

(51)

$A_i(\alpha_s) \approx B_i(\alpha_s)$ are anomalous dimensions & can be calculated by comparison to lower orders

Note: $A_i(\alpha_s) \rightarrow$ numerator of $\frac{1}{1-x}$ term in the splitting of $P_{ii}(x)$

because it's the IR divergent ($x \rightarrow 1$)

coefficient of collinear ($b \rightarrow \infty$) singularity

$$A^{(1)} \rightarrow LL$$

$$B^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \rightarrow NLL \text{ and so on.}$$

NLL is good enough as long as

$$\alpha_s(Q) \ln(bQ) \ll 1.$$

$$A_q(\alpha_s) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_q \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} K + \dots \right), \quad K = C_F \left(\frac{67}{10} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right) - \frac{5\pi^2}{9}$$

Expanding $A_q \approx B_q$ in $(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi})$ one can write

$$E_{q\bar{q}}^{\text{PT}} \approx 2C_F \int_{1/b^2}^{Q^2} \frac{dk_T^2}{k_T^2} \left[\left\{ \frac{\alpha_s(k_T)}{\pi} + K \frac{\alpha_s(k_T)}{\pi} \right\} \ln \left(\frac{Q^2}{k_T^2} \right) + 2 \frac{\alpha_s(k_T)}{\pi} \right]$$

$$\sim 2 \alpha_s \frac{\alpha_s(\bar{Q})}{\pi} \int_{y_b}^{\bar{Q}^2} \frac{dk_T^2}{k_T^2} \left[\left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\bar{Q})}{\pi} \right) (\kappa - \beta_0) \right\} \ln \left(\frac{\bar{Q}^2}{k_T^2} \right) + 2 \frac{\alpha_s(\bar{Q})}{\pi} \right]$$

$$\sim \alpha_s \ln^2(b\bar{Q}) (1 + \alpha_s \ln(b\bar{Q}) + \dots) \\ + \alpha_s \ln(b\bar{Q}) (1 + \alpha_s \ln(b\bar{Q}) + \dots) + \dots$$

These are LL, NLL... corrections

After evaluating the resummed exponent,
(upto certain order) one performs an
inverse Fourier transform

$$\frac{d\sigma(Q_T)}{dQ^2 d^2 Q_T} = \sum_a H_{a\bar{a}}(\alpha_s(Q^2)) \int \frac{d^2 b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i \bar{Q}_T \cdot \bar{b}}$$

$$e^{i \bar{Q}_T \cdot (b, Q, \mu)} e^{-\mu_j^2 b^2 \ln(\frac{Q}{\mu_j}) + \dots}$$

$$\sum_{a=q,\bar{q}} \int_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \frac{d^2 f_{a\bar{a} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-}(Q, \mu)}{d\xi^2} f_{a/A}(\xi_1, \frac{1}{b}) f_{\bar{a}/B}(\xi_2, \frac{1}{b})$$

$\mu_j^2 b^2 \ln(\frac{Q}{\mu_j}) + \dots$ is non-perturbative exponent

→ introduced to deal with α_s singularity in integral
at $b^2 = Q^2 \exp\left[\frac{-4\pi}{B_0 \alpha_s(Q)}\right] \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^2}$

Threshold Resummation

Recall

$$\frac{d^2\sigma^{(1)}}{dQ^2 dQ_T} = \sigma_0 \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi^2} \left[1 - \frac{Q_T^2}{(1-z)^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 s} \right]^{-1/2}$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{Q_T^2} \cdot \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} - \frac{z^2}{(1-z) Q^2} \right].$$

Q_T integrated NLO partonic x -sheet

$$\frac{d^2\sigma^{(1)}_{q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma^* \chi}(z, Q^2, \mu^2)}{dQ^2} = \sigma_0(Q^2) \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi}$$

$$\left[2(1+z^2) \left[\frac{\ln(1-z)}{1-z} \right]_+ - \frac{(1+z^2) \ln z}{z} \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} - 4 \right) \delta(1-z) \right] + \sigma_0(Q^2) C_F \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{=} \frac{(1+z^2)}{1-z} \left[\ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} \right]_+$$

L (1)

Recall + distributions are generalized functions defined as

$$\int_0^1 dx \frac{f(x)}{(1-x)_+} = \int_0^1 dx \frac{f(x) - f(1)}{1-x}$$

$$\int_0^1 dx f(x) \left(\frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x} \right)_+ = \int_0^1 dx (f(x) - f(1)) \frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x}$$

etc

here f_{res} will be pdfs.

ex Splitting f_{res} $P_{qq}(z) = C_F \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \right)_+$

$$\longrightarrow \frac{A(\alpha_s)}{1-z}$$

Threshold resummation is resummation
of plus distributions.

