

Collegium Urbis Nov Eborac

ಸೈದ್ಧಾಂತಿಕ ವಿಜ್ಞಾನಗಳ ಅಂತರರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯ ಕೇಂದ್ರ



Mapping the Calogero Model to Anyons

with Stéphane Ouvry, (LPTMS Orsay)
arXiv:1805.09899 (NPB)

August 8, 2018

Fundamental question:

Fundamental question:

Aren't you sick of me
already??



Introduction

Fundamental question:

Aren't you sick of me
already??



Didn't you have enough
Calogero yet??

Introduction

Fundamental question:

Aren't you sick of me
already??



Didn't you have enough
Calogero yet??

Will build upon two important principles:

Fundamental question:

Aren't you sick of me
already??



Didn't you have enough
Calogero yet??

Will build upon two important principles:

- *Never underestimate the pleasure of the audience listening to what they already know*

Fundamental question:

Aren't you sick of me
already??



Didn't you have enough
Calogero yet??

Will build upon two important principles:

- *Never underestimate the pleasure of the audience listening to what they already know*
- *Nobody ever hated a speaker for finishing early*

Fundamental question:

Aren't you sick of me
already??



Didn't you have enough
Calogero yet??

Will build upon two important principles:

- *Never underestimate the pleasure of the audience listening to what they already know*
- *Nobody ever hated a speaker for finishing early*

So on to the talk

Generalized quantum statistics in one and two dimensions

Generalized quantum statistics manifest in both 2d and 1d

- In 2d they play a role in the quantum Hall effect:
Fractional quantum Hall excitations obey **anyon** statistics
- In 1d **Calogero** particles model fractional statistics AP 1988

Indications of relation between these models

- Similarity of Calogero and anyon LLL wavefunctions
- Real one-dimensional coordinate maps to complex coordinate on the plane

Already some results:

- Alternative realizations of operators in two systems Brink et al. 1993
- Matrix model noncommutative realization of FQH states AP 2001

We would like an **explicit** mapping rather than a formal one

Why is such a mapping useful?

- Intrinsically interesting
- Calculation of quantities in either side may be easier
- E.g., density correlation calculations
- Exploit particle \leftrightarrow hole duality in either system

In the sequel we will derive an N -body kernel that maps
Calogero to anyon wavefunctions

We would like an **explicit** mapping rather than a formal one

Why is such a mapping useful?

- Intrinsically interesting
- Calculation of quantities in either side may be easier
- E.g., density correlation calculations
- Exploit particle \leftrightarrow hole duality in either system

In the sequel we will derive an N -body kernel that maps
Calogero to anyon wavefunctions

In case you wonder: How can a 2d system map to a 1d system?

LLL \rightarrow phase space reduction effectively to 1d

The LLL anyon model

- N nonrelativistic anyons of unit mass and unit charge
- Anyonic statistical parameter α
- Constant magnetic field $B = 2\omega_c$
- Weak confining harmonic trap of frequency ω_o

In the **singular gauge** anyon statistics is encoded in the monodromy of the wavefunction and the Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{free}} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N \left[(\partial_i - \frac{\omega_c}{2} \bar{z}_i)(\bar{\partial}_i + \frac{\omega_c}{2} z_i) - \frac{\omega_c}{2} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\omega_t^2}{2} \bar{z}_i z_i$$

$$\omega_t^2 = \omega_c^2 + \omega_o^2$$

Redefine $\psi_{\text{free}} = \prod_{k < l} (z_k - z_l)^\alpha e^{-\omega_t \sum_{i=1}^N z_i \bar{z}_i / 2} \psi$

with **bosonic** ψ . The Hamiltonian acting on ψ is

$$H_\alpha = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\partial_i \bar{\partial}_i - \frac{\omega_t + \omega_c}{2} \bar{z}_i \bar{\partial}_i - \frac{\omega_t - \omega_c}{2} z_i \partial_i \right] - 2\alpha \sum_{i < j} \left[\frac{1}{z_i - z_j} (\bar{\partial}_i - \bar{\partial}_j) - \frac{\omega_t - \omega_c}{2} \right] + N\omega_t$$

