

On sums of element orders in finite groups

Patrizia LONGOBARDI

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI SALERNO

Group Theory and Computational Methods

ICTS International Centre for Theoretical Sciences

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research

Discussion Meeting

November 11-14, 2016

Basic Problem

Let G be a **periodic** group.

Main Problem

*Obtain information about the structure of G
by looking at the orders of its elements.*

The function ω

Let G be a **periodic** group.

Definition

$$\omega(G) := \{ o(x) \mid x \in G \}.$$

Problem

*What can be said about the structure of G
by looking at the set $\omega(G)$?*

The function ω

Let G be a **periodic** group.

Definition

$$\omega(G) := \{ o(x) \mid x \in G \}.$$

Problem

*What can be said about the structure of G
by looking at the set $\omega(G)$?*

The function ω

Let G be a **periodic** group.

Definition

$$\omega(G) := \{ o(x) \mid x \in G \}.$$

Problem

*What can be said about the structure of G
by looking at the set $\omega(G)$?*

The function ω

Remark

$\omega(G) = \{1, 2\}$ if and only if G is an elementary abelian 2-group.

F. Levi, B.L. van der Waerden, 1932

If $\omega(G) = \{1, 3\}$, then G is nilpotent of class ≤ 3 .

B.H. Neumann, 1937

If $\omega(G) = \{1, 2, 3\}$, then G is (elementary abelian)-by-(prime order).

The function ω

Remark

$\omega(G) = \{1, 2\}$ if and only if G is an elementary abelian 2-group.

F. Levi, B.L. van der Waerden, 1932

If $\omega(G) = \{1, 3\}$, then G is nilpotent of class ≤ 3 .

B.H. Neumann, 1937

If $\omega(G) = \{1, 2, 3\}$, then G is (elementary abelian)-by-(prime order).

The function ω

Remark

$\omega(G) = \{1, 2\}$ if and only if G is an elementary abelian 2-group.

F. Levi, B.L. van der Waerden, 1932

If $\omega(G) = \{1, 3\}$, then G is nilpotent of class ≤ 3 .

B.H. Neumann, 1937

If $\omega(G) = \{1, 2, 3\}$, then G is (elementary abelian)-by-(prime order).

Question

Does $\omega(G)$ finite imply G locally finite?

Question (**Bounded Burnside Problem**)

Does $\omega(G)$ finite imply G locally finite?

Answered *negatively* by Novikov and Adjan, 1968.

Question (**Bounded Burnside Problem**)

Does $\omega(G)$ finite imply G locally finite?

Answered **negatively** by **Novikov** and **Adjan**, 1968.

The function ω

I. N. Sanov, 1940

If $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then G is locally finite.

D.V. Lytkina, 2007

If $\omega(G) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then either

- G is an extension of an (elementary abelian 3-group) by (a cyclic or a quaternion group), or
- G is an extension of a (nilpotent of class 2 2-group) by (a subgroup of \mathcal{S}_3).

I. N. Sanov, 1940

If $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then G is locally finite.

D.V. Lytkina, 2007

If $\omega(G) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then either

- G is an extension of an (elementary abelian 3-group) by (a cyclic or a quaternion group), or
- G is an extension of a (nilpotent of class 2 2-group) by (a subgroup of \mathcal{S}_3).

The function ω

N.D. Gupta, V.D. Mazurov, A.K. Zhurтов, E. Jabara, 2004

If $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $\omega(G) \neq \{1, 5\}$, then G is locally finite.

E. Jabara, D. V. Lytkina, V. D. Mazurov, A. S. Mamontov, 2014

If $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, $\omega(G) \neq \{1, 5\}$, then G is locally finite.

The function ω

N.D. Gupta, V.D. Mazurov, A.K. Zhurтов, E. Jabara, 2004

If $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $\omega(G) \neq \{1, 5\}$, then G is locally finite.

E. Jabara, D. V. Lytkina, V. D. Mazurov, A. S. Mamontov, 2014

If $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, $\omega(G) \neq \{1, 5\}$, then G is locally finite.

The function ω

Question

Does $\omega(G) = \{1, 5\}$ imply G locally finite?

Still open!

M. C. Xu, W.J. Shi , 2003

A. V. Vasil'ev, M. A. Grechkoseeva, V.D. Mazurov, 2009

If G is a finite simple group, G_1 a finite group,

$$|G| = |G_1| \text{ and } \omega(G) = \omega(G_1),$$

then $G \simeq G_1$.

The function ω

Question

Does $\omega(G) = \{1, 5\}$ imply G locally finite?

Still open!

M. C. Xu, W.J. Shi , 2003

A. V. Vasil'ev, M. A. Grechkoseeva, V.D. Mazurov, 2009

If G is a finite simple group, G_1 a finite group,

$$|G| = |G_1| \text{ and } \omega(G) = \omega(G_1),$$

then $G \simeq G_1$.

The function ω

Question

Does $\omega(G) = \{1, 5\}$ imply G locally finite?

Still open!

