Moebius and Conformal Maps Between Boundaries of CAT(-1) Spaces

Kingshook Biswas, RKM Vivekananda University.

Kingshook Biswas

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$

= < reflections in hyperplanes, inversions in spheres >

= group of homeomorphisms preserving **cross-ratio** where cross-ratio of a quadruple of distinct points defined by

$$[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta'] := \frac{||\xi - \eta||||\xi' - \eta'||}{||\xi - \eta'|||\xi' - \eta||}$$

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathsf{S}^n) \curvearrowright \mathsf{S}^n$

= conjugate of Moeb($\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$) by stereographic projection $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \to S^n$

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio

Moebius group in n dimensions

Moebius group:

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$

= < reflections in hyperplanes, inversions in spheres >

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio
where cross-ratio of a quadruple of distinct points defined by

$$[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta'] := \frac{||\xi - \eta||||\xi' - \eta'||}{||\xi - \eta'||||\xi' - \eta||}$$

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathsf{S}^n) \curvearrowright \mathsf{S}^n$

= conjugate of Moeb($\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$) by stereographic projection $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \to S^n$

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio

Moebius group in n dimensions

Moebius group:

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$

= < reflections in hyperplanes, inversions in spheres >

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio
where cross-ratio of a quadruple of distinct points defined by

$$[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta'] := \frac{||\xi - \eta||||\xi' - \eta'||}{||\xi - \eta'|||\xi' - \eta||}$$

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathsf{S}^n) \curvearrowright \mathsf{S}^n$

= conjugate of Moeb($\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$) by stereographic projection $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \to S^n$

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$

= < reflections in hyperplanes, inversions in spheres >

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio

where cross-ratio of a quadruple of distinct points defined by

$$[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta'] := \frac{||\xi - \eta||||\xi' - \eta'||}{||\xi - \eta'|||\xi' - \eta||}$$

 $Moeb(S^n) \curvearrowright S^n$

= conjugate of Moeb($\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$) by stereographic projection $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \to S^n$

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$

= < reflections in hyperplanes, inversions in spheres >

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio
where cross-ratio of a quadruple of distinct points defined by

$$[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta'] := \frac{||\xi - \eta||||\xi' - \eta'||}{||\xi - \eta'||||\xi' - \eta||}$$

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathsf{S}^n) \curvearrowright \mathsf{S}^r$

= conjugate of Moeb($\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$) by stereographic projection $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \to S^n$

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$

= < reflections in hyperplanes, inversions in spheres >

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio
where cross-ratio of a quadruple of distinct points defined by

$$[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta'] := \frac{||\xi - \eta||||\xi' - \eta'||}{||\xi - \eta'||||\xi' - \eta||}$$

$\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathsf{S}^n) \curvearrowright \mathsf{S}^n$

= conjugate of Moeb($\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$) by stereographic projection $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \to S^n$

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$

= < reflections in hyperplanes, inversions in spheres >

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio
where cross-ratio of a quadruple of distinct points defined by

$$[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta'] := \frac{||\xi - \eta||||\xi' - \eta'||}{||\xi - \eta'||||\xi' - \eta||}$$

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathsf{S}^n) \curvearrowright \mathsf{S}^n$

= conjugate of Moeb($\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$) by stereographic projection $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \to S^n$

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$

= < reflections in hyperplanes, inversions in spheres >

group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio
 where cross-ratio of a quadruple of distinct points defined by

$$[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta'] := \frac{||\xi - \eta||||\xi' - \eta'||}{||\xi - \eta'||||\xi' - \eta||}$$

 $Moeb(S^n) \curvearrowright S^n$

= conjugate of Moeb($\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$) by stereographic projection $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \to S^n$

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio

 $\mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$

= < reflections in hyperplanes, inversions in spheres >

group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio
 where cross-ratio of a quadruple of distinct points defined by

$$[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta'] := \frac{||\xi - \eta||||\xi' - \eta'||}{||\xi - \eta'||||\xi' - \eta||}$$

 $Moeb(S^n) \curvearrowright S^n$

= conjugate of Moeb($\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$) by stereographic projection $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \to S^n$

= group of homeomorphisms preserving cross-ratio

Upper half-space model: $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $\partial \mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\}$ lsom $(\mathbb{H}^n) = <$ reflections/inversions in mirrors $\perp \partial \mathbb{H}^n >$ The map

$$\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) o \mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\})$$

 $f \mapsto f_{|\partial \mathbb{H}^n}$

is an isomorphism.

Thus

```
\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) \simeq \mathsf{Moeb}(\partial \mathbb{H}^n)
```

・ロッ ・ 一 ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

Upper half-space model: $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $\partial \mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\}$ Isom $(\mathbb{H}^n) = <$ reflections/inversions in mirrors $\perp \partial \mathbb{H}^n >$ The map

$$\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) o \mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\})$$

 $f \mapsto f_{|\partial \mathbb{H}^n}$

is an isomorphism.

Thus

```
\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) \simeq \mathsf{Moeb}(\partial \mathbb{H}^n)
```

ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Upper half-space model: $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $\partial \mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\}$ Isom $(\mathbb{H}^n) = <$ reflections/inversions in mirrors $\perp \partial \mathbb{H}^n >$ The map

$$\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) o \mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\})$$

 $f \mapsto f_{|\partial \mathbb{H}^n}$

is an isomorphism.

Thus

```
\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) \simeq \mathsf{Moeb}(\partial \mathbb{H}^n)
```

・ロッ ・ 一 ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

Upper half-space model: $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $\partial \mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\}$ Isom $(\mathbb{H}^n) = <$ reflections/inversions in mirrors $\perp \partial \mathbb{H}^n >$ The map

$$\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) \to \mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\})$$
$$f \mapsto f_{|\partial \mathbb{H}^n}$$

is an isomorphism.

Thus

```
\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) \simeq \mathsf{Moeb}(\partial \mathbb{H}^n)
```

ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Upper half-space model: $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $\partial \mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\}$ Isom $(\mathbb{H}^n) = <$ reflections/inversions in mirrors $\perp \partial \mathbb{H}^n >$ The map

$$\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) \to \mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\})$$
$$f \mapsto f_{|\partial \mathbb{H}^n}$$

is an isomorphism.

Thus

 $\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) \simeq \mathsf{Moeb}(\partial \mathbb{H}^n)$

▲ロ → ▲圖 → ▲ 画 → ▲ 画 → …

Upper half-space model: $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $\partial \mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\}$ Isom $(\mathbb{H}^n) = <$ reflections/inversions in mirrors $\perp \partial \mathbb{H}^n >$ The map

$$\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) o \mathsf{Moeb}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \{\infty\})$$

 $f \mapsto f_{|\partial \mathbb{H}^n}$

is an isomorphism.

Thus

$$\mathsf{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n) \simeq \mathsf{Moeb}(\partial \mathbb{H}^n)$$

・ロッ ・ 一 ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

(Mostow) For $n \ge 3$, any isomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)$ between fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic *n*-manifolds M, N is induced by an isometry $f : M \to N$.

Sketch of proof:

Step 1. Choosing a basepoint $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, ϕ induces an equivariant quasi-isometry

 $f_0: \pi_1(M) \cdot x_0 \to \pi_1(N) \cdot x_0, g \cdot x_0 \mapsto \phi(g) \cdot x_0.$

Step 2. f_0 extends to an equivariant quasi-conformal homeomorphism $F : \partial \mathbb{H}^n \to \partial \mathbb{H}^n$.

Step 3. *F* equivariant and quasi-conformal implies *F* conformal, hence Moebius.

(Mostow) For $n \ge 3$, any isomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)$ between fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic *n*-manifolds M, N is induced by an isometry $f : M \to N$.