- LLL states are **analytic** in z_i
- (unnormalized) 1-body eigenstates are $z_i^{\ell_i}$
- Many-body LLL eigenstates are

$$\psi_{\text{free}} = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^\alpha e^{-\omega \sum_{i=1}^N z_i \bar{z}_i / 2} \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N z_{\pi(i)}^{\ell_i}$$

with the spectrum

$$E_N = (\omega_t - \omega_c) \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \ell_i + \frac{1}{2} N(N-1)\alpha + N \right] + N\omega_t$$

The Calogero model

- Same spectrum as N -body 1d harmonic Calogero model with $\omega = \omega_t - \omega_o$ and $\ell = \alpha$ (up to a global $N\omega_t/2$ shift)

$$H_c = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{(x_i - x_j)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2$$

- We will seek mapping between anyon and Calogero wavefunctions of **same energy** (from now on set $\omega = 1$)

N -body ground state of harmonic Calogero Hamiltonian

$$\psi_0 = \prod_{i > j} (x_i - x_j)^g e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2/2} := \Delta_x^g e^{-[x^2]/2}$$

where

$$\Delta_x = \prod_{i > j} (x_i - x_j), \quad [x^2] = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2$$

- ψ_0 is **bosonic** and above holds in the "wedge" $x_1 < \dots < x_N$
- Use **g** instead of ℓ to avoid confusion with ℓ_i

Constructing Calogero states

Excited states obtained through the action of symmetric products of the ladder operators

AP 1992, Brink et al. 1992

$$a_i^+ = \Pi_i - x_i, \quad a_i = -\Pi_i - x_i$$

with

$$\Pi_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j \neq i} M_{ij} \frac{g}{x_i - x_j}, \quad [\Pi_i, \Pi_j] = 0$$

Energy eigenstates ψ_ℓ labeled by the N integers $\ell_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ (ℓ stands for the set $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_N$), are

$$\psi_\ell = \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N (a_{\pi(i)}^+)^{\ell_i} \psi_0$$

- Looking for an N -body kernel $k_g[x, z]$ such that

$$\int k_g[x, z] (\psi_\ell[x] e^{[x^2]/2}) [dx] = \Delta_z^g \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N z_{\pi(i)}^{\ell_i}$$

x and z stand for the collection of variables x_i and z_i

The kernel

Consider the scattering Calogero N -body Hamiltonian is

$$\bar{H}_g = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{g(g-1)}{(x_i - x_j)^2}$$

Define $h_g[x, z]$ to be the scattering Calogero eigenstate with asymptotic momenta $z_1 < \dots < z_N$

$$\bar{H}_g h_g[x, z] = \frac{1}{2} [z^2] h_g[x, z]$$

- $h_g[x, z]$ behaves as $(x_i - x_j)^g$ when $x_i \rightarrow x_j$
- Asymptotically combination of scattered plane waves

$$e^{i \sum_i x_i z_i} \rightarrow e^{-i\pi N(N-1)g/4} \sum_{\pi \in S_N} e^{i\pi g c(\pi)} e^{i \sum_i x_{\pi(i)} z_i}$$

- $c(\pi)$ is the number of particle crossings in $\{x_{\pi(i)}\}$
- Phase chosen symmetric for incident wave $e^{i(x_1 z_N + \dots + x_N z_1)}$ and scattered wave $e^{i(x_1 z_1 + \dots + x_N z_N)}$

The appropriate kernel is

$$k_g[x, z] = e^{[z^2]/4} e^{-[x^2]} h_g[x, z]$$

- Scattering momenta z_i become **anyon coordinates**

The proof is rather long (sorry; will cut it short as possible)

Basic steps:

- Conjugate operators a_i^\dagger to the free form Π_i
- Use (anti)hermiticity of Π_i to make them act on $h_g[x, z]$
- Turn their action into eigenvalues of scattering conserved quantities to produce $z_i^{\ell_j}$ part
- Show that remaining integral reproduces Δ_z^g

The last step is the most challenging one

- Achieved only for **integer g** (For $N > 2$)
- Should be true in general by analytic continuation