M. C. Xu, W.J. Shi , 2003

A. V. Vasil'ev, M. A. Grechkoseeva, V.D. Mazurov, 2009

If G is a finite simple group, G_1 a finite group,

$$|G| = |G_1| \text{ and } \omega(G) = \omega(G_1),$$

then $G \simeq G_1$.

The function ω

Question

Does $\omega(G) = \{1, 5\}$ imply G locally finite?

Still open!

M. C. Xu, W.J. Shi , 2003

A. V. Vasil'ev, M. A. Grechkoseeva, V.D. Mazurov, 2009

If G is a finite simple group, G_1 a finite group,

$$|G| = |G_1| \text{ and } \omega(G) = \omega(G_1),$$

then $G \simeq G_1$.

The sets L_e

Let G be a **finite** group and let e be a **divisor** of the order of G .

Definition

$$L_e(G) := \{x \in G \mid x^e = 1\}.$$

Problem

Obtain information about the structure of G by looking at the orders of the sets $L_e(G)$.

The sets L_e

Let G be a **finite** group and let e be a **divisor** of the order of G .

Definition

$$L_e(G) := \{x \in G \mid x^e = 1\}.$$

Problem

*Obtain information about the structure of G
by looking at the orders of the sets $L_e(G)$.*

The sets L_e

Let G be a **finite** group and let e be a **divisor** of the order of G .

Definition

$$L_e(G) := \{x \in G \mid x^e = 1\}.$$

Problem

Obtain information about the structure of G by looking at the orders of the sets $L_e(G)$.

The sets L_e

G. Frobenius, 1895

$|L_e(G)|$ divides $|G|$, for every e dividing $|G|$.

Remark

$|L_e(G)| = e$, for every e dividing $|G|$, if and only if G is cyclic.

N. Iiyori, H. Yamaki, 1991

If $|L_e(G)| = e$, then $L_e(G)$ is a subgroup of G .

The sets L_e

G. Frobenius, 1895

$|L_e(G)|$ divides $|G|$, for every e dividing $|G|$.

Remark

$|L_e(G)| = e$, for every e dividing $|G|$, if and only if G is cyclic.

N. Iiyori, H. Yamaki, 1991

If $|L_e(G)| = e$, then $L_e(G)$ is a subgroup of G .

The sets L_e

G. Frobenius, 1895

$|L_e(G)|$ divides $|G|$, for every e dividing $|G|$.

Remark

$|L_e(G)| = e$, for every e dividing $|G|$, if and only if G is cyclic.

N. Iiyori, H. Yamaki, 1991

If $|L_e(G)| = e$, then $L_e(G)$ is a subgroup of G .

The sets L_e

G. Frobenius, 1895

$|L_e(G)|$ divides $|G|$, for every e dividing $|G|$.

Remark

$|L_e(G)| = e$, for every e dividing $|G|$, if and only if G is cyclic.

N. Iiyori, H. Yamaki, 1991

If $|L_e(G)| = e$, then $L_e(G)$ is a **subgroup** of G .

The sets L_e

W. Meng and **J. Shi**, in 2011, classified all finite groups G such that

$$|L_e(G)| \leq 2e, \text{ for every } e \text{ dividing } |G|.$$

H. Heineken and **F. Russo**, in 2015, studied groups G such that

$$|L_e(G)| \leq e^2, \text{ for every } e \text{ dividing } |G|.$$

W. Meng and **J. Shi**, in 2011, classified all finite groups G such that

$$|L_e(G)| \leq 2e, \text{ for every } e \text{ dividing } |G|.$$

H. Heineken and **F. Russo**, in 2015, studied groups G such that

$$|L_e(G)| \leq e^2, \text{ for every } e \text{ dividing } |G|.$$

W. Meng and **J. Shi**, in 2011, classified all finite groups G such that

$$|L_e(G)| \leq 2e, \text{ for every } e \text{ dividing } |G|.$$

H. Heineken and **F. Russo**, in 2015, studied groups G such that

$$|L_e(G)| \leq e^2, \text{ for every } e \text{ dividing } |G|.$$

Problem (J.G. Thompson)

*Let G be a finite soluble group, G_1 a finite group.
Assume $|L_e(G)| = |L_e(G_1)|$, for any e dividing $|G|$.
Is it true that G_1 is soluble?*

Still open!

Problem (J.G. Thompson)

Let G be a finite *soluble* group, G_1 a finite group.
Assume $|L_e(G)| = |L_e(G_1)|$, for *any* e dividing $|G|$.
Is it true that G_1 is *soluble*?

Still open!

Problem (J.G. Thompson)

Let G be a finite *soluble* group, G_1 a finite group.
Assume $|L_e(G)| = |L_e(G_1)|$, for *any* e dividing $|G|$.
Is it true that G_1 is *soluble*?

Still open!

Marcel Herzog, P. L., Mercede Maj

*An exact upper bound for sums of element orders
in non-cyclic finite groups*

submitted,

arXiv:1610.03669 [math.GR] 12 October 2016.

Marcel Herzog, P. L., Mercede Maj

*An exact upper bound for sums of element orders
in non-cyclic finite groups*

submitted,

arXiv:1610.03669 [math.GR] 12 October 2016.

