Wave Zone Physics: Radiative losses

Balance equations

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -c \oint_{\infty} (-g) t_{\rm LL}^{0k} dS_k$$
$$\frac{dP^j}{dt} = -\oint_{\infty} (-g) t_{\rm LL}^{jk} dS_k$$
$$\frac{dJ^{jk}}{dt} = -\oint_{\infty} \left[x^j (-g) t_{\rm LL}^{kn} - x^k (-g) t_{\rm LL}^{jn} \right] dS_r$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Tools:} \qquad (-g)t_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\alpha\beta} &\coloneqq \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \Biggl\{ \partial_\lambda \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda\mu} - \partial_\lambda \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\lambda} \partial_\mu \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\mu} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\lambda\mu} \partial_\rho \mathfrak{g}^{\lambda\nu} \partial_\nu \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\rho} \\ &- g^{\alpha\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\nu} \partial_\lambda \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\rho} - g^{\beta\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\nu} \partial_\lambda \mathfrak{g}^{\mu\rho} + g_{\lambda\mu} g^{\nu\rho} \partial_\nu \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\lambda} \partial_\rho \mathfrak{g}^{\beta\mu} \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} (2g^{\alpha\lambda} g^{\beta\mu} - g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\lambda\mu}) (2g_{\nu\rho} g_{\sigma\tau} - g_{\rho\sigma} g_{\nu\tau}) \partial_\lambda \mathfrak{g}^{\nu\tau} \partial_\mu \mathfrak{g}^{\rho\sigma} \Biggr\} \end{aligned}$$

Wave Zone Physics: Energy flux

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE}{dt} &= c \int \partial_0 \tau^{00} d^3 x \\ &= -c \oint (-g) t_{\rm LL}^{0j} dS_j \\ &= -\frac{c^3 R^2}{16\pi G} \oint \left[(\partial_t h_+)^2 + (\partial_t h_\times)^2 \right] d\Omega \\ &= -\frac{G}{5c^5} \ddot{I}^{\langle pq \rangle} \ddot{I}^{\langle pq \rangle} + O(c^{-7}) \end{aligned}$$

Called the quadrupole formula for energy flux
 Also known as the "Newtonian" order contribution
 Also a flux of angular momentum dJ/dt and of linear momentum dP/dt

For a 2-body system:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\frac{8}{15}\eta^2 \frac{c^3}{G} \left(\frac{Gm}{c^2 r}\right)^4 \left(12v^2 - 11\dot{r}^2\right)$$



Energy flux: eccentric orbit

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{32}{5}\eta^2 \frac{c^5}{G} \left(\frac{Gm}{c^2 p}\right)^5 (1 + e\cos\phi)^4 \left[1 + 2e\cos\phi + \frac{1}{12}e^2(1 + 11\cos^2\phi)\right]$$



Energy flux and binary pulsars

Orbit-averaged flux

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\frac{32}{5}\eta^2 \frac{c^5}{G} \left(\frac{Gm}{c^2a}\right)^5 F(e)$$

Period decrease $E \propto a^{-1} \propto P^{-2/3}$

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = -\frac{192\pi}{5} \left(\frac{G\mathcal{M}}{c^3} \frac{2\pi}{P}\right)^{5/3} F(e)$$
$$\mathcal{M} \equiv \eta^{3/5} m = \text{chirp mass}$$

- "Newtonian" GW flux
- 2.5 PN correction to Newtonian equations of motion
- PN corrections can be calculated, now reaching 4 PN order

$$F(e) = \frac{1 + \frac{13}{24}e^2 + \frac{34}{96}e^4}{(1 - e^2)^{7/2}}$$







37 1

Energy flux and GW interferometers

For a circular orbit, to 3.5 PN order:

