

Finiteness theorems for the space of holomorphic mappings

Jaikrishnan Janardhanan
Indian Institute of Technology Madras

`jaikrishnan@iitm.ac.in`

Talk at ICTS, Bangalore, July 22, 2019

Notation

- Let X and Y be complex manifolds. We will use the following notations:
 - ▶ $\mathcal{O}(X, Y)$ denotes the space of holomorphic mappings from X into Y .
 - ▶ $\mathcal{O}_*(X, Y)$ denotes the space of non-constant holomorphic mappings from X into Y .
 - ▶ $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dom}}(X, Y)$ denotes the space of dominant holomorphic mappings from X into Y , i.e., those mappings for which the range has a non-empty interior.
- All function spaces will given the compact-open topology.

Some classical finiteness/non-existence theorems

- 1 Our objective is to study scenarios under which the cardinality of $\mathcal{O}_*(X, Y)$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dom}}(X, Y)$ is finite.
- 2 **Liouville's Theorem:** If D is a bounded planar domain then $\mathcal{O}_*(\mathbb{C}, D) = \emptyset$.
- 3 **Little Picard Theorem:** $\mathcal{O}_*(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}) = \emptyset$.
- 4 **Theorem of de Franchis:** If R and S are compact Riemann surfaces both of genus at least 2 then $\mathcal{O}_*(R, S)$ is a finite set.
- 5 $\mathcal{O}_*(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\})$ is a finite set.

Imayoshi's Theorem

Result (Imayoshi, 1982)

Let R be a Riemann surface of finite type and let S be a Riemann surface of finite type (g, n) with $2g - 2 + n > 0$. Then $O_(R, S)$ is a finite set.*

A Riemann surface of finite type (g, n) is a Riemann surface that is biholomorphic to a Riemann surface obtained by removing n points from a compact Riemann surface of genus g .

Our main result

Theorem (Divakaran and Jaikrishnan, 2017, IJM)

Let $X := X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ be a product of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of finite type and let $Y = \Omega/\Gamma$ be an m -dimensional complex manifold where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a bounded domain and Γ is fixed-point-free discrete subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$.

- 1 If N is a tautly embedded complex submanifold of Y then $O_{\text{dom}}(X, N)$ is a finite set.
- 2 If Y is geometrically finite and Ω is complete hyperbolic then $O_{\text{dom}}(X, Y)$ is a finite set.
- 3 In addition to the conditions in (2), if the essential boundary dimension of Ω is zero, then $O_*(X, Y)$ is a finite set.

Tautness and normal families

- If M and N are two Kobayashi hyperbolic complex manifolds, then by the distance decreasing property of the Kobayashi distance, the space $\mathcal{O}(M, N)$ is an equicontinuous family.

Definition

A subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}(M, N)$ is said to be a *normal family* if every sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ has either a subsequence that converges uniformly on compacts to a function in $\mathcal{C}(M, N)$ or has a compactly divergent subsequence.

A complex manifold N is said to be *taut* if for every complex manifold M the set $\mathcal{O}(M, N)$ is a normal family.

Let N be a complex manifold and let Y be a complex submanifold. We say that Y is *tautly embedded* in N if every sequence of holomorphic mappings $\{f_n : M \rightarrow Y\}$, where M is any complex manifold, admits a subsequence that converges uniformly on compacts to a holomorphic map $f : M \rightarrow N$.

- Complete hyperbolic manifolds are taut.

Meaning of technical terms

Instead of giving of precise definitions, we will try to explain, loosely, the meanings of the various technical terms.

- 1 Every topological manifold can be assigned a *space of ends* which is roughly the various connected components after excising a suitably large connected compact set.
- 2 A geometrically finite complex manifold is one that has only finitely many ends each of which satisfies a certain technical condition.
- 3 Riemann surfaces of finite type are geometrically finite.
- 4 The essential boundary dimension of a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n is roughly the maximal dimension of analytic sets sitting in ∂D . The unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n and more generally strictly pseudoconvex domains have essential boundary dimension 0 whereas the polydisk has essential boundary dimension $n - 1$.