Write creation ladder operators as

$$a_i^+ = e^{[x^2]/2} \Pi_i e^{-[x^2]/2}$$

Convolution integral over wedge $x_1 < \dots < x_N$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int k_g[x, z] \left(e^{[x^2]/2} \psi_\ell[x] \right) [dx] \\ &= \int e^{[z^2]/4} e^{-[x^2]/2} h_g[x, z] \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N (a_{\pi(i)}^+)^{\ell_i} \Delta_x^g e^{-[x^2]/2} [dx] \\ &= \int e^{[z^2]/4} h_g[x, z] \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N \Pi_{\pi(i)}^{\ell_i} \Delta_x^g e^{-[x^2]} [dx] \\ &= (-1)^{\sum_i \ell_i} e^{[z^2]/4} \int \Delta_x^g e^{-[x^2]} \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N \Pi_{\pi(i)}^{\ell_i} h_g[x, z] [dx] \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used the antihermiticity of Π_i

Conserved quantities

- Symmetrized products in Π_i are the conserved integrals of the scattering Calogero model
- Acting on $h_g[x, z]$ gives their eigenvalues
- These are the corresponding symmetrized product of z_i

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N \Pi_{\pi(i)}^{\ell_i} h_g[x, z] = \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N (iz_{\pi(i)})^{\ell_i} h_g[x, z]$$

(For proofs refer to my "pedagogical" lectures or the paper)

The above lead to

$$\int k_g[x, z] \psi_\ell[x] [dx] = (-i)^{\sum_i \ell_i} e^{[z^2]/4} \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N z_{\pi(i)}^{\ell_i} \int \Delta_x^g e^{-[x^2]} h_g[x, z] [dx]$$

It remains to show that the integral in the RHS reproduces Δ_z^g

To this end, define the exchange-Calogero scattering Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_\Pi = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \Pi_i^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{g(g - M_{ij})}{(x_i - x_j)^2}$$

and its harmonic counterpart

$$\hat{H}_a = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2} (a_i^+ a_i + a_i a_i^+) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + x_i^2 \right) + \sum_{i < j} \frac{g(g - M_{ij})}{(x_i - x_j)^2}$$

Define further the intertwining operators

Felder & Veselov 1994

$$\hat{\Delta}_\Pi = \prod_{i > j} (\Pi_i - \Pi_j), \quad \hat{\Delta}_a = \prod_{i > j} (a_i^+ - a_j^+)$$

related as

$$\hat{\Delta}_a = e^{[x^2]/2} \hat{\Delta}_\Pi e^{-[x^2]/2}$$

and the corresponding bosonic-projected operators $\tilde{\Delta}_g$ and $\bar{\Delta}_g$

$$\hat{\Delta}_a \psi_B = \tilde{\Delta}_g \psi_B, \quad \hat{\Delta}_\Pi \psi_B = \bar{\Delta}_g \psi_B$$

Generating scattering states

- The above acting on bosonic states produce fermionic ones
- Exchange-Calogero Hamiltonians acting on fermionic states

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_F \text{ become } \hat{H}_a \psi_F &= \tilde{H}_{-g} \psi_F = \tilde{H}_{g+1} \psi_F \\ \hat{H}_\Pi \psi_F &= \bar{H}_{-g} \psi_F = \bar{H}_{g+1} \psi_F\end{aligned}$$

From the commutativity of Π_i

$$\hat{H}_\Pi \hat{\Delta}_\Pi = \hat{\Delta}_\Pi \hat{H}_\Pi$$

Applying this relation on a bosonic state and using the projection relations we deduce

$$\bar{H}_{g+1} \bar{\Delta}_g \psi_B = \bar{\Delta}_g \bar{H}_g \psi_B$$

and from locality

$$\bar{H}_{g+1} \bar{\Delta}_g = \bar{\Delta}_g \bar{H}_g$$

Iterating the above for integer g

$$\bar{H}_g \bar{\Delta}_{g-1} \dots \bar{\Delta}_0 = \bar{\Delta}_{g-1} \dots \Delta_0 \bar{H}_0$$