Sketch of proof:

Step 1. Choosing a basepoint $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, ϕ induces an equivariant quasi-isometry

 $f_0: \pi_1(M) \cdot x_0 \to \pi_1(N) \cdot x_0, g \cdot x_0 \mapsto \phi(g) \cdot x_0.$

Step 2. f_0 extends to an equivariant quasi-conformal homeomorphism $F : \partial \mathbb{H}^n \to \partial \mathbb{H}^n$.

Step 3. *F* equivariant and quasi-conformal implies *F* conformal, hence Moebius.

(Mostow) For $n \ge 3$, any isomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)$ between fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic *n*-manifolds M, N is induced by an isometry $f : M \to N$.

Sketch of proof:

Step 1. Choosing a basepoint $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, ϕ induces an equivariant quasi-isometry

 $f_0: \pi_1(M) \cdot x_0 \to \pi_1(N) \cdot x_0, g \cdot x_0 \mapsto \phi(g) \cdot x_0.$

Step 2. f_0 extends to an equivariant quasi-conformal homeomorphism $F : \partial \mathbb{H}^n \to \partial \mathbb{H}^n$.

Step 3. *F* equivariant and quasi-conformal implies *F* conformal, hence Moebius.

(Mostow) For $n \ge 3$, any isomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)$ between fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic *n*-manifolds M, N is induced by an isometry $f : M \to N$.

Sketch of proof:

Step 1. Choosing a basepoint $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, ϕ induces an equivariant quasi-isometry

 $f_0: \pi_1(M) \cdot x_0 \to \pi_1(N) \cdot x_0, g \cdot x_0 \mapsto \phi(g) \cdot x_0.$

Step 2. f_0 extends to an equivariant quasi-conformal homeomorphism $F : \partial \mathbb{H}^n \to \partial \mathbb{H}^n$.

Step 3. *F* equivariant and quasi-conformal implies *F* conformal, hence Moebius.

(Mostow) For $n \ge 3$, any isomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)$ between fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic *n*-manifolds M, N is induced by an isometry $f : M \to N$.

Sketch of proof:

Step 1. Choosing a basepoint $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, ϕ induces an equivariant quasi-isometry

 $f_0: \pi_1(M) \cdot x_0 \to \pi_1(N) \cdot x_0, g \cdot x_0 \mapsto \phi(g) \cdot x_0.$

Step 2. f_0 extends to an equivariant quasi-conformal homeomorphism $F : \partial \mathbb{H}^n \to \partial \mathbb{H}^n$.

Step 3. *F* equivariant and quasi-conformal implies *F* conformal, hence Moebius.

(Mostow) For $n \ge 3$, any isomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)$ between fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic *n*-manifolds M, N is induced by an isometry $f : M \to N$.

Sketch of proof:

Step 1. Choosing a basepoint $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, ϕ induces an equivariant quasi-isometry

 $f_0: \pi_1(M) \cdot \mathbf{x}_0 \to \pi_1(N) \cdot \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{x}_0 \mapsto \phi(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{x}_0.$

Step 2. f_0 extends to an equivariant quasi-conformal homeomorphism $F : \partial \mathbb{H}^n \to \partial \mathbb{H}^n$.

Step 3. *F* equivariant and quasi-conformal implies *F* conformal, hence Moebius.

(Mostow) For $n \ge 3$, any isomorphism $\phi : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N)$ between fundamental groups of closed hyperbolic *n*-manifolds M, N is induced by an isometry $f : M \to N$.

Sketch of proof:

Step 1. Choosing a basepoint $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, ϕ induces an equivariant quasi-isometry

 $f_0: \pi_1(M) \cdot \mathbf{x}_0 \to \pi_1(N) \cdot \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{x}_0 \mapsto \phi(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{x}_0.$

Step 2. f_0 extends to an equivariant quasi-conformal homeomorphism $F : \partial \mathbb{H}^n \to \partial \mathbb{H}^n$.

Step 3. *F* equivariant and quasi-conformal implies *F* conformal, hence Moebius.

X closed negatively curved n-manifold

Each free homotopy class of closed curves contains a unique closed geodesic

Length function $I_X : \pi_1(X) o \mathbb{R}^+$

Question: Given *X*, *Y* closed negatively curved *n*-manifolds, and $\phi : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ an isomorphism such that $I_Y \circ \phi = I_X$, is *X* isometric to *Y*?

Theorem

(Otal) Yes, if n = 2.

Theorem

(Hamenstadt) Marked length spectra of X, Y are equal iff geodesic flows of X, Y are topologically conjugate.

イロト (印) イヨト イヨト

X closed negatively curved n-manifold

Each free homotopy class of closed curves contains a unique closed geodesic

Length function $I_X: \pi_1(X) o \mathbb{R}^+$

Question: Given *X*, *Y* closed negatively curved *n*-manifolds, and $\phi : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ an isomorphism such that $I_Y \circ \phi = I_X$, is *X* isometric to *Y*?

Theorem

(Otal) Yes, if n = 2.

Theorem

(Hamenstadt) Marked length spectra of X, Y are equal iff geodesic flows of X, Y are topologically conjugate.

< □ > < 向 > < 回 > < 回 > < つ > < つ > < つ > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

X closed negatively curved n-manifold

Each free homotopy class of closed curves contains a unique closed geodesic

Length function $I_X : \pi_1(X) \to \mathbb{R}^+$

Question: Given *X*, *Y* closed negatively curved *n*-manifolds, and $\phi : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ an isomorphism such that $I_Y \circ \phi = I_X$, is *X* isometric to *Y*?

Theorem

(Otal) Yes, if n = 2.

Theorem

X closed negatively curved n-manifold

Each free homotopy class of closed curves contains a unique closed geodesic

Length function $I_X : \pi_1(X) \to \mathbb{R}^+$

Question: Given *X*, *Y* closed negatively curved *n*-manifolds, and $\phi : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ an isomorphism such that $I_Y \circ \phi = I_X$, is *X* isometric to *Y*?

(Otal) Yes, if n = 2.

Theorem

X closed negatively curved n-manifold

Each free homotopy class of closed curves contains a unique closed geodesic

Length function $I_X : \pi_1(X) \to \mathbb{R}^+$

Question: Given *X*, *Y* closed negatively curved *n*-manifolds, and $\phi : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ an isomorphism such that $I_Y \circ \phi = I_X$, is *X* isometric to *Y*?

Theorem

(Otal) Yes, if n = 2.

Theorem

X closed negatively curved n-manifold

Each free homotopy class of closed curves contains a unique closed geodesic

Length function $I_X : \pi_1(X) \to \mathbb{R}^+$

Question: Given *X*, *Y* closed negatively curved *n*-manifolds, and $\phi : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ an isomorphism such that $I_Y \circ \phi = I_X$, is *X* isometric to *Y*?

Theorem

(Otal) Yes, if n = 2.

Theorem

X closed negatively curved n-manifold

Each free homotopy class of closed curves contains a unique closed geodesic

Length function $I_X : \pi_1(X) \to \mathbb{R}^+$

Question: Given *X*, *Y* closed negatively curved *n*-manifolds, and $\phi : \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y)$ an isomorphism such that $I_Y \circ \phi = I_X$, is *X* isometric to *Y*?

Theorem

(Otal) Yes, if n = 2.

Theorem

(1) X is a length space: For all $p, q \in X$, exists isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, T = d(p, q)] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q$.

(2) X satisfies CAT(-1) inequality: Geodesic triangles thinner than in \mathbb{H}^2 , $d(s, t) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{s}, \overline{t})$.

Facts:

Unique geodesic joining any two points.

Contractible.

Examples:

X complete simply connected manifold, $K \leq -1$.

X metric tree.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

(1) X is a length space: For all $p, q \in X$, exists isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, T = d(p, q)] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q$.

(2) X satisfies CAT(-1) inequality: Geodesic triangles thinner than in \mathbb{H}^2 , $d(s, t) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{s}, \overline{t})$.

Facts:

Unique geodesic joining any two points.

Contractible.