The function ψ

Let G be a **finite** group.

Definition

$$\psi(G) := \sum_{x \in G} o(x).$$

Problem

*What can be said about the structure of G
by looking at the value $\psi(G)$?*

The function ψ

Let G be a **finite** group.

Definition

$$\psi(G) := \sum_{x \in G} o(x).$$

Problem

*What can be said about the structure of G
by looking at the value $\psi(G)$?*

The function ψ

Let G be a **finite** group.

Definition

$$\psi(G) := \sum_{x \in G} o(x).$$

Problem

*What can be said about the structure of G
by looking at the value $\psi(G)$?*

The function ψ - some examples

Examples

$$\psi(\mathcal{S}_3) = 13.$$

For, $\psi(\mathcal{S}_3) = 1 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3.$

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_6) = 21.$$

For, $\psi(\mathcal{C}_6) = 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 6.$

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_5) = 21.$$

For, $\psi(\mathcal{C}_5) = 1 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 5.$

where \mathcal{C}_n is the cyclic group of order n and \mathcal{S}_3 is the symmetric group of degree 3.

The function ψ - some remarks

Remark

$\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)$ does not imply $G \simeq G_1$.

Example

Let $A = C_8 \times C_2$,
 $B = C_2 \times C_8$, where $C_2 = \langle a \rangle$, $C_8 = \langle b \rangle$, $b^a = b^5$.

Then

$$\psi(A) = \psi(B) = 87.$$

Remark

$|G| = |G_1|$ and $\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)$ do not imply $G \simeq G_1$.

The function ψ - some remarks

Remark

$\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)$ does not imply $G \simeq G_1$.

Example

Let $A = C_8 \times C_2$,
 $B = C_2 \times C_8$, where $C_2 = \langle a \rangle$, $C_8 = \langle b \rangle$, $b^a = b^5$.

Then

$$\psi(A) = \psi(B) = 87.$$

Remark

$|G| = |G_1|$ and $\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)$ do not imply $G \simeq G_1$.

The function ψ - some remarks

Remark

$\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)$ does not imply $G \simeq G_1$.

Example

Let $A = C_8 \times C_2$,
 $B = C_2 \times C_8$, where $C_2 = \langle a \rangle$, $C_8 = \langle b \rangle$, $b^8 = 1$.

Then

$$\psi(A) = \psi(B) = 87.$$

Remark

$|G| = |G_1|$ and $\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)$ do not imply $G \simeq G_1$.

The function ψ - a problem

Remark

$$\psi(G) = \psi(\mathcal{S}_3) \text{ implies } G \simeq \mathcal{S}_3.$$

Problem

Find information about the structure of a finite group G from some inequalities on $\psi(G)$.

The function ψ - a problem

Remark

$$\psi(G) = \psi(\mathcal{S}_3) \text{ implies } G \simeq \mathcal{S}_3.$$

Problem

Find information about the structure of a finite group G from some inequalities on $\psi(G)$.

The function ψ - a property

Proposition

If $G = G_1 \times G_2$, where $|G_1|$ and $|G_2|$ are coprime, then

$$\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)\psi(G_2).$$

Sum of the orders of the elements in a cyclic group

Remark

$$\psi(C_n) = \sum_{d|n} d\varphi(d),$$

where φ is the Euler's function.

Proposition

Let p be a prime, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then:

$$\psi(C_{p^\alpha}) = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1} + 1}{p+1}.$$

Sum of the orders of the elements in a cyclic group

Remark

$$\psi(C_n) = \sum_{d|n} d\varphi(d),$$

where φ is the Euler's function.

Proposition

Let p be a prime, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then:

$$\psi(C_{p^\alpha}) = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1} + 1}{p+1}.$$

Sum of the orders of the elements in a cyclic group

Proposition

Let p be a prime, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then: $\psi(C_{p^\alpha}) = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1}+1}{p+1}$.

Proof. $\psi(C_{p^\alpha}) = 1 + p\varphi(p) + p^2\varphi(p^2) + \dots + p^\alpha(\varphi(p^\alpha)) =$
 $1 + p(p-1) + p^2(p^2-p) + \dots + p^\alpha(p^\alpha - p^{\alpha-1}) =$
 $= 1 + p^2 - p + p^4 - p^3 + \dots + p^{2\alpha} - p^{2\alpha-1} = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1}+1}{p+1}$, as required. //

Corollary

Let $n > 1$. Write $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, p_i 's different primes, α_i 's > 0 . Then

$$\psi(C_n) = \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, s\}} \frac{p_i^{2\alpha_i+1} + 1}{p_i + 1}.$$

Sum of the orders of the elements in a cyclic group

Proposition

Let p be a prime, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then: $\psi(C_{p^\alpha}) = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1}+1}{p+1}$.