A rigidity result

We will now give a sketch of our proof in the special case that X and Y are compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. We need a rigidity result.

Theorem

Let $X := \mathbb{D}/G$ and $Y := \mathbb{D}/\Gamma$ be compact hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. If non-constant holomorphic mappings $\phi, \psi : X \rightarrow Y$ induce the same homomorphisms from G to Γ then $\phi = \psi$.

What is the induced homomorphism?

Let $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{D}$ and $g \in G$. Let $\tilde{\phi}$ be a lift. This lifting induces a homomorphism $\chi : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ which can be described in two ways:

- 1 There is a unique element $h \in \Gamma$ that takes $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{x})$ to $\tilde{\phi}(g(\tilde{x}))$. The choice of h is independent of the choice of \tilde{x} and we get a homomorphism χ of groups. We have the following relationship

$$\tilde{\phi} \circ g = \chi(g) \circ \tilde{\phi}.$$

- 2 Let $x \in X$ be the image of \tilde{x} under the quotient map and let $y := \phi(x)$. Let $\Phi : G \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x)$ and $\Lambda : \pi_1(Y, y) \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the natural isomorphisms. Then $\Lambda \circ \phi_* \circ \Phi$, where $\phi_* : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, y)$ is the induced map on fundamental groups, is the required homomorphism.

Proof sketch

- Let $\{f_k\} \subseteq O(X, Y)$ be a sequence of distinct non-constant holomorphic mappings. We may assume that the sequence $\{f_k\}$ converges in the compact-open topology to a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$.
- We show that for suitably large k , we can find lifts \tilde{f}_k and \tilde{f} that induce the same homomorphism on G .
- We first choose k suitably large so that $z_k := f_k(x)$ and $y := f(x)$ belong to an evenly covered coordinate ball in Y , say U .
- Choose \tilde{f} and \tilde{f}_k to be the lifts of f and f_k , respectively, such that $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{f}_k(\tilde{x}) \in \tilde{U}$.
- Let χ and χ_k be the homomorphism induced by \tilde{f} and \tilde{f}_k , respectively.
- Each $g \in G$ can be represented by a closed loop based at x , say γ . Then $f \circ \gamma$ and $f_k \circ \gamma$ are loops in Y based at y and z_k , respectively.

Proof sketch...

- Let $\sigma := f \circ \gamma$ and $\sigma_k := \bar{\delta}_k * (f_k \circ \gamma) * \delta_k$ be two loops based at the point y , where δ_k is a curve lying in U that connects y to z_k .
- Now $\sigma_k \rightarrow \sigma$ uniformly. Therefore σ and σ_k are equivalent in $\pi_1(Y, y)$ for suitably large k .
- Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_k$ be the lifts of σ and σ_k , respectively, that start at $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x})$. As σ and σ_k represent the same element in $\pi_1(Y, y)$, the endpoints of $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_k$ must be the same and equal to $\chi(g) \left(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) \right)$.

Conclusion of proof sketch

Since the quotient map is a homeomorphism from \tilde{U} to U and δ_k lies entirely in U , a lift of δ_k starting at $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x})$ ends in \tilde{U} . Similarly, a lift of $\bar{\delta}_k$ that ends in $\chi(g)(\tilde{U})$ has to begin in $\chi(g)(\tilde{U})$. Thus a lift of $f_k \circ \gamma$ starting in \tilde{U} (at $\delta_k(1)$) has to end in $\chi(g)(\tilde{U})$. Thus, $\chi_k(g)(\tilde{U}) \cap \chi(g)(\tilde{U}) \neq \emptyset$. Since U is an evenly covered neighborhood, it follows that $\chi_k(g) = \chi(g)$.

THANK YOU