Examples:

X complete simply connected manifold, $K \leq -1$.

X metric tree.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

(1) X is a length space: For all $p, q \in X$, exists isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, T = d(p, q)] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q$.

(2) X satisfies CAT(-1) inequality: Geodesic triangles thinner than in \mathbb{H}^2 , $d(s, t) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{s}, \overline{t})$.

Facts:

Unique geodesic joining any two points.

Contractible.

Examples:

X complete simply connected manifold, $K \leq -1$.

X metric tree.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

(1) X is a length space: For all $p, q \in X$, exists isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, T = d(p, q)] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q$.

(2) X satisfies CAT(-1) inequality: Geodesic triangles thinner than in \mathbb{H}^2 , $d(s, t) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{s}, \overline{t})$.

Facts:

Unique geodesic joining any two points.

Contractible.

Examples:

X complete simply connected manifold, $K \leq -1$.

X metric tree.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

(1) X is a length space: For all $p, q \in X$, exists isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, T = d(p, q)] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q$.

(2) X satisfies CAT(-1) inequality: Geodesic triangles thinner than in \mathbb{H}^2 , $d(s, t) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{s}, \overline{t})$.

Facts:

Unique geodesic joining any two points.

Contractible.

Examples:

X complete simply connected manifold, $K \leq -1$.

X metric tree.

(1) X is a length space: For all $p, q \in X$, exists isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, T = d(p, q)] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q$.

(2) X satisfies CAT(-1) inequality: Geodesic triangles thinner than in \mathbb{H}^2 , $d(s, t) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{s}, \overline{t})$.

Facts:

Unique geodesic joining any two points.

Contractible.

Examples:

X complete simply connected manifold, $K \leq -1$.

X metric tree.

(X, d) metric space is CAT(-1) if:

(1) X is a length space: For all $p, q \in X$, exists isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, T = d(p, q)] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q$.

(2) X satisfies CAT(-1) inequality: Geodesic triangles thinner than in \mathbb{H}^2 , $d(s, t) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{s}, \overline{t})$.

Facts:

Unique geodesic joining any two points.

Contractible.

Examples:

X complete simply connected manifold, $K \leq -1$.

X metric tree.

(X, d) metric space is CAT(-1) if:

(1) X is a length space: For all $p, q \in X$, exists isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, T = d(p, q)] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q$.

(2) X satisfies CAT(-1) inequality: Geodesic triangles thinner than in \mathbb{H}^2 , $d(s, t) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{s}, \overline{t})$.

Facts:

Unique geodesic joining any two points.

Contractible.

Examples:

X complete simply connected manifold, $K \leq -1$.

X metric tree.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ●

(X, d) metric space is CAT(-1) if:

(1) X is a length space: For all $p, q \in X$, exists isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, T = d(p, q)] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q$.

(2) X satisfies CAT(-1) inequality: Geodesic triangles thinner than in \mathbb{H}^2 , $d(s, t) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\overline{s}, \overline{t})$.

Facts:

Unique geodesic joining any two points.

Contractible.

Examples:

X complete simply connected manifold, $K \leq -1$.

X metric tree.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ●

 $\partial X := \{ [\gamma] : \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ geodesic ray} \}, \text{ where } \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \text{ if } \{ d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) : t \ge 0 \} \text{ bounded.}$

 $\gamma(\infty) := [\gamma].$

 $\forall x \in X, \xi \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ geodesic ray } \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ with } \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(\infty) = \xi.$

 $\forall \xi, \eta \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ bi-infinite geodesic } \gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X \text{ with } \gamma(-\infty) = \xi, \gamma(\infty) = \eta.$

Cone topology on $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$:

Neighbourhoods of $\xi = [\gamma] \in \partial X$ given by "cones" $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon)$

where $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon) = \{x \in \overline{X} : d(x, \gamma(0)) > r, d(p_r(x), \gamma(r)) < \epsilon\}$, where $p_r = \text{projection to } \overline{B(\gamma(0), r)}$.

・ロン・雪と・雪と・ ヨン・

 $\partial X := \{ [\gamma] : \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ geodesic ray} \}, \text{ where } \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \text{ if } \{ d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) : t \ge 0 \} \text{ bounded.}$ $\gamma(\infty) := [\gamma].$

 $\forall x \in X, \xi \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ geodesic ray } \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ with } \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(\infty) = \xi.$

 $\forall \xi, \eta \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ bi-infinite geodesic } \gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X \text{ with } \gamma(-\infty) = \xi, \gamma(\infty) = \eta.$

Cone topology on $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$:

Neighbourhoods of $\xi = [\gamma] \in \partial X$ given by "cones" $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon)$

where $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon) = \{x \in \overline{X} : d(x, \gamma(0)) > r, d(p_r(x), \gamma(r)) < \epsilon\}$, where $p_r = \text{projection to } \overline{B(\gamma(0), r)}$.

・ロン・雪と・雪と・ ヨン・

 $\partial X := \{ [\gamma] : \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ geodesic ray} \}, \text{ where } \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \text{ if } \{ d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) : t \ge 0 \} \text{ bounded.}$ $\gamma(\infty) := [\gamma].$

 $\forall x \in X, \xi \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ geodesic ray } \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ with } \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(\infty) = \xi.$

 $\forall \xi, \eta \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ bi-infinite geodesic } \gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X \text{ with } \gamma(-\infty) = \xi, \gamma(\infty) = \eta.$

Cone topology on $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$:

Neighbourhoods of $\xi = [\gamma] \in \partial X$ given by "cones" $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon)$

where $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon) = \{x \in \overline{X} : d(x, \gamma(0)) > r, d(p_r(x), \gamma(r)) < \epsilon\}$, where $p_r = \text{projection to } \overline{B(\gamma(0), r)}$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э.

 $\partial X := \{ [\gamma] : \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ geodesic ray} \}, \text{ where } \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \text{ if }$ $\{d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) : t \ge 0\}$ bounded. $\gamma(\infty) := [\gamma].$ $\forall x \in X, \xi \in \partial X, \exists !$ geodesic ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to X$ with $\gamma(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{X}, \gamma(\infty) = \xi.$ $\forall \xi, \eta \in \partial X, \exists !$ bi-infinite geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X$ with $\gamma(-\infty) = \xi, \gamma(\infty) = \eta.$ Cone topology on $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$: where $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon) = \{x \in \overline{X} : d(x, \gamma(0)) > r, d(p_r(x), \gamma(r)) < \epsilon\},\$

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

where $p_r = \text{projection to } \overline{B(\gamma(0), r)}$.

 $\partial X := \{ [\gamma] : \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ geodesic ray} \}, \text{ where } \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \text{ if } \{ d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) : t \ge 0 \} \text{ bounded.}$ $\gamma(\infty) := [\gamma].$ $\forall x \in X, \xi \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ geodesic ray } \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ with}$

 $\gamma(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{X}, \gamma(\infty) = \xi.$

 $\forall \xi, \eta \in \partial X, \exists !$ bi-infinite geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X$ with $\gamma(-\infty) = \xi, \gamma(\infty) = \eta$.

Cone topology on $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$:

Neighbourhoods of $\xi = [\gamma] \in \partial X$ given by "cones" $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon)$

where $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon) = \{x \in \overline{X} : d(x, \gamma(0)) > r, d(p_r(x), \gamma(r)) < \epsilon\}$, where $p_r = \text{projection to } \overline{B(\gamma(0), r)}$.