Proof. $\psi(C_{p^\alpha}) = 1 + p\varphi(p) + p^2\varphi(p^2) + \dots + p^\alpha(\varphi(p^\alpha)) =$
 $1 + p(p-1) + p^2(p^2-p) + \dots + p^\alpha(p^\alpha - p^{\alpha-1}) =$
 $= 1 + p^2 - p + p^4 - p^3 + \dots + p^{2\alpha} - p^{2\alpha-1} = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1}+1}{p+1}$, as required. //

Corollary

Let $n > 1$. Write $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, p_i 's different primes, α_i 's > 0 . Then

$$\psi(C_n) = \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, s\}} \frac{p_i^{2\alpha_i+1} + 1}{p_i + 1}.$$

Sum of the orders of the elements in a cyclic group

Proposition

Let p be a prime, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then: $\psi(C_{p^\alpha}) = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1}+1}{p+1}$.

Proof. $\psi(C_{p^\alpha}) = 1 + p\varphi(p) + p^2\varphi(p^2) + \dots + p^\alpha(\varphi(p^\alpha)) =$
 $1 + p(p-1) + p^2(p^2-p) + \dots + p^\alpha(p^\alpha - p^{\alpha-1}) =$
 $= 1 + p^2 - p + p^4 - p^3 + \dots + p^{2\alpha} - p^{2\alpha-1} = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1}+1}{p+1}$, as required. //

Corollary

Let $n > 1$. Write $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, p_i 's different primes, α_i 's > 0 . Then

$$\psi(C_n) = \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, s\}} \frac{p_i^{2\alpha_i+1} + 1}{p_i + 1}.$$

Sum of the orders of the elements in a cyclic group

Remarks

Let p be a prime, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then

$$\psi(C_{p^\alpha}) = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1}+1}{p+1} = \frac{p|C_{p^\alpha}|^2+1}{p+1} > \frac{p}{p+1}|C_{p^\alpha}|^2.$$

Let $n > 1$. Write $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, p_i 's different primes, α_i 's > 0 , and let p be the **largest** prime divisor of n . Then

$$\psi(C_n) = \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, s\}} \frac{p_i^{2\alpha_i+1}+1}{p_i+1} > \frac{2}{p+1} n^2.$$

Sum of the orders of the elements in a cyclic group

Remarks

Let p be a prime, $\alpha \geq 0$. Then

$$\psi(C_{p^\alpha}) = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1}+1}{p+1} = \frac{p|C_{p^\alpha}|^2+1}{p+1} > \frac{p}{p+1}|C_{p^\alpha}|^2.$$

Let $n > 1$. Write $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, p_i 's different primes, α_i 's > 0 , and let p be the **largest** prime divisor of n . Then

$$\psi(C_n) = \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, s\}} \frac{p_i^{2\alpha_i+1}+1}{p_i+1} > \frac{2}{p+1} n^2.$$

The function ψ - a recent result

Theorem [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, M. Isaacs, *Comm. Algebra* 2009]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$. Then

$$\psi(G) \leq \psi(C_n).$$

Moreover

$$\psi(G) = \psi(C_n) \text{ if and only if } G \simeq C_n.$$

Let G be a finite non-cyclic group, $|G| = n$.

Then

$$\psi(G) < \psi(C_n).$$

The function ψ - a recent result

Theorem [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, M. Isaacs, *Comm. Algebra* 2009]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$. Then

$$\psi(G) \leq \psi(C_n).$$

Moreover

$$\psi(G) = \psi(C_n) \text{ if and only if } G \simeq C_n.$$

Let G be a finite non-cyclic group, $|G| = n$.

Then

$$\psi(G) < \psi(C_n).$$

The function ψ - a recent result

Theorem [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, M. Isaacs, *Comm. Algebra* 2009]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$. Then

$$\psi(G) \leq \psi(C_n).$$

Moreover

$$\psi(G) = \psi(C_n) \text{ if and only if } G \simeq C_n.$$

Let G be a finite non-cyclic group, $|G| = n$.

Then

$$\psi(G) < \psi(C_n).$$

The function ψ - a recent result

Theorem [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, M. Isaacs, *Comm. Algebra* 2009]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$. Then

$$\psi(G) \leq \psi(C_n).$$

Moreover

$$\psi(G) = \psi(C_n) \text{ if and only if } G \simeq C_n.$$

Let G be a finite non-cyclic group, $|G| = n$.

Then

$$\psi(G) < \psi(C_n).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a non-cyclic finite group, $|G| = n$.

Then

$$\psi(G) \leq \frac{7}{11}\psi(C_n).$$

Remark

This upper bound is the **best possible**:
for each $n = 4k$, k odd,
there exists a group G of order n satisfying

$$\psi(G) = \frac{7}{11}\psi(C_n).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group, $|G| = n$.

Then

$$\psi(G) \leq \frac{7}{11}\psi(C_n).$$

Remark

This upper bound is the **best possible**:
for each $n = 4k$, k odd,
there exists a group G of order n satisfying

$$\psi(G) = \frac{7}{11}\psi(C_n).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group, $|G| = n$.

Then

$$\psi(G) \leq \frac{7}{11}\psi(C_n).$$

Remark

This upper bound is the **best possible**:

for each $n = 4k$, k odd,

there exists a group G of order n satisfying

$$\psi(G) = \frac{7}{11}\psi(C_n).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group, $|G| = n$.