Acting on the symmetrized free plane wave

$$S e^{i \sum_i x_i z_i} = \sum_{\pi \in S_N} e^{i \sum_i x_{\pi(i)} z_i}$$

the above gives

$$\bar{H}_g \bar{\Delta}_{g-1} \dots \bar{\Delta}_0 S e^{i \sum_i x_i z_i} = \frac{1}{2} [z^2] \bar{\Delta}_{g-1} \dots \bar{\Delta}_0 S e^{i \sum_i x_i z_i}$$

This implies (upon determining the overall coefficient)

$$h_g[x, z] = (-1)^{gN(N-1)/2} \Delta_z^{-g} \bar{\Delta}_{g-1} \dots \bar{\Delta}_0 S e^{i \sum_i x_i z_i}$$

- Operator solution of the scattering Calogero model

Remarkable fact: $h_g[x, z]$ is symmetric ("dual")

$$h_g[x, z] = h_g[z, x]$$

- Not obvious (refer to paper for proof)

This implies

$$h_g[x, z] = (-1)^{gN(N-1)/2} \Delta_x^{-g} \bar{\Delta}_{g-1}[z] \dots \bar{\Delta}_0[z] S e^{i \sum_i x_i z_i}$$

The integral of interest becomes, for integer g

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int \Delta_x^g e^{-[x^2]} h_g[x, z] [dx] \\
 &= \int \Delta_x^g e^{-[x]^2} (-1)^{gN(N-1)/2} \Delta_x^{-g} \bar{\Delta}_{g-1}[z] \dots \bar{\Delta}_0[z] S e^{i \sum_i x_i z_i} [dx] \\
 &= N! (-1)^{gN(N-1)/2} \bar{\Delta}_{g-1}[z] \dots \bar{\Delta}_0[z] \int e^{-[x]^2 + i \sum_i x_i z_i} [dx] \\
 &= (-1)^{gN(N-1)/2} \pi^{N/2} \bar{\Delta}_{g-1}[z] \dots \bar{\Delta}_0[z] e^{-[z^2]/4}
 \end{aligned}$$

It remains to determine the action of operators $\bar{\Delta}_g[z]$ on $e^{-[z^2]/4}$
 With similar tricks (see paper) we obtain

$$\bar{\Delta}_{g-1}[z] \dots \bar{\Delta}_0[z] e^{-[z^2]/4} = (-2)^{-gN(N-1)/2} \Delta_z^g e^{-[z^2]/4}$$

and inserting this in the integral of interest

$$\int \Delta_x^g e^{-[x^2]} h_g[x, z] [dx] = 2^{-gN(N-1)/2} \pi^{N/2} \Delta_z^g e^{-[z^2]/4}$$

Can be analytically continued to arbitrary values of g

Putting everything together we obtain our final result

$$\int e^{[z^2]/4} e^{-[x^2]/2} h_g[x, z] \psi_\ell[x] [dx] = \Delta_z^g \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_N} \prod_{i=1}^N z_{\pi(i)}^{\ell_i}$$

Calogero \rightarrow Anyons

At last...

Putting everything together we obtain our final result

$$\int e^{[z^2]/4} e^{-[x^2]/2} h_g[x, z] \psi_\ell[x] [dx] = \Delta_z^g \sum_{\pi \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^N z_{\pi(i)}^{\ell_i}$$

Calogero \rightarrow Anyons

Our desired
mapping relation!



Conclusions and outlook

- Explicit mapping Calogero \rightarrow Anyons established
- State-dependent part fully general
- Vandermonde part only for integer g
- For $N = 2$ established for arbitrary g . $N > 2$ Should be OK too.

Open questions

- Sutherland to what? (Anyons on cylinder; maybe on sphere??)
- Elliptic to what? (Anyons on torus??)
- Interesting applications?

Conclusions and outlook

- Explicit mapping Calogero \rightarrow Anyons established
- State-dependent part fully general
- Vandermonde part only for integer g
- For $N = 2$ established for arbitrary g . $N > 2$ Should be OK too.

Open questions

- Sutherland to what? (Anyons on cylinder; maybe on sphere??)
- Elliptic to what? (Anyons on torus??)
- Interesting applications?

Thank You!