 $\partial X := \{ [\gamma] : \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ geodesic ray} \}, \text{ where } \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \text{ if } \{ d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) : t \ge 0 \} \text{ bounded.}$ $\gamma(\infty) := [\gamma].$ $\forall x \in X, \xi \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ geodesic ray } \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ with}$

$$\gamma(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{X}, \gamma(\infty) = \xi.$$

 $\forall \xi, \eta \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ bi-infinite geodesic } \gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X \text{ with } \gamma(-\infty) = \xi, \gamma(\infty) = \eta.$

Cone topology on $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$:

Neighbourhoods of $\xi = [\gamma] \in \partial X$ given by "cones" $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon)$

where $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon) = \{x \in \overline{X} : d(x, \gamma(0)) > r, d(p_r(x), \gamma(r)) < \epsilon\}$, where p_r = projection to $\overline{B(\gamma(0), r)}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

 $\partial X := \{ [\gamma] : \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ geodesic ray} \}, \text{ where } \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \text{ if } \{ d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) : t \ge 0 \} \text{ bounded.}$ $\gamma(\infty) := [\gamma].$ $\forall x \in X, \xi \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ geodesic ray } \gamma : [0, \infty) \to X \text{ with}$

 $\gamma(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{x}, \gamma(\infty) = \xi.$

 $\forall \xi, \eta \in \partial X, \exists ! \text{ bi-infinite geodesic } \gamma : \mathbb{R} \to X \text{ with } \gamma(-\infty) = \xi, \gamma(\infty) = \eta.$

Cone topology on $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$:

Neighbourhoods of $\xi = [\gamma] \in \partial X$ given by "cones" $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon)$

where $U(\gamma, r, \epsilon) = \{x \in \overline{X} : d(x, \gamma(0)) > r, d(p_r(x), \gamma(r)) < \epsilon\}$, where p_r = projection to $\overline{B(\gamma(0), r)}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

Examples:

X simply connected complete manifold, $K \leq -1$, then the map

$$T^1_X X o \partial X$$

 $V \mapsto \gamma(\infty)$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

(where $\gamma =$ unique geodesic ray with $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$) is a homeomorphism, $X \cup \partial X \simeq \mathbb{B}^n \cup \partial \mathbb{B}^n$.

X metric tree, then $\partial X \simeq$ space of ends of tree.

Examples:

X simply connected complete manifold, $K \leq -1$, then the map

$$egin{aligned} T^1_XX & o \partial X \ v &\mapsto \gamma(\infty) \end{aligned}$$

(where $\gamma =$ unique geodesic ray with $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$) is a homeomorphism, $X \cup \partial X \simeq \mathbb{B}^n \cup \partial \mathbb{B}^n$.

X metric tree, then $\partial X \simeq$ space of ends of tree.

Examples:

X simply connected complete manifold, $K \leq -1$, then the map

$$egin{aligned} T^1_XX & o \partial X \ V &\mapsto \gamma(\infty) \end{aligned}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

(where $\gamma =$ unique geodesic ray with $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$) is a homeomorphism, $X \cup \partial X \simeq \mathbb{B}^n \cup \partial \mathbb{B}^n$.

X metric tree, then $\partial X \simeq$ space of ends of tree.

$$heta_{\mathbf{x}}(\xi,\eta) := \lim_{\mathbf{p} o \xi, \mathbf{q} o \eta} heta_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$$

 $\theta_{x}(\xi,\eta) = 0$ iff $\xi = \eta, \ \theta_{x}(\xi,\eta) = \pi$ iff $x \in (\xi,\eta)$

Visual metric based at *x*:

$$\rho_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta)\right)$$

Diameter one metric on ∂X compatible with topology on ∂X .

Example: For $X = \mathbb{H}^n$, $\partial X = S^{n-1}$, $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} \times$ chordal metric on S^{n-1} .

$$heta_{\mathbf{x}}(\xi,\eta) := \lim_{\mathbf{p} o \xi, \mathbf{q} o \eta} heta_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$$

$$\theta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\xi,\eta) = 0 \text{ iff } \xi = \eta, \, \theta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\xi,\eta) = \pi \text{ iff } \boldsymbol{x} \in (\xi,\eta)$$

Visual metric based at *x*:

$$\rho_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta)\right)$$

Diameter one metric on ∂X compatible with topology on ∂X .

Example: For $X = \mathbb{H}^n$, $\partial X = S^{n-1}$, $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} \times$ chordal metric on S^{n-1} .

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

э.

$$heta_{\mathbf{x}}(\xi,\eta) := \lim_{\mathbf{p} o \xi, \mathbf{q} o \eta} heta_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$$

 $\theta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\xi,\eta) = 0 \text{ iff } \xi = \eta, \, \theta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\xi,\eta) = \pi \text{ iff } \boldsymbol{x} \in (\xi,\eta)$

Visual metric based at *x*:

$$\rho_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta)\right)$$

Diameter one metric on ∂X compatible with topology on ∂X .

Example: For $X = \mathbb{H}^n$, $\partial X = S^{n-1}$, $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} \times$ chordal metric on S^{n-1} .

$$heta_{\mathbf{x}}(\xi,\eta) := \lim_{\mathbf{p} o \xi, \mathbf{q} o \eta} heta_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$$

 $\theta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\xi,\eta) = 0 \text{ iff } \xi = \eta, \, \theta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\xi,\eta) = \pi \text{ iff } \boldsymbol{x} \in (\xi,\eta)$

Visual metric based at *x*:

$$\rho_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta)\right)$$

Diameter one metric on ∂X compatible with topology on ∂X .

Example: For $X = \mathbb{H}^n$, $\partial X = S^{n-1}$, $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} \times$ chordal metric on S^{n-1} .

$$heta_{\mathbf{x}}(\xi,\eta) := \lim_{\mathbf{p} o \xi, \mathbf{q} o \eta} heta_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$$

 $\theta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\xi,\eta) = 0 \text{ iff } \xi = \eta, \, \theta_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\xi,\eta) = \pi \text{ iff } \boldsymbol{x} \in (\xi,\eta)$

Visual metric based at *x*:

$$\rho_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta)\right)$$

Diameter one metric on ∂X compatible with topology on ∂X .

Example: For $X = \mathbb{H}^n$, $\partial X = S^{n-1}$, $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} \times$ chordal metric on S^{n-1} .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ●

Gromov inner product: $(x|y)_z := \frac{1}{2}(d(x,z) + d(y,z) - d(x,y)), x, y, z \in X.$

For X metric tree, $(x|y)_z$ = length of common segment of [x, z], [y, z].

For $\xi, \eta \in \partial X$, $(\xi|\eta)_x := \lim_{y \to \xi, y' \to \eta} (y|y')_x (y, y' \text{ converge radially}).$

$$\rho_{\mathsf{X}}(\xi,\eta) := \exp(-(\xi|\eta)_{\mathsf{X}})$$

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

э.

Gromov inner product:

 $(x|y)_{z} := \frac{1}{2}(d(x,z) + d(y,z) - d(x,y)), x, y, z \in X.$

For X metric tree, $(x|y)_z$ = length of common segment of [x, z], [y, z].

For $\xi, \eta \in \partial X$, $(\xi|\eta)_x := \lim_{y \to \xi, y' \to \eta} (y|y')_x (y, y' \text{ converge radially}).$

$$\rho_{\mathsf{X}}(\xi,\eta) := \exp(-(\xi|\eta)_{\mathsf{X}})$$

Gromov inner product: $(x|y)_z := \frac{1}{2}(d(x,z) + d(y,z) - d(x,y)), x, y, z \in X.$ For X metric tree, $(x|y)_z$ = length of common segment of [x,z], [y,z].For $\xi, \eta \in \partial X, (\xi|\eta)_x := \lim_{y \to \xi} \frac{1}{y' \to \eta} \frac{1}{y' \to \eta}$

For $\xi, \eta \in \partial X, (\xi|\eta)_x := \lim_{y \to \xi, y' \to \eta} (y|y')_x (y, y' \text{ converge radially}).$

$$\rho_{\mathsf{X}}(\xi,\eta) := \exp(-(\xi|\eta)_{\mathsf{X}})$$

Gromov inner product: $(x|y)_z := \frac{1}{2}(d(x,z) + d(y,z) - d(x,y)), x, y, z \in X.$ For X metric tree, $(x|y)_z$ = length of common segment of [x, z], [y, z].

