Observation of a macroscopic topological insulator phase in thin films of coupled Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals

#### Diptiman Sen

#### Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru

Email: diptiman@cts.iisc.ernet.in

A. Banerjee, O. Deb, K. Majhi, R. Ganesan, D. Sen and P. S. Anil Kumar, arXiv:1604.03767

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = のQ@

#### **Outline**

• Thin films of Bi2Se3 nanocrystals

• Magnetoconductance data

• Surface penetration depth

• Spin-orbit coupling and Berry phase

• Model of a granular topological insulator

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

## System of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> nanocrystals



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

AFM image showing surface topography of a thin film of  ${\sf Bi}_2 {\sf Se}_3$  nanocrystals

# XRD scan showing preferential orientation



Peaks at (003n) imply a preferential orientation along the *c*-axis

3

990

Inset: Azimuthal scan of (105) planes of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> shows a six-fold symmetry

# Reciprocal space map shows granularity



Reciprocal space map of (003) peak shows a large degree of granularity

The nanocrystals have a *c*-axis thickness of about 2-3 nm and an in-plane size of about 10 nm

## Weak antilocalization

For electrons governed by the massless Dirac equation, the magnetoconductance is negative for small magnetic fields

At zero field, the contributions of a closed path starting and ending at a given point and its time reversed path cancel as they have opposite signs; the Berry phase  $\Phi_B$  is equal to  $\pi$ . Hence the probability of returning to the same point is reduced and the conductance increases

For a small field,  $\Phi_B$  moves away from  $\pi$  as the Aharonov-Bohm phases for the two paths are opposite in sign. The probability of returning to the same point increases, and the conductance decreases



nac

### Magnetoconductance for perpendicular field



We find  $\alpha_{\perp}$  and  $I_{\phi}$  (phase coherence length) by fitting the magnetoconductance to the Hikami-Larkin-Nagaoka (HLN) formula

$$\Delta \sigma_{\perp}(B_{\perp}) = \alpha_{\perp} \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2 \hbar} \left[ \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hbar}{4e l_{\phi}^2 B_{\perp}} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{\hbar}{4e l_{\phi}^2 B_{\perp}} \right) \right]$$

▲ロト▲圖ト▲臣ト▲臣ト 臣 のへで

#### Phase coherence length



▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ● ●

## Magnetoconductance for parallel field



We find  $\alpha_{\parallel}$  and  $\lambda$  (penetration depth of surface states) by fitting this magnetoconductance to another HLN formula

$$\Delta \sigma_{\parallel}(B_{\parallel}) = \alpha_{\parallel} \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2 \hbar} \ln \left(1 + B_{\parallel}^2 / B_{\phi}^2\right)$$

where  $B_{\phi} = \hbar/(e\lambda I_{\phi})$ , and we use the value of  $I_{\phi}$  obtained from the perpendicular field magnetoconductance data

#### Comparison between $\alpha$ parameters



The parameters  $\alpha_{\perp}$  and  $\alpha_{\parallel}$  agree well for different film thicknesses

For thick films, they have the value  $\ \sim\ 1$  which implies the presence of two decoupled surface states

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

Sac

For thin films, their value  $\ \sim \ 0.5$  suggests that the surface states have hybridized

Garate and Glazman, Phys. Rev. B 86, 035422 (2012)

#### Surface state penetration depth



 $\lambda$  decreases with increasing temperature. Note that the values are much larger than in a single crystal of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> where  $\lambda \sim 0.8$  nm

#### Effective spin-orbit coupling and Berry phase

The electrons on the surfaces of a topological insulator (TI) are described by a Dirac equation, with the dispersion  $E = v_F |k|$ 

For such an electron, the Berry phase picked up on going around an equal-energy circle in momentum space is  $\pi$ , provided that no magnetic field is present

If there is a magnetic field with a Zeeman coupling to the electron spin, the Berry phase changes from  $\pi$ . Phenomenologically, this modifies the HLN formula to

$$egin{aligned} \Delta \sigma_{\perp}(B_{\perp}) &= & lpha_{\perp} \left[ 1 - 2(B_{\perp}/B_{ ext{SOC}})^2 
ight] \; rac{e^2}{2\pi^2 \hbar} \ & imes \left[ \psi \left( rac{1}{2} + rac{\hbar}{4 e l_{\phi}^2 B_{\perp}} 
ight) - \ln \left( rac{\hbar}{4 e l_{\phi}^2 B_{\perp}} 
ight) 
ight] \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_{SOC} = v_F k_F$  is the effective spin-orbit coupling