$$\begin{split} \nu &= \eta = M_1 M_2 / (M_1 + M_2)^2 \qquad x = \beta^{2/3} = (Gm\Omega/c^3)^{2/3} \sim (v/c)^2 \\ \frac{dE}{dt} &= -\frac{32c^5}{5G} \nu^2 x^5 \Big\{ 1 + \left(-\frac{1247}{336} - \frac{35}{12} \nu \right) x + 4\pi x^{3/2} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{44711}{9072} + \frac{9271}{504} \nu + \frac{65}{18} \nu^2 \right) x^2 + \left(-\frac{8191}{672} - \frac{583}{24} \nu \right) \pi x^{5/2} \\ &+ \left[\frac{6643739519}{69854400} + \frac{16}{3} \pi^2 - \frac{1712}{105} \gamma_E - \frac{856}{105} \ln(16x) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{134543}{7776} + \frac{41}{48} \pi^2 \right) \nu - \frac{94403}{3024} \nu^2 - \frac{775}{324} \nu^3 \right] x^3 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{16285}{504} + \frac{214745}{1728} \nu + \frac{193385}{3024} \nu^2 \right) \pi x^{7/2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^8}\right) \Big\}. \end{split}$$

From Blanchet, Living Reviews in Relativity 17, 2 (2014)



Energy flux and GW interferometers



Wave Zone Physics: Momentum flux

$$\frac{dP^{j}}{dt} = -\frac{c^{2}R^{2}}{32\pi G} \int N^{j} \left[\left(\partial_{\tau}h_{+} \right)^{2} + \left(\partial_{\tau}h_{\times} \right)^{2} \right] d\Omega
= -\frac{G}{c^{7}} \left(\frac{2}{63} \frac{(4)^{\langle jpq \rangle}}{I} \overset{(\gamma pq)}{T} - \frac{16}{45} \epsilon^{j}{}_{pq} \overset{(\gamma pr)}{I} \overset{(\gamma qr)}{I} \right)
\frac{dP^{j}}{dt} = \frac{8}{105} \Delta \eta^{2} \frac{c}{G} \left(\frac{Gm}{c^{2}r} \right)^{4} \left[v^{j} \left(50v^{2} - 38\dot{r}^{2} + 8\frac{Gm}{r} \right)
- \dot{r}n^{j} \left(55v^{2} - 45\dot{r}^{2} + 12\frac{Gm}{r} \right) \right]$$

$$\Delta = \frac{m_{1} - m_{2}}{m_{1} + m_{2}}
\eta = \frac{m_{1}m_{2}}{(m_{1} + m_{2})}$$

 Note: recoil is opposite to velocity of lightest particle
 Final v is that when flux turns off (eg merger of 2 BH)

Radiation of momentum and the recoil of massive black holes

General Relativity

- Interference between quadrupole and higher moments
 Peres (62), Bonnor & Rotenberg (61), Papapetrou (61), Thorne (80)
- "Newtonian effect" for binaries
 Fitchett (83), Fitchett & Detweiler (84)
- 1 PN & 2PN correction terms
 Wiseman (92), Blanchet, Qusailah & CMW (05)

Astrophysics

- MBH formation by mergers is affected if BH ejected from early galaxies Schnittman (07)
- Ejection from dwarf galaxies or globular clusters
- Displacement from center could affect galactic core Merritt, Milosavljevic, Favata, Hughes & Holz (04) Favata, Hughes & Holz (04)



J0927+2943 - 2600 km/s? Komossa et al 2008



How black holes get their kicks

Getting a kick out of numerical relativity Centrella, et al

Total recoil: the maximum kick....

A swift kick in the astrophysical compact object Boot, Foot, et al



Comparing 2PN kick with numerical relativity



Loss of energy at order c⁻⁵ implies that the dynamics of a system cannot be conservative at 2.5 PN order

There must be a radiation reaction force F that dissipates energy according to

$$\sum_{A} \boldsymbol{F}_{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_{A} = \frac{dE}{dt}$$

To find this force, we return to the near-zone and iterate the relaxed Einstein equations **3 times** to find the metric to 2.5 PN order

That metric is inserted into the equations of motion $\,
abla_eta T^{lphaeta} = 0$

There are Newtonian, 1 PN, 2 PN, 2.5 PN, terms (no 1.5 PN!) Happily, to find the leading 2.5 PN contributions, it is not necessary to calculate the 2 PN terms explicitly (though that has been done)





Pulling all the contributions together, we find the equations of hydrodynamics to 2.5 PN order

$$\rho^* \frac{d\boldsymbol{v}}{dt} = \rho^* \boldsymbol{\nabla} U - \boldsymbol{\nabla} p + O(c^{-2}) + O(c^{-4}) + \boldsymbol{f}$$