For $\xi, \eta \in \partial X, (\xi|\eta)_x := \lim_{y \to \xi, y' \to \eta} (y|y')_x (y, y' \text{ converge radially}).$

$$\rho_{\mathbf{x}}(\xi,\eta) := \exp(-(\xi|\eta)_{\mathbf{x}})$$

Gromov inner product: $(x|y)_z := \frac{1}{2}(d(x, z) + d(y, z) - d(x, y)), x, y, z \in X.$ For X metric tree, $(x|y)_z$ = length of common segment of [x, z], [y, z].For $\xi, \eta \in \partial X, (\xi|\eta)_x := \lim_{y \to \xi, y' \to \eta} (y|y')_x (y, y' \text{ converge radially}).$

$$\rho_{\mathbf{x}}(\xi,\eta) := \exp(-(\xi|\eta)_{\mathbf{x}})$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

 (Z,ρ) metric space, cross-ratio of quadruple of distinct points $\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta'\in Z$ defined by

$$[\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta']_{\rho} := \frac{\rho(\xi,\eta)\rho(\xi',\eta')}{\rho(\xi,\eta')\rho(\xi',\eta)}$$

Embedding $F : (Z_1, \rho_1) \rightarrow (Z_2, \rho_2)$ **Moebius** if it preserves cross-ratios.

Embedding $F: (Z_1, \rho_1) \rightarrow (Z_2, \rho_2)$ conformal if

$$dF_{\rho_1,\rho_2}(\xi) := \lim_{\eta \to \xi} \frac{\rho_2(F(\xi), F(\eta))}{\rho_1(\xi, \eta)}$$

exists for all $\xi \in Z_1$ (assuming Z_1 has no isolated points).

F Moebius implies F conformal

Moreover, "Geometric Mean-Value Theorem" holds for Moebius maps:

$$\rho_2(F(\xi), F(\eta))^2 = dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\xi) dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\eta) \rho_1(\xi, \eta)^2$$

 (Z,ρ) metric space, cross-ratio of quadruple of distinct points $\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta'\in Z$ defined by

$$[\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta']_{
ho} := rac{
ho(\xi,\eta)
ho(\xi',\eta')}{
ho(\xi,\eta')
ho(\xi',\eta)}$$

Embedding $F : (Z_1, \rho_1) \rightarrow (Z_2, \rho_2)$ **Moebius** if it preserves cross-ratios.

Embedding $F : (Z_1, \rho_1) \to (Z_2, \rho_2)$ conformal if $dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\xi) := \lim_{\eta \to \xi} \frac{\rho_2(F(\xi), F(\eta))}{\rho_1(\xi, \eta)}$

exists for all $\xi \in Z_1$ (assuming Z_1 has no isolated points).

F Moebius implies F conformal

Moreover, "Geometric Mean-Value Theorem" holds for Moebius maps:

$$\rho_2(F(\xi), F(\eta))^2 = dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\xi) dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\eta) \rho_1(\xi, \eta)^2$$

 (Z,ρ) metric space, cross-ratio of quadruple of distinct points $\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta'\in Z$ defined by

$$[\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta']_{
ho} := rac{
ho(\xi,\eta)
ho(\xi',\eta')}{
ho(\xi,\eta')
ho(\xi',\eta)}$$

Embedding $F : (Z_1, \rho_1) \rightarrow (Z_2, \rho_2)$ **Moebius** if it preserves cross-ratios.

Embedding $F : (Z_1, \rho_1) \to (Z_2, \rho_2)$ conformal if $dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\xi) := \lim_{\eta \to \xi} \frac{\rho_2(F(\xi), F(\eta))}{\rho_1(\xi, \eta)}$

exists for all $\xi \in Z_1$ (assuming Z_1 has no isolated points).

F Moebius implies F conformal

Moreover, "Geometric Mean-Value Theorem" holds for Moebius maps:

$$\rho_2(F(\xi), F(\eta))^2 = dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\xi) dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\eta) \rho_1(\xi, \eta)^2$$

Kingshook Biswas

 (Z,ρ) metric space, cross-ratio of quadruple of distinct points $\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta'\in Z$ defined by

$$[\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta']_{
ho} := rac{
ho(\xi,\eta)
ho(\xi',\eta')}{
ho(\xi,\eta')
ho(\xi',\eta)}$$

Embedding $F : (Z_1, \rho_1) \rightarrow (Z_2, \rho_2)$ **Moebius** if it preserves cross-ratios.

Embedding $F : (Z_1, \rho_1) \to (Z_2, \rho_2)$ conformal if $dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\xi) := \lim_{\eta \to \xi} \frac{\rho_2(F(\xi), F(\eta))}{\rho_1(\xi, \eta)}$

exists for all $\xi \in Z_1$ (assuming Z_1 has no isolated points).

F Moebius implies F conformal

Moreover, "Geometric Mean-Value Theorem" holds for Moebius maps:

$$\rho_2(F(\xi), F(\eta))^2 = dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\xi) dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\eta) \rho_1(\xi, \eta)^2$$

 (Z,ρ) metric space, cross-ratio of quadruple of distinct points $\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta'\in Z$ defined by

$$[\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta']_{\rho} := \frac{\rho(\xi,\eta)\rho(\xi',\eta')}{\rho(\xi,\eta')\rho(\xi',\eta)}$$

Embedding $F : (Z_1, \rho_1) \rightarrow (Z_2, \rho_2)$ **Moebius** if it preserves cross-ratios.

Embedding $F : (Z_1, \rho_1) \to (Z_2, \rho_2)$ conformal if $dF_{\rho_1, \rho_2}(\xi) := \lim_{\eta \to \xi} \frac{\rho_2(F(\xi), F(\eta))}{\rho_1(\xi, \eta)}$

exists for all $\xi \in Z_1$ (assuming Z_1 has no isolated points).

F Moebius implies F conformal

Moreover, "Geometric Mean-Value Theorem" holds for Moebius maps:

$$\rho_{2}(F(\xi),F(\eta))^{2} = dF_{\rho_{1},\rho_{2}}(\xi)dF_{\rho_{1},\rho_{2}}(\eta)\rho_{1}(\xi,\eta)^{2}$$

For $Z = \partial X$, $\rho = \rho_x$, cross-ratio $[]_{\rho_x}$ independent of choice of $x \in X$.

Common value [] given by

$$\begin{split} [\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta'] &= \limsup\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(a,b) + d(a',b') - d(a,b') - d(a',b))\right)\\ (\text{where } (a,a',b,b') \rightarrow (\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta') \in \partial^4 X \text{ radially}). \end{split}$$

id : $(\partial X, \rho_x) \rightarrow (\partial X, \rho_y)$ Moebius, derivative given by

$$\frac{d\rho_y}{d\rho_x}(\xi) := did_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\xi) = \exp(B(x,y,\xi))$$

where $B(x, y, \xi)$ =Busemann function, defined by

$$B(x, y, \xi) := \lim_{a \to \xi} (d(x, a) - d(y, a))$$

For $Z = \partial X$, $\rho = \rho_x$, cross-ratio $[]_{\rho_x}$ independent of choice of $x \in X$.

Common value [] given by

$$[\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta'] = \operatorname{\mathsf{lim}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(a,b) + d(a',b') - d(a,b') - d(a',b))\right)$$

(where $(a, a', b, b') \rightarrow (\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta') \in \partial^4 X$ radially).

id : $(\partial X, \rho_x) \rightarrow (\partial X, \rho_y)$ Moebius, derivative given by

$$\frac{d\rho_y}{d\rho_x}(\xi) := did_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\xi) = \exp(B(x,y,\xi))$$

where $B(x, y, \xi)$ =Busemann function, defined by

$$B(x, y, \xi) := \lim_{a \to \xi} (d(x, a) - d(y, a))$$

For $Z = \partial X$, $\rho = \rho_x$, cross-ratio $[]_{\rho_x}$ independent of choice of $x \in X$.