# Effective spin-orbit coupling and Berry phase



The effective spin-orbit coupling  $B_{SOC}$  increases with increasing temperature and film thickness

The Berry phase  $\Phi_B$  moves away from  $\pi$  with increasing magnetic field

## Bi<sub>2</sub> Se<sub>3</sub>

In a single crystal of  $Bi_2 Se_3$ , the Hamiltonian near the  $\Gamma$  point is given by

 $H_{TI} = -m\tau^{z} + \hbar v_{z} \tau^{y} k_{z} - \hbar v_{\parallel} \tau^{x} (\sigma^{x} k_{y} - \sigma^{y} k_{x})$ 

where the  $\tau^a$  are pseudospin matrices:  $\tau^z = \pm 1$  denote Bi and Se electrons respectively

The parameter value are m = 0.28 eV,  $\hbar v_z = 0.226$  eV nm,  $\hbar v_{\parallel} = 0.333$  eV nm

We find both bulk states and surface states. The bulk states are separated from the surface states by a gap equal to 0.28 eV

The surface states decay exponentially as we go into the bulk, with a decay length given by  $\hbar v_z/m = 0.81$  nm

# Bi<sub>2</sub> Se<sub>3</sub>

The surface states are described by massless Dirac equations,  $H = \hbar v_F (\sigma^x k_y - \sigma^y k_x)$  at the top surface, and  $H = -\hbar v_F (\sigma^x k_y - \sigma^y k_x)$  at the bottom surface, where  $\hbar v_F = \hbar v_{\parallel} = 0.333$  eV nm. The states show spin-momentum locking; the spin and momentum are mutually perpendicular



(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Qi and Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1057 (2011) Hasan and Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 3045 (2010)

# Model of a granular TI

Since the bulk states are separated from the surface states by a gap equal to 0.28 eV in a single crystal of  $Bi_2 Se_3$ , we will ignore the bulk states of the individual nanocrystals

We introduce couplings  $t_1$  between the top and bottom surfaces of each nanocrystal and  $t_2$  between the surfaces of two neighboring nanocrystals



#### Surface state couplings $t_1$ and $t_2$



Array of grains along the *c*-axis with a unit cell size *L*. Each grain has surfaces 1 and 3 lying in the x - y plane

The surface states are coupled to each other in two ways.  $t_2$  is due to thermally assisted tunneling through a barrier formed by the charging energies of neighboring grains. Hence  $t_2$  will increase with increasing temperature. But  $t_1$  will not have a significant temperature dependence

### Hamiltonian

The Hamiltonian governing all the surface states is

$$H = \sum_{n} \left[ \psi_{1,n}^{\dagger} \hbar v_{\mathsf{F}} (\sigma^{\mathsf{x}} k_{\mathsf{y}} - \sigma^{\mathsf{y}} k_{\mathsf{x}}) \psi_{1,n} - \psi_{3,n}^{\dagger} \hbar v_{\mathsf{F}} (\sigma^{\mathsf{x}} k_{\mathsf{y}} - \sigma^{\mathsf{y}} k_{\mathsf{x}}) \psi_{3,n} \right. \\ \left. + \left( t_{1} \psi_{1,n}^{\dagger} \psi_{3,n} + t_{2} \psi_{3,n}^{\dagger} \psi_{1,n+1} + H.c. \right) \right]$$

If a Zeeman field  $\vec{B}$  is applied, we add a term to the Hamiltonian  $H_b = -\frac{g\mu_B}{2} \sum_{n} [\psi_{1,n}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} \psi_{1,n} + \psi_{3,n}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} \psi_{3,n}]$ 

We first look at the energy spectrum in the absence of a Zeeman field

### Bulk and surface states

The system as a whole has bulk states with the dispersion

$$E_{\vec{k}\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\hbar^2 v_F^2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2)} + t_1^2 + t_2^2 + 2t_1 t_2 \cos(k_z L)$$

which has a gap equal to  $|t_1 - t_2|$ 

In addition, there are states at the top and bottom surfaces if  $t_1 < t_2$  (topological phase) and no surface states if  $t_1 > t_2$ . The surface state penetration depth  $\lambda$  is given by

$$e^{-L/\lambda} = \frac{t_1}{t_2}$$

As the temperature increases,  $t_2$  increases and  $\lambda$  decreases as observed experimentally

Hence the two surfaces become more decoupled and the system goes deeper into the topological phase with increasing temperature !