For Resummation of plus distribution
transform to Mellin space

$$f(N) = \int_0^1 dz z^{N-1} f(z)$$

Use $I_n(N) = \int_0^1 dz z^{N-1} \left(\frac{\ln^m(1-z)}{1-z} \right)_+$
with

$$I_0(N) = -\ln N + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad N = Ne^{-\beta E}$$

$$I_1(N) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 N + \frac{1}{2} G_2 + \dots$$

$$I_2(N) = -\frac{1}{3} \ln^3 N - G_2 \ln N - \frac{2}{3} G_3 + \dots$$

$$I_3(N) = \frac{1}{4} \ln^4 N + \frac{1}{2} G_2 \ln^2 N + 2G_3 \ln N + \frac{3}{2} G_4 + \dots$$

Also

(53)

convolution in \mathbb{Z} space

\iff products in N space

$z \rightarrow 1$ limit $\iff N \rightarrow \infty$ limit

Using the same methods as for k_T resummation, we get

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(N) = \exp \left[- \int_0^1 dx \frac{x^{N-1} - 1}{1-x} \left\{ \int_{\mu^2}^{Q^2(x)} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} A(\alpha_s(\mu)) \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. + D(\alpha_s(1-x))^2 G \right\} \right] \times \left(1 + \alpha_s(G) \frac{C_F}{4\pi} F \right)$$

$$\sim \alpha_s \ln^2 N (1 + \alpha_s \ln N + \dots)$$

$$+ \alpha_s \ln N (1 + \alpha_s \ln N + \dots)$$

$A(\alpha_s(\mu))$ is coeff of $\frac{1}{1-x}$ term in

the splitting function (Note this
from eqn (I) on previous page)

$A(\alpha_s)$ & $B(\alpha_s)$ are ~~fixed~~

determined by matching to fixed
order result

(NNNLL, Moch, Vermaseren, Vogt, 05)

A One can expand $\alpha_s \in A$ and B in
powers of α_s and work upto Leading log

(LL) order $\rightarrow A_q^{(1)},$ next to leading log
NLL " $\rightarrow A_q^{(2)}, B_q^{(1)}$ and so on.

Note $\sigma(N) \rightarrow$ exponential form, two log integrals
 integrands are only functions of α_s

One can carry out the integrals &
 show that

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{Dy}}(N, Q^2) = g_{\text{Dy}}^{H(f_0)} \exp [G_{\text{Dy}}^N(Q^2)]$$

$$G_{\text{Dy}}^N = \ln N g_1(\lambda) + g_2(\lambda) \\ + \alpha_s g_3(\lambda) + \dots$$

where $\lambda = \beta_0 \alpha_s \ln N$.

$$g_1(\lambda) = \frac{C_F}{\beta_0 \lambda} [\lambda + (1-\lambda) \ln(1-\lambda)]$$

are done
 Computation upto NNLL &
 good convergence observed as
 logarithmic accuracy of resummation
 is increased

Result Enhancement observed for Higgs
 production at LHC (Catani et al 2003)
 (NNLL vs NNLO)

After resummation one performs an inverse Mellin transform via

$$\int_C^{\infty} dN e^{zN} f(N) \text{ or } \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\alpha}^{c+i\alpha} dN x^{-N} f(N)$$

poles in $x = N$

can only be done numerically

Joint Resummation (Laenen, Stevenson & Vogelsang 2003)

Combine threshold & recoil resummations into one formalism

→ another kind of re factorization.

⇒ logs in $\ln^2 N$ and $\ln^2 b$

Expt. Phenomenological Studies (some of them!)

1) Higgs Transverse mass. dist at LHC,

de Florian, Kulesza = Vogelsang hep-ph/0511205

$$\frac{dr}{dp_T} K^{\text{NLL/NLO}} \quad \rightsquigarrow 1.1 \quad M_H = 125 \text{ GeV} \quad 0 < p_T < 300$$

2) hep-ph/0311194 G. Bozzi

LHC results → NNLL+NLO vs NLO

"resummat" effects start showing at low p_T & increase NLO result by 40%.

3) Drell-Yan \rightarrow Cattani \rightarrow 2003 (1.96 TeV) (59)
 vs D0 data or Tevatron
 NNLL + NLO vs NLO $0 < q_T < 50$
 $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ at $\mu_R = \mu_F = m_\tau$

describes data well

Bonvini \rightarrow

4) Bonvini, ^{hep-ph/} arXiv:1006.5918

NNLO + NNLL for Drell-Yan \times -section
 → inclusion of resummed terms gives
 a small improvement
 at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV (mass of inv pair ≈ 1 TeV)

5) Morgan, arXiv:1006.2314

LHC : DY \rightarrow Resummation effects

correct the NNLO results by \sim

for γ . for $M_{\tau^+ \tau^-}^2 < 100$ GeV

6) Bozzi et al., 0705.3887

Higgs $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dq_T dy}$ at LHC with $M_H = 125$ GeV

NNLL + NLO vs NLO \rightarrow 30% higher at $q_T = 50$ GeV.

References

1. G. Sterman, Taix Lectures 1995,
hep-ph/9606312
2. E. Laenen, Pramana, 1225 (2004)
3. G. Altarelli, R. K. Ellis, G. Martinelli, $\overline{\text{NPB}}_{521}^{143}$ (1979)
" $\overline{\text{NPB}}_{157}^{461}$ (1979)
4. Calculational Techniques in Perturbative QCD:
David van Dyk
B. Pottier, www.physics.smu.edu/~oleness/cteqpp/potter-dy.pdf
5. H. C坐下opoulos, E. Laenen & G. Sterman,
 $\overline{\text{NPB}}_{484}^{303}$ (1997), hep-ph/9604319.