Common value [] given by

$$[\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta'] = \operatorname{lim}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(a,b) + d(a',b') - d(a,b') - d(a',b))\right)$$

(where $(a, a', b, b') \rightarrow (\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta') \in \partial^4 X$ radially).

id : $(\partial X, \rho_x) \rightarrow (\partial X, \rho_y)$ Moebius, derivative given by

$$\frac{d\rho_y}{d\rho_x}(\xi) := did_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\xi) = \exp(B(x,y,\xi))$$

where $B(x, y, \xi)$ =Busemann function, defined by

$$B(x, y, \xi) := \lim_{a \to \xi} (d(x, a) - d(y, a))$$

For $Z = \partial X$, $\rho = \rho_x$, cross-ratio $[]_{\rho_x}$ independent of choice of $x \in X$.

Common value [] given by

$$[\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta'] = \operatorname{lim}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(a,b) + d(a',b') - d(a,b') - d(a',b))\right)$$

(where $(a, a', b, b') \rightarrow (\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta') \in \partial^4 X$ radially).

id : $(\partial X, \rho_x) \rightarrow (\partial X, \rho_y)$ Moebius, derivative given by

$$rac{d
ho_y}{d
ho_x}(\xi) := d \mathrm{id}_{
ho_x,
ho_y}(\xi) = \exp(B(x,y,\xi))$$

where $B(x, y, \xi) =$ Busemann function, defined by

$$B(x, y, \xi) := \lim_{a \to \xi} (d(x, a) - d(y, a))$$

(Otal) X, Y closed negatively curved n-manifolds have same marked length spectrum $\Leftrightarrow F : \partial \tilde{X} \to \partial \tilde{Y}$ is Moebius.

Question: For *X*, *Y* CAT(-1) spaces, does a Moebius map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ extend to an isometry $f : X \to Y$?

Theorem

(Bourdon) For X a rank one symmetric space with maximum of sectional curvatures equal to -1, Y a CAT(-1) space, any Moebius embedding F : $\partial X \rightarrow \partial Y$ extends to an isometric embedding f : $X \rightarrow Y$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

(Otal) X, Y closed negatively curved n-manifolds have same marked length spectrum $\Leftrightarrow F : \partial \tilde{X} \to \partial \tilde{Y}$ is Moebius.

Question: For *X*, *Y* CAT(-1) spaces, does a Moebius map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ extend to an isometry $f : X \to Y$?

Theorem

(Bourdon) For X a rank one symmetric space with maximum of sectional curvatures equal to -1, Y a CAT(-1) space, any Moebius embedding F : $\partial X \rightarrow \partial Y$ extends to an isometric embedding f : $X \rightarrow Y$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

(Otal) X, Y closed negatively curved n-manifolds have same marked length spectrum $\Leftrightarrow F : \partial \tilde{X} \to \partial \tilde{Y}$ is Moebius.

Question: For *X*, *Y* CAT(-1) spaces, does a Moebius map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ extend to an isometry $f : X \to Y$?

Theorem

(Bourdon) For X a rank one symmetric space with maximum of sectional curvatures equal to -1, Y a CAT(-1) space, any Moebius embedding F : $\partial X \rightarrow \partial Y$ extends to an isometric embedding f : $X \rightarrow Y$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > :

(Otal) X, Y closed negatively curved n-manifolds have same marked length spectrum $\Leftrightarrow F : \partial \tilde{X} \to \partial \tilde{Y}$ is Moebius.

Question: For *X*, *Y* CAT(-1) spaces, does a Moebius map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ extend to an isometry $f : X \to Y$?

Theorem

(Bourdon) For X a rank one symmetric space with maximum of sectional curvatures equal to -1, Y a CAT(-1) space, any Moebius embedding $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ extends to an isometric embedding $f : X \to Y$.

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ 国 ト ・ 国 ト

X, *Y* complete, simply connected manifolds with $K \le -1$, then any conformal map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ induces a topological conjugacy of geodesic flows $\phi : T^1X \to T^1Y$:

Given $v \in T^1 X$ tangent to (ξ, η) , define $\phi(v)$ to be the unique $w \in T^1 Y$ tangent to $(F(\xi), F(\eta))$ satisfying

$$dF_{
ho_X,
ho_Y}(\xi) = 1$$

where $x = \pi(v), y = \pi(w)$.

Flowing *v*, *w* for time *t* scales visual metrics at ξ , *F*(ξ) by same factor e^t , so ϕ preserves time along geodesics.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

X, Y complete, simply connected manifolds with $K \leq -1$, then any conformal map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ induces a topological conjugacy of geodesic flows $\phi : T^1X \to T^1Y$:

Given $v \in T^1 X$ tangent to (ξ, η) , define $\phi(v)$ to be the unique $w \in T^1 Y$ tangent to $(F(\xi), F(\eta))$ satisfying

$$dF_{
ho_{x},
ho_{y}}(\xi)=1$$

where $\mathbf{x} = \pi(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{y} = \pi(\mathbf{w}).$

Flowing *v*, *w* for time *t* scales visual metrics at ξ , *F*(ξ) by same factor e^t , so ϕ preserves time along geodesics.

<ロト < 聞 > < 国 > < 国 > 三 国

X, *Y* complete, simply connected manifolds with $K \le -1$, then any conformal map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ induces a topological conjugacy of geodesic flows $\phi : T^1X \to T^1Y$:

Given $v \in T^1X$ tangent to (ξ, η) , define $\phi(v)$ to be the unique $w \in T^1Y$ tangent to $(F(\xi), F(\eta))$ satisfying

$$dF_{
ho_{f x},
ho_{f y}}(\xi)=1$$

where $\mathbf{x} = \pi(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{y} = \pi(\mathbf{w}).$

Flowing *v*, *w* for time *t* scales visual metrics at ξ , *F*(ξ) by same factor e^t , so ϕ preserves time along geodesics.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

$$dF_{\rho_{\mathbf{X}},\rho_{\mathbf{Y}}}(\xi)dF_{\rho_{\mathbf{X}},\rho_{\mathbf{Y}}}(\eta) = \frac{\rho_{\mathbf{Y}}(F(\xi),F(\eta))^2}{\rho_{\mathbf{X}}(\xi,\eta)^2} = 1$$

SO

$$dF_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\xi) = 1$$
 iff $dF_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\eta) = 1$

hence

$$\phi(-V) = -\phi(V)$$

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

$$dF_{\rho_{x},\rho_{y}}(\xi)dF_{\rho_{x},\rho_{y}}(\eta) = \frac{\rho_{y}(F(\xi),F(\eta))^{2}}{\rho_{x}(\xi,\eta)^{2}} = 1$$

SO

$$dF_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\xi) = 1$$
 iff $dF_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\eta) = 1$

hence

$$\phi(-V) = -\phi(V)$$

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲温ト ▲温ト

$$dF_{
ho_{\mathbf{x}},
ho_{\mathbf{y}}}(\xi)dF_{
ho_{\mathbf{x}},
ho_{\mathbf{y}}}(\eta) = rac{
ho_{\mathbf{y}}(F(\xi),F(\eta))^2}{
ho_{\mathbf{x}}(\xi,\eta)^2} = 1$$

SO

$$dF_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\xi) = 1$$
 iff $dF_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\eta) = 1$

hence

$$\phi(-V) = -\phi(V)$$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶

$$dF_{
ho_{\mathbf{x}},
ho_{\mathbf{y}}}(\xi)dF_{
ho_{\mathbf{x}},
ho_{\mathbf{y}}}(\eta) = rac{
ho_{\mathbf{y}}(F(\xi),F(\eta))^2}{
ho_{\mathbf{x}}(\xi,\eta)^2} = 1$$

SO

$$dF_{\rho_{x},\rho_{y}}(\xi) = 1$$
 iff $dF_{\rho_{x},\rho_{y}}(\eta) = 1$

hence

$$\phi(-\mathbf{v}) = -\phi(\mathbf{v})$$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶

The integrated Schwarzian of a conformal map

For a conformal map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$, measure failure of $\phi : T^1X \to T^1Y$ to be flip-equivariant:

The **integrated Schwarzian** of *F* is the function $S(F) : \partial^2 X \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\begin{split} S(F)(\xi,\eta) &:= \text{signed distance between foot of } \phi(\nu) \text{ and foot of } \phi(-\nu) \\ &= -\log(dF_{\rho_{x},\rho_{y}}(\xi)dF_{\rho_{x},\rho_{y}}(\eta)) \end{split}$$

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

where v tangent to (ξ, η) , $x \in (\xi, \eta)$, $y \in (F(\xi), F(\eta))$ (independent of choices of v, x, y).

