end{aligned}$$