Then

$$\psi(G) \leq \frac{7}{11}\psi(C_n).$$

Remark

This upper bound is the **best possible**:
for each $n = 4k$, k odd,
there exists a group G of order n satisfying

$$\psi(G) = \frac{7}{11}\psi(C_n).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Proposition

Let k be an odd integer and let $n = 4k$. Then

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_{2k} \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \frac{7}{11}\psi(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

Proof. We have

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_n) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_{4k}) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_4)\psi(\mathcal{C}_k) = \frac{32+1}{2+1}\psi(\mathcal{C}_k) = 11\psi(\mathcal{C}_k).$$

and

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_{2k} \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_k \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_k)\psi(\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) = 7\psi(\mathcal{C}_k). \quad //$$

Remark

But for instance

$$\psi(\mathcal{S}_3) = 13 = \frac{13}{21}21 = \frac{13}{21}\psi(\mathcal{C}_6) < \frac{7}{11}\psi(\mathcal{C}_6).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Proposition

Let k be an odd integer and let $n = 4k$. Then

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_{2k} \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \frac{7}{11}\psi(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

Proof. We have

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_n) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_{4k}) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_4)\psi(\mathcal{C}_k) = \frac{32+1}{2+1}\psi(\mathcal{C}_k) = 11\psi(\mathcal{C}_k).$$

and

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_{2k} \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_k \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_k)\psi(\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) = 7\psi(\mathcal{C}_k). \quad //$$

Remark

But for instance

$$\psi(\mathcal{S}_3) = 13 = \frac{13}{21}21 = \frac{13}{21}\psi(\mathcal{C}_6) < \frac{7}{11}\psi(\mathcal{C}_6).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Proposition

Let k be an **odd** integer and let $n = 4k$. Then

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_{2k} \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \frac{7}{11}\psi(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

Proof. We have

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_n) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_{4k}) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_4)\psi(\mathcal{C}_k) = \frac{32+1}{2+1}\psi(\mathcal{C}_k) = 11\psi(\mathcal{C}_k).$$

and

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_{2k} \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_k \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_k)\psi(\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) = 7\psi(\mathcal{C}_k). \quad //$$

Remark

But for instance

$$\psi(\mathcal{S}_3) = 13 = \frac{13}{21}21 = \frac{13}{21}\psi(\mathcal{C}_6) < \frac{7}{11}\psi(\mathcal{C}_6).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Proposition

Let k be an odd integer and let $n = 4k$. Then

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_{2k} \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \frac{7}{11}\psi(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

Proof. We have

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_n) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_{4k}) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_4)\psi(\mathcal{C}_k) = \frac{32+1}{2+1}\psi(\mathcal{C}_k) = 11\psi(\mathcal{C}_k).$$

and

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}_{2k} \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_k \times \mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) = \psi(\mathcal{C}_k)\psi(\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2) = 7\psi(\mathcal{C}_k). \quad //$$

Remark

But for instance

$$\psi(\mathcal{S}_3) = 13 = \frac{13}{21}21 = \frac{13}{21}\psi(\mathcal{C}_6) < \frac{7}{11}\psi(\mathcal{C}_6).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a non-cyclic finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q be the smallest prime divisor of n .

Then

$$\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n).$$

Hence

$|G| = n$, q the smallest prime divisor of n . Then:

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n) \text{ implies } G \text{ cyclic.}$$

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q be the **smallest prime** divisor of n .

Then

$$\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n).$$

Hence

$|G| = n$, q the **smallest prime** divisor of n . Then:

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n) \text{ implies } G \text{ cyclic.}$$

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q be the **smallest prime** divisor of n .

Then

$$\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n).$$

Hence

$|G| = n$, q the **smallest prime** divisor of n . Then:

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n) \text{ implies } G \text{ cyclic.}$$

The function ψ - some new results

Corollary

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group of **odd** order n . Then

$$\psi(G) < \frac{1}{2}\psi(C_n).$$

Remark

In the Theorem it is **not possible** to substitute $q - 1$ by q . For:

$$\psi(S_3) = 13 \geq \frac{1}{2}\psi(C_6) = \frac{21}{2}.$$

The function ψ - some new results

Corollary

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group of **odd** order n . Then

$$\psi(G) < \frac{1}{2}\psi(C_n).$$

Remark

In the Theorem it is **not possible** to substitute $q - 1$ by q . For:

$$\psi(S_3) = 13 \geq \frac{1}{2}\psi(C_6) = \frac{21}{2}.$$

The function ψ - some new results

Problem

What can be said if $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q}\psi(C_n)$?

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q and p be the smallest and the largest prime divisors of n .

$$\text{If } \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2(q-1)}\psi(C_n),$$

then G is soluble and either its Sylow p -subgroups or its Sylow q -subgroups are cyclic.

The function ψ - some new results

Problem

What can be said if $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q}\psi(C_n)$?