For a conformal map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$, measure failure of $\phi : T^1X \to T^1Y$ to be flip-equivariant:

The **integrated Schwarzian** of *F* is the function $S(F) : \partial^2 X \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

 $S(F)(\xi,\eta) :=$ signed distance between foot of $\phi(v)$ and foot of $\phi(-v)$ = $-\log(dF_{\rho_{x},\rho_{y}}(\xi)dF_{\rho_{x},\rho_{y}}(\eta))$

where v tangent to (ξ, η) , $x \in (\xi, \eta)$, $y \in (F(\xi), F(\eta))$ (independent of choices of v, x, y).

For a conformal map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$, measure failure of $\phi : T^1X \to T^1Y$ to be flip-equivariant:

The **integrated Schwarzian** of *F* is the function $S(F) : \partial^2 X \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

 $S(F)(\xi,\eta) :=$ signed distance between foot of $\phi(v)$ and foot of $\phi(-v)$ = $-\log(dF_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\xi)dF_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\eta))$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のQ@

where v tangent to (ξ, η) , $x \in (\xi, \eta)$, $y \in (F(\xi), F(\eta))$ (independent of choices of v, x, y).

For a conformal map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$, measure failure of $\phi : T^1X \to T^1Y$ to be flip-equivariant:

The **integrated Schwarzian** of *F* is the function $S(F) : \partial^2 X \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

 $S(F)(\xi,\eta) :=$ signed distance between foot of $\phi(v)$ and foot of $\phi(-v)$ = $-\log(dF_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\xi)dF_{\rho_x,\rho_y}(\eta))$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

where *v* tangent to (ξ, η) , $x \in (\xi, \eta)$, $y \in (F(\xi), F(\eta))$ (independent of choices of *v*, *x*, *y*).

Integrated Schwarzian and cross-ratio distortion

Conformal map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ said to be C^1 conformal if dF_{ρ_x,ρ_y} continuous.

Theorem

(B.) Let X be a simply connected complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvatures satisfying $-b^2 \le K \le -1$ for some $b \ge 1$, and let Y be a proper geodesically complete CAT(-1) space. Let $F : U \subset \partial X \rightarrow V \subset \partial Y$ be a C^1 conformal map between open subsets U, V. Then

$$\log \frac{[F(\xi), F(\xi'), F(\eta), F(\eta')]}{[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta']}$$

 $=rac{1}{2} \left(S(F)(\xi,\eta) + S(F)(\xi',\eta') - S(F)(\xi,\eta') - S(F)(\xi',\eta)
ight)$ for all $(\xi,\xi',\eta,\eta') \in \partial^4 U$. In particular F is Moebius if and only if $S(F) \equiv 0$.

Integrated Schwarzian and cross-ratio distortion

Conformal map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ said to be C^1 conformal if dF_{ρ_x,ρ_y} continuous.

Theorem

(B.) Let X be a simply connected complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvatures satisfying $-b^2 \le K \le -1$ for some $b \ge 1$, and let Y be a proper geodesically complete CAT(-1) space. Let $F : U \subset \partial X \rightarrow V \subset \partial Y$ be a C¹ conformal map between open subsets U, V. Then

$$\log \frac{[F(\xi), F(\xi'), F(\eta), F(\eta')]}{[\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta']}$$

 $=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{F})(\xi,\eta)+\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{F})(\xi',\eta')-\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{F})(\xi,\eta')-\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{F})(\xi',\eta)\right)$

for all $(\xi, \xi', \eta, \eta') \in \partial^4 U$. In particular F is Moebius if and only if $S(F) \equiv 0$.

A map $f: X \to Y$ between metric spaces is a (K, ϵ) -quasi-isometry if

$$rac{1}{K} d(x,y) - \epsilon \leq d(f(x),f(y)) \leq K d(x,y) + \epsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and if all points of Y are within bounded distance from the image of *f*.

Theorem

(B.) X, Y proper, geodesically complete CAT(-1) spaces. Then any Moebius map F : $\partial X \rightarrow \partial Y$ extends to a (1, log 2)-quasi-isometry f : $X \rightarrow Y$ with image $\frac{1}{2} \log 2$ -dense in Y.

Theorem

A map $f : X \to Y$ between metric spaces is a (K, ϵ) -quasi-isometry if

$$rac{1}{K} d(x,y) - \epsilon \leq d(f(x),f(y)) \leq K d(x,y) + \epsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and if all points of Y are within bounded distance from the image of *f*.

Theorem

(B.) X, Y proper, geodesically complete CAT(-1) spaces. Then any Moebius map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ extends to a (1,log 2)-quasi-isometry $f : X \to Y$ with image $\frac{1}{2}$ log 2-dense in Y.

Theorem

A map $f : X \to Y$ between metric spaces is a (K, ϵ) -quasi-isometry if

$$rac{1}{K} d(x,y) - \epsilon \leq d(f(x),f(y)) \leq K d(x,y) + \epsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and if all points of Y are within bounded distance from the image of *f*.

Theorem

(B.) X, Y proper, geodesically complete CAT(-1) spaces. Then any Moebius map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ extends to a (1,log 2)-quasi-isometry $f : X \to Y$ with image $\frac{1}{2}$ log 2-dense in Y.

Theorem

A map $f: X \to Y$ between metric spaces is a (K, ϵ) -quasi-isometry if

$$rac{1}{K} d(x,y) - \epsilon \leq d(f(x),f(y)) \leq K d(x,y) + \epsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and if all points of Y are within bounded distance from the image of f.

Theorem

(B.) X, Y proper, geodesically complete CAT(-1) spaces. Then any Moebius map $F : \partial X \to \partial Y$ extends to a (1,log 2)-quasi-isometry $f : X \to Y$ with image $\frac{1}{2}$ log 2-dense in Y.

Theorem

Complete, simply connected, negatively curved manifolds: infinitesimal rigidity

Theorem

(B.) (X, g_0) complete, simply connected manifold with $K(g_0) \leq -1$. Suppose (g_t) smooth 1-parameter family of metrics on X such that $K(g_t) \leq -1$ and $g_t \equiv g_0$ outside a compact $C \subset X$, so id : $(X, g_0) \rightarrow (X, g_t)$ extends to a homeomorphism $\hat{id}_t : \partial X_{g_0} \rightarrow \partial X_{g_t}$.

Suppose \hat{d}_t is Moebius for all t. Then \hat{d}_t extends to an isometry $f_t : (X, g_0) \to (X, g_t)$ for all t.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

Complete, simply connected, negatively curved manifolds: local rigidity

Theorem

(B.) (X, g_0) complete, simply connected manifold with $K(g_0) \leq -1$. Given compact $C \subset X$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if g is a metric on X satisfying (1) $K(g) \leq -1, g \equiv g_0$ outside C, (2) $Vol_g(C) = Vol_{g_0}(C)$, (3) $||g - g_0||_{C^3} < \epsilon$, then if $\hat{id} : \partial X_{g_0} \to \partial X_g$ is Moebius, then \hat{id} extends to an isometry $f : (X, g_0) \to (X, g)$.