Where f is a radiation reaction force density. For body A

$$\boldsymbol{F}_A = \int_A \rho^* \boldsymbol{f} d^3 x$$

For a 2-body system, this leads to a radiation-reaction contribution

$$\boldsymbol{a}[\mathrm{rr}] = \frac{8}{5} \eta \frac{(GM)^2}{c^5 r^3} \left[\left(3v^2 + \frac{17}{3} \frac{GM}{r} \right) \dot{r} \, \boldsymbol{n} - \left(v^2 + 3 \frac{GM}{r} \right) \boldsymbol{v} \right]$$

This is harmonic gauge (also called Damour-Deruelle gauge)



Alternative gauge: the Burke-Thorne gauge. All RR effects embodied in a modification of the Newtonian potential

$$U \to U - \frac{G}{5c^5} \frac{d^5 I^{\langle jk \rangle}}{dt^5} x^j x^k$$

For a two body system

$$\boldsymbol{a}[\mathrm{rr}] = \frac{8}{5} \eta \frac{(GM)^2}{c^5 r^3} \left[\left(18v^2 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{GM}{r} - 25\dot{r}^2 \right) \dot{r} \, \boldsymbol{n} - \left(6v^2 - 2\frac{GM}{r} - 15\dot{r}^2 \right) \boldsymbol{v} \right]$$

In any gauge, orbital damping precisely matches wave-zone fluxes:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE}{dt} &= -\frac{8}{15} \eta^2 \frac{c^3}{G} \left(\frac{Gm}{c^2 r}\right)^4 \left(12v^2 - 11\dot{r}^2\right), \\ \frac{dJ^j}{dt} &= -\frac{8}{5} \eta^2 \frac{c}{G} \left(\frac{Gm}{c^2 r}\right)^3 h^j \left(2v^2 - 3\dot{r}^2 + 2\frac{Gm}{r}\right), \\ \frac{dP^j}{dt} &= \frac{8}{105} \Delta \eta^2 \frac{c}{G} \left(\frac{Gm}{c^2 r}\right)^4 \left[v^j \left(50v^2 - 38\dot{r}^2 + 8\frac{Gm}{r}\right) - \dot{r}n^j \left(55v^2 - 45\dot{r}^2 + 12\frac{Gm}{r}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$



Check dE/dt:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \mu \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}[\mathrm{rr}] = \frac{8}{5} \eta^2 m \frac{(Gm)^2}{c^5 r^3} \left[\left(3v^2 + \frac{17}{3} \frac{Gm}{r} \right) \dot{r}^2 - \left(v^2 + 3\frac{Gm}{r} \right) v^2 \right]$$

But

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{v^2\dot{r}}{r^2}\right) = \frac{1}{r^3}\left(v^4 - v^2\frac{GM}{r} - 3v^2\dot{r}^2 - 2\dot{r}^2\frac{GM}{r}\right)$$

Thus

$$\frac{dE^*}{dt} = -\frac{8}{15}\eta^2 \frac{c^3}{G} \left(\frac{Gm}{c^2r}\right)^4 \left(12v^2 - 11\dot{r}^2\right)$$

$$E^* = E + \frac{8}{5}\eta \frac{G\mu m}{r} \left(\frac{Gm}{c^2 r} \frac{v^2 \dot{r}}{c^3}\right)$$



Inserting \mathbf{a}_{RR} into the Lagrange planetary equation as a disturbing force and integrating over an orbit

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp}{dt} &= -\frac{64}{5} \eta c \left(\frac{GM}{c^2 p}\right)^3 (1 - e^2)^{3/2} \left(1 + \frac{7}{8}e^2\right), \\ \frac{de}{dt} &= -\frac{304}{15} \eta c \frac{e}{p} \left(\frac{GM}{c^2 p}\right)^3 (1 - e^2)^{3/2} \left(1 + \frac{121}{304}e^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

Radiation reaction causes 2-body orbits to inspiral and circularize

$$\frac{e}{e_0} \sim \left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right)^{19}$$

/12

The Hulse-Taylor binary pulsar will circularize and merge within 300 Myr; the double pulsar within 85 Myr
 This is short compared to the age of galaxies (5 - 10 Gyr)
 There must be NS-NS binaries merging today (possibly even NS-BH and BH-BH binaries)
 The inspiral of compact binaries is a leading potential source of GW for interferometers