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q and p be the smallest and the largest prime divisors of n .

$$\text{If } \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2(q-1)}\psi(C_n),$$

then G is soluble and either its Sylow p -subgroups or its Sylow q -subgroups are cyclic.

The function ψ - some new results

Problem

What can be said if $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q}\psi(C_n)$?

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q and p be the **smallest** and the **largest** prime divisors of n .

$$\text{If } \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2(q-1)}\psi(C_n),$$

then G is **soluble** and either its Sylow p -subgroups or its Sylow q -subgroups are **cyclic**.

The function ψ - some new results

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2(q-1)}\psi(C_n)$$

Remark

The Theorem applies
if $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q}\psi(C_n)$ and
if n is odd and $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q+1}\psi(C_n)$.

The function ψ - some new results

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2(q-1)}\psi(C_n)$$

Remark

The Theorem applies

if $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q}\psi(C_n)$ and

if n is odd and $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q+1}\psi(C_n)$.

The function ψ - some new results

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2(q-1)}\psi(C_n)$$

Remark

The Theorem applies
if $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q}\psi(C_n)$ and
if n is **odd** and $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q+1}\psi(C_n)$.

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

If $\psi(G) \geq \frac{3}{5}n\varphi(n)$, then

G is soluble and $G'' \leq Z(G)$.

Remark

Notice that

$$\psi(C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2) = 15 < \frac{3}{5} \cdot 8 \cdot 4 = \frac{3}{5}n\varphi(n)$$

and that

$$\psi(A_5) = 211 > 192 = \frac{1}{5}n\varphi(n).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

If $\psi(G) \geq \frac{3}{5}n\varphi(n)$, then

G is soluble and $G'' \leq Z(G)$.

Remark

Notice that

$$\psi(C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2) = 15 < \frac{3}{5} \cdot 8 \cdot 4 = \frac{3}{5}n\varphi(n)$$

and that

$$\psi(A_5) = 211 > 192 = \frac{1}{5}n\varphi(n).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

If $\psi(G) \geq \frac{3}{5}n\varphi(n)$, then

G is soluble and $G'' \leq Z(G)$.

Remark

Notice that

$$\psi(C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2) = 15 < \frac{3}{5} \cdot 8 \cdot 4 = \frac{3}{5}n\varphi(n)$$

and that

$$\psi(A_5) = 211 > 192 = \frac{1}{5}n\varphi(n).$$

The function ψ - some new results

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

If $\psi(G) \geq \frac{3}{5}n\varphi(n)$, then

G is soluble and $G'' \leq Z(G)$.

Remark

Notice that

$$\psi(C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2) = 15 < \frac{3}{5} \cdot 8 \cdot 4 = \frac{3}{5}n\varphi(n)$$

and that

$$\psi(A_5) = 211 > 192 = \frac{1}{5}n\varphi(n).$$

The function ψ - a useful result

Theorem [Ramanujan, 1913-1914]

Let $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s, \dots$ be the sequence of all primes:

$$q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_s < \dots .$$

Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_i^2+1}{q_i^2-1} = \frac{5}{2}.$$

Lemma

Let p_2, p_3, \dots, p_s be primes satisfying $p_2 < p_3 < \dots < p_s$.

If $p_2 > 3$ then

$$\prod_{i=2}^s \frac{p_i^2-1}{p_i^2+1} > \frac{5}{6}.$$

The function ψ - a useful result

Theorem [Ramanujan, 1913-1914]

Let $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s, \dots$ be the sequence of all primes:

$$q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_s < \dots .$$

Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_i^2 + 1}{q_i^2 - 1} = \frac{5}{2} .$$

Lemma

Let p_2, p_3, \dots, p_s be primes satisfying $p_2 < p_3 < \dots < p_s$.

If $p_2 > 3$ then

$$\prod_{i=2}^s \frac{p_i^2 - 1}{p_i^2 + 1} > \frac{5}{6} .$$

The function ψ - a useful result

Theorem [Ramanujan, 1913-1914]

Let $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s, \dots$ be the sequence of all primes:

$$q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_s < \dots .$$

Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_i^2 + 1}{q_i^2 - 1} = \frac{5}{2}.$$

Lemma

Let p_2, p_3, \dots, p_s be primes satisfying $p_2 < p_3 < \dots < p_s$.

If $p_2 > 3$ then

$$\prod_{i=2}^s \frac{p_i^2 - 1}{p_i^2 + 1} > \frac{5}{6}.$$

The function ψ - key lemmas

Lemma 1 [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, M. Isaacs, 2009]

Let G be a finite group, p a prime,
 P a **cyclic normal** Sylow p -subgroup of G .
Then:

$$\psi(G) \leq \psi(G/P)\psi(P).$$

Moreover

$$\psi(G) = \psi(G/P)\psi(P) \text{ if and only if } P \leq Z(G).$$

The function ψ - key lemmas

Lemma 1 [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, M. Isaacs, 2009]

Let G be a finite group, p a prime,
 P a **cyclic normal** Sylow p -subgroup of G .
Then:

$$\psi(G) \leq \psi(G/P)\psi(P).$$

Moreover

$$\psi(G) = \psi(G/P)\psi(P) \text{ if and only if } P \leq Z(G).$$

The function ψ - key lemmas

Lemma 1 [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, M. Isaacs, 2009]

Let G be a finite group, p a prime,
 P a **cyclic normal** Sylow p -subgroup of G .
Then:

$$\psi(G) \leq \psi(G/P)\psi(P).$$

Moreover

$$\psi(G) = \psi(G/P)\psi(P) \text{ if and only if } P \leq Z(G).$$

The function ψ - key lemmas

Lemma 2

Let n be a positive integer > 1 ,
with the **largest** prime divisor p and
the **smallest** prime divisor q .