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Proof of almost-isometric extension

(Z, ρ_0) compact, diameter one, antipodal metric space

Space of Moebius metrics:

 $\mathfrak{M}(Z, \rho_0) := \{ \rho \text{ metric on } Z | []_{\rho} = []_{\rho_0}, \rho \text{ diameter one, antipodal} \}$

$$d_{\mathcal{M}}(\rho_1,\rho_2) := \max_{\xi \in Z} \log \frac{d\rho_2}{d\rho_1}(\xi)$$

Step 1. X CAT(-1) space, then the map

$$i_X: X \to \mathcal{M}(\partial X)$$
$$x \mapsto \rho_x$$

イロト 人間 ト イヨト イヨト

is an **isometric embedding**.

Proof of almost-isometric extension

(Z, ρ_0) compact, diameter one, antipodal metric space **Space of Moebius metrics:**

 $\mathfrak{M}(Z, \rho_0) := \{\rho \text{ metric on } Z | []_{\rho} = []_{\rho_0}, \rho \text{ diameter one, antipodal} \}$

$$d_{\mathcal{M}}(\rho_1,\rho_2) := \max_{\xi \in \mathcal{Z}} \log \frac{d\rho_2}{d\rho_1}(\xi)$$

Step 1. X CAT(-1) space, then the map

 $i_X : X o \mathfrak{M}(\partial X)$ $x \mapsto
ho_x$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ★三▶ 三三 のへで

is an **isometric embedding**.

Proof of almost-isometric extension

 (Z, ρ_0) compact, diameter one, antipodal metric space **Space of Moebius metrics:**

 $\mathfrak{M}(\boldsymbol{Z},\rho_{0}) := \{\rho \text{ metric on } \boldsymbol{Z} | []_{\rho} = []_{\rho_{0}}, \rho \text{ diameter one, antipodal} \}$

$$d_{\mathcal{M}}(\rho_1,\rho_2) := \max_{\xi \in Z} \log \frac{d\rho_2}{d\rho_1}(\xi)$$

Step 1. X CAT(-1) space, then the map

$$egin{aligned} & i_X:X o \mathfrak{M}(\partial X)\ & \mathbf{x}\mapsto
ho_{\mathbf{x}} \end{aligned}$$

is an isometric embedding.

Step 2. The image of X in $\mathcal{M}(\partial X)$ is $\frac{1}{2}\log 2$ -dense in $\mathcal{M}(\partial X)$.

Step 3. Moebius map $f : \partial X \to \partial Y$ induces an isometry $f_* : \mathfrak{M}(\partial X) \to \mathfrak{M}(\partial Y)$. Compose maps:

$$X \to \mathcal{M}(\partial X) \to \mathcal{M}(\partial Y) \to Y$$

・ロン・雪と・雪と・ ヨン・

(where last map is a nearest point projection).

Step 2. The image of X in $\mathcal{M}(\partial X)$ is $\frac{1}{2} \log 2$ -dense in $\mathcal{M}(\partial X)$. **Step 3.** Moebius map $f : \partial X \to \partial Y$ induces an isometry $f_* : \mathcal{M}(\partial X) \to \mathcal{M}(\partial Y)$. Compose maps:

$$X \to \mathcal{M}(\partial X) \to \mathcal{M}(\partial Y) \to Y$$

(where last map is a nearest point projection).

Step 1. $g_t \equiv g_0$ outside compact *C* implies $\hat{id}_t : \partial X_{g_0} \to \partial X_{g_t}$ **locally Moebius**, hence conformal.

Step 2. The integrated Schwarzian measures difference between lengths of bi-infinite geodesics:

$$S(\hat{id}_t)(\xi,\eta) = \lim_{p \to \xi, q \to \eta} \left(d_{g_t}(p,q) - d_{g_0}(p,q) \right)$$

Step 3. First variation of integrated Schwarzian given by ray-transform:

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} S(\hat{id}_t)(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2} I(\dot{g_0})(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\xi,\eta)} \dot{g_0}(\xi,\eta) d\xi_{(\xi,\eta)}(\xi,\eta) d\xi_{(\xi,\eta)}(\xi,\eta) d\xi_{(\xi,\eta)}(\xi,\eta) d\xi_{(\xi,\eta)}(\xi,\eta) d\xi_{(\xi,\eta)}(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\xi,\eta)} d\xi_{(\xi,\eta)}(\xi,\eta) d\xi_{(\xi,\eta)}(\xi,\eta)$$

Step 4. (Sharafutdinov) Kernel of ray transform equals infinitesimally trivial deformations:

$$I(\dot{g_t}) \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow \dot{g_t} = \mathcal{L}_{X_t} g_t$$
 for some vector field X_t

ヘロン 人間 とくほど 不良と

Step 1. $g_t \equiv g_0$ outside compact *C* implies $\hat{id}_t : \partial X_{g_0} \to \partial X_{g_t}$ **locally Moebius**, hence conformal.

Step 2. The integrated Schwarzian measures difference between lengths of bi-infinite geodesics:

$$S(\hat{\textit{id}}_t)(\xi,\eta) = \lim_{p \to \xi, q \to \eta} \left(\textit{d}_{g_t}(p,q) - \textit{d}_{g_0}(p,q) \right)$$

Step 3. First variation of integrated Schwarzian given by ray-transform:

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0}S(\hat{id}_t)(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}I(\dot{g}_0)(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{(\xi,\eta)}\dot{g}_0$$

Step 4. (Sharafutdinov) Kernel of ray transform equals infinitesimally trivial deformations:

$$I(\dot{g_t}) \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow \dot{g_t} = \mathcal{L}_{X_t} g_t$$
 for some vector field X_t

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Step 1. $g_t \equiv g_0$ outside compact *C* implies $\hat{id}_t : \partial X_{g_0} \to \partial X_{g_t}$ **locally Moebius**, hence conformal.

Step 2. The integrated Schwarzian measures difference between lengths of bi-infinite geodesics:

$$\mathsf{S}(\hat{id}_t)(\xi,\eta) = \lim_{\boldsymbol{p} \to \xi, \boldsymbol{q} \to \eta} \left(d_{g_t}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}) - d_{g_0}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}) \right)$$

Step 3. First variation of integrated Schwarzian given by ray-transform:

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} S(\hat{id}_t)(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2} I(\dot{g}_0)(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\xi,\eta)} \dot{g}_0$$

Step 4. (Sharafutdinov) Kernel of ray transform equals infinitesimally trivial deformations:

$$I(\dot{g_t}) \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow \dot{g_t} = \mathcal{L}_{X_t} g_t$$
 for some vector field X_t

(日)

Step 1. $g_t \equiv g_0$ outside compact *C* implies $\hat{id}_t : \partial X_{g_0} \to \partial X_{g_t}$ **locally Moebius**, hence conformal.

Step 2. The integrated Schwarzian measures difference between lengths of bi-infinite geodesics:

$$\mathsf{S}(\hat{id}_t)(\xi,\eta) = \lim_{\boldsymbol{p} \to \xi, \boldsymbol{q} \to \eta} \left(d_{g_t}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}) - d_{g_0}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}) \right)$$

Step 3. First variation of integrated Schwarzian given by ray-transform:

$$\frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} S(\hat{id}_t)(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2} I(\dot{g_0})(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\xi,\eta)} \dot{g_0}$$

Step 4. (Sharafutdinov) Kernel of ray transform equals infinitesimally trivial deformations:

$$I(\dot{g}_t) \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow \dot{g}_t = \mathcal{L}_{X_t} g_t$$
 for some vector field X_t

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ 国 ト ・ 国 ト …

Step 5. Integrate time-dependent vector field (X_t) to get 1-parameter family of isometries $f_t : (X, g_0) \rightarrow (X, g_t)$.