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

#### Surface states



Wave functions of surface states for  $t_2 = 0.22$  and 0.3 eV, with fixed  $t_1 = 0.2$ 

### Hybridization of surface states

If the two surfaces are well separated, the surface states have the dispersion  $E_{\vec{k}\pm} = \hbar v_F \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$ 

For a finite separation between the surfaces, the states will hybridize and we get

$$E_{\vec{k}\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\hbar^2 v_F^2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2)} + \gamma^2$$

where the gap  $\gamma$  is

$$\gamma \simeq t_2 (1 - \frac{t_1^2}{t_2^2}) (\frac{t_1}{t_2})^N$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

N is the number of grains (i.e., the film thickness is NL)

### Effective spin-orbit coupling B<sub>SOC</sub>



Bulk (blue) and surface (red) states for a system with 30 grains,  $v_F = 0.333$  eV nm,  $t_1 = 0.2$  eV,  $t_2 = 0.25$  eV

With increasing film thickness or temperature, the surface gap  $\gamma$  decreases. Since the Fermi energy  $E_F = \sqrt{v_F^2 k_F^2 + \gamma^2}$  is fixed by the conduction band bottom of individual grains,  $B_{SOC} = v_F k_F$  increases as  $\gamma$  decreases. Hence  $B_{SOC}$  increases with film thickness and temperature as observed experimentally

・ロト・西ト・西ト・西ト・日・ シック・

## Berry phase

A perpendicular magnetic field changes the surface state dispersion

$$E_{\vec{k},j} = \pm \sqrt{\hbar^2 v_F^2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2)} + (b_z \pm \gamma)^2$$

where  $b_z = (g\mu_B/2)B_{\perp}$ 

The Berry phase of one these sets of states with wave function  $\Psi_{\vec{k},j}$  is given by an integral around a contour of constant energy. Writing  $k_x = k_F \cos \theta$ ,  $k_y = k_F \sin \theta$ , we find the Berry phase to be

$$\Phi_{j} = -i \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \ \Psi_{\vec{k},j}^{\dagger}(k_{F},\theta) \ \frac{\partial \Psi_{\vec{k},j}(k_{F},\theta)}{\partial \theta}$$
$$= \pi \left( 1 \ \pm \ \frac{b_{z} \pm \gamma}{\sqrt{\hbar^{2} v_{F}^{2} k_{F}^{2} + (b_{z} \pm \gamma)^{2}}} \right)$$

As expected, the Berry phase approaches  $\pi$  when  $\gamma$ ,  $b_z \rightarrow 0$ 

・ロト・西ト・山田・山田・山下

#### Effect of Zeeman coupling

Berry phase

$$\Phi_j = \pi \left( 1 \pm \frac{b_z \pm \gamma}{\sqrt{\hbar^2 v_F^2 k_F^2 + (b_z \pm \gamma)^2}} \right)$$

Assuming the surface hybridization  $\gamma$  to be small, the change in the Berry phase due to the Zeeman field leads to the modified HLN formula quoted earlier

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta \sigma_{\perp}(B_{\perp}) &=& \alpha_{\perp} \left[1 - 2(B_{\perp}/B_{\rm SOC})^2\right] \; \frac{e^2}{2\pi^2 \hbar} \\ &\times \left[\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hbar}{4el_{\phi}^2 B_{\perp}}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{\hbar}{4el_{\phi}^2 B_{\perp}}\right)\right] \end{array}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

# Summary

- We have found that a thin film of coupled TI nanocrystals can have a topological phase like a single crystal of a TI
- The surface state penetration depth is much larger than in a single TI crystal and can be controlled by temperature. The penetration depth decreases and the system becomes more topological with increasing temperature
- The effective spin-orbit coupling (25 50 Tesla) is much smaller than in a single TI crystal (several hundred Teslas), and can be controlled by temperature. We have observed an evolution of the Berry phase with the Zeeman field

# Summary



Topological phase diagram as a function of intra-grain and inter-grain couplings  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . Our thin film systems are topological insulators but lie close to the phase transition line

Banerjee, Deb, Majhi, Ganesan, Sen and Anil Kumar, arXiv:1604.03767