Then:

$$\varphi(n) \geq \frac{q-1}{p} n .$$

The function ψ - key lemmas

Lemma 2

Let n be a positive integer > 1 ,
with the **largest** prime divisor p and
the **smallest** prime divisor q .

Then:

$$\varphi(n) \geq \frac{q-1}{p} n .$$

A proof sketch

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q be the **smallest prime** divisor of n .

Then

$$\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n).$$

Assume $|G| = n$ and $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$. We show that G is cyclic.

Obviously

$$\psi(C_n) > n\varphi(n)$$

and, by the previous Lemma,

$$\varphi(n) \geq \frac{q-1}{p}n,$$

where p denotes the largest prime divisor of n . Then

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n) > \frac{n}{q-1}\varphi(n) \geq \frac{n^2}{p}.$$

A proof sketch

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q be the **smallest prime** divisor of n .

Then

$$\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n).$$

Assume $|G| = n$ and $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$. We show that G is **cyclic**.

Obviously

$$\psi(C_n) > n\varphi(n)$$

and, by the previous Lemma,

$$\varphi(n) \geq \frac{q-1}{p}n,$$

where p denotes the largest prime divisor of n . Then

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n) > \frac{n}{q-1}\varphi(n) \geq \frac{n^2}{p}.$$

A proof sketch

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q be the **smallest prime** divisor of n .

Then

$$\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n).$$

Assume $|G| = n$ and $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$. We show that G is **cyclic**.

Obviously

$$\psi(C_n) > n\varphi(n)$$

and, by the previous Lemma,

$$\varphi(n) \geq \frac{q-1}{p}n,$$

where p denotes the largest prime divisor of n . Then

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n) > \frac{n}{q-1}\varphi(n) \geq \frac{n^2}{p}.$$

A proof sketch

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q be the **smallest prime** divisor of n .

Then

$$\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n).$$

Assume $|G| = n$ and $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$. We show that G is **cyclic**.

Obviously

$$\psi(C_n) > n\varphi(n)$$

and, by the previous Lemma,

$$\varphi(n) \geq \frac{q-1}{p}n,$$

where p denotes the largest prime divisor of n . Then

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n) > \frac{n}{q-1}\varphi(n) \geq \frac{n^2}{p}.$$

A proof sketch

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a **non-cyclic** finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q be the **smallest prime** divisor of n .

Then

$$\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n).$$

Assume $|G| = n$ and $\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$. We show that G is **cyclic**.

Obviously

$$\psi(C_n) > n\varphi(n)$$

and, by the previous Lemma,

$$\varphi(n) \geq \frac{q-1}{p}n,$$

where p denotes the largest prime divisor of n . Then

$$\psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n) > \frac{n}{q-1}\varphi(n) \geq \frac{n^2}{p}.$$

A proof sketch - continued

Hence

$$\psi(G) > \frac{n^2}{p}$$

which implies that there exists $x \in G$ with $o(x) > n/p$. Thus $[G : \langle x \rangle] < p$ and $\langle x \rangle$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Since $\langle x \rangle \leq N_G(P)$, it follows that P is a **cyclic normal subgroup** of G and Lemma 1 implies that

$$\psi(P)\psi(G/P) \geq \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{p^r})\psi(C_{n/p^r}),$$

where $p^r = |P|$. Since $P \cong C_{p^r}$, cancellation yields

$$\psi(G/P) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{n/p^r}).$$

A proof sketch - continued

Hence

$$\psi(G) > \frac{n^2}{p}$$

which implies that there exists $x \in G$ with $o(x) > n/p$.

Thus $[G : \langle x \rangle] < p$ and $\langle x \rangle$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Since $\langle x \rangle \leq N_G(P)$, it follows that P is a cyclic normal subgroup of G and Lemma 1 implies that

$$\psi(P)\psi(G/P) \geq \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{p^r})\psi(C_{n/p^r}),$$

where $p^r = |P|$. Since $P \cong C_{p^r}$, cancellation yields

$$\psi(G/P) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{n/p^r}).$$

A proof sketch - continued

Hence

$$\psi(G) > \frac{n^2}{p}$$

which implies that there exists $x \in G$ with $o(x) > n/p$.

Thus $[G : \langle x \rangle] < p$ and $\langle x \rangle$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Since $\langle x \rangle \leq N_G(P)$, it follows that P is a cyclic normal subgroup of G and Lemma 1 implies that

$$\psi(P)\psi(G/P) \geq \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{p^r})\psi(C_{n/p^r}),$$

where $p^r = |P|$. Since $P \cong C_{p^r}$, cancellation yields

$$\psi(G/P) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{n/p^r}).$$

A proof sketch - continued

Hence

$$\psi(G) > \frac{n^2}{p}$$

which implies that there exists $x \in G$ with $o(x) > n/p$.

Thus $[G : \langle x \rangle] < p$ and $\langle x \rangle$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Since $\langle x \rangle \leq N_G(P)$, it follows that P is a **cyclic normal subgroup** of G and Lemma 1 implies that

$$\psi(P)\psi(G/P) \geq \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{p^r})\psi(C_{n/p^r}),$$

where $p^r = |P|$. Since $P \cong C_{p^r}$, cancellation yields

$$\psi(G/P) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{n/p^r}).$$

A proof sketch - continued

Hence

$$\psi(G) > \frac{n^2}{p}$$

which implies that there exists $x \in G$ with $o(x) > n/p$.

Thus $[G : \langle x \rangle] < p$ and $\langle x \rangle$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Since $\langle x \rangle \leq N_G(P)$, it follows that P is a **cyclic normal subgroup** of G and Lemma 1 implies that

$$\psi(P)\psi(G/P) \geq \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{p^r})\psi(C_{n/p^r}),$$

where $p^r = |P|$. Since $P \cong C_{p^r}$, cancellation yields

$$\psi(G/P) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{n/p^r}).$$

A proof sketch - continued

Hence

$$\psi(G) > \frac{n^2}{p}$$

which implies that there exists $x \in G$ with $o(x) > n/p$.

Thus $[G : \langle x \rangle] < p$ and $\langle x \rangle$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup P of G . Since $\langle x \rangle \leq N_G(P)$, it follows that P is a **cyclic normal subgroup** of G and Lemma 1 implies that

$$\psi(P)\psi(G/P) \geq \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{p^r})\psi(C_{n/p^r}),$$

where $p^r = |P|$. Since $P \cong C_{p^r}$, cancellation yields

$$\psi(G/P) \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_{n/p^r}).$$

A proof sketch - continued

If $n = p^r$, then from $o(x) > n/p$ it follows $o(x) = n$ and G is cyclic, as required.

So we may assume that n is divisible by exactly k different primes with $k > 1$. Apply **induction** with respect to k .

Then G/P is **cyclic** and $G = P \rtimes F$, with $F \cong G/P$ and $F \neq 1$.

Notice that $n = |P||F|$, P and F are both cyclic and $(|P|, |F|) = 1$.

Hence $\psi(C_n) = \psi(P)\psi(F)$.

If $C_F(P) = F$, then $G = P \times F$ and G is **cyclic**, as required.

So it suffices to prove that if $C_F(P) =: Z < F$, then $\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$, contrary to our assumptions.

A proof sketch - continued

If $n = p^r$, then from $o(x) > n/p$ it follows $o(x) = n$ and G is cyclic, as required.

So we may assume that n is divisible by exactly k different primes with $k > 1$. Apply **induction** with respect to k .

Then G/P is cyclic and $G = P \rtimes F$, with $F \cong G/P$ and $F \neq 1$.

Notice that $n = |P||F|$, P and F are both cyclic and $(|P|, |F|) = 1$.

Hence $\psi(C_n) = \psi(P)\psi(F)$.

If $C_F(P) = F$, then $G = P \times F$ and G is cyclic, as required.

So it suffices to prove that if $C_F(P) =: Z < F$, then $\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$, contrary to our assumptions.

A proof sketch - continued

If $n = p^r$, then from $o(x) > n/p$ it follows $o(x) = n$ and G is cyclic, as required.

So we may assume that n is divisible by exactly k different primes with $k > 1$. Apply **induction** with respect to k .

Then G/P is **cyclic** and $G = P \rtimes F$, with $F \cong G/P$ and $F \neq 1$.

Notice that $n = |P||F|$, P and F are both cyclic and $(|P|, |F|) = 1$.

Hence $\psi(C_n) = \psi(P)\psi(F)$.

If $C_F(P) = F$, then $G = P \times F$ and G is **cyclic**, as required.

So it suffices to prove that if $C_F(P) =: Z < F$, then $\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$, contrary to our assumptions.

A proof sketch - continued

If $n = p^r$, then from $o(x) > n/p$ it follows $o(x) = n$ and G is cyclic, as required.

So we may assume that n is divisible by exactly k different primes with $k > 1$. Apply **induction** with respect to k .

Then G/P is **cyclic** and $G = P \rtimes F$, with $F \cong G/P$ and $F \neq 1$.

Notice that $n = |P||F|$, P and F are both cyclic and $(|P|, |F|) = 1$.

Hence $\psi(C_n) = \psi(P)\psi(F)$.

If $C_F(P) = F$, then $G = P \times F$ and G is **cyclic**, as required.

So it suffices to prove that if $C_F(P) =: Z < F$, then $\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$, contrary to our assumptions.

A proof sketch - continued

If $n = p^r$, then from $o(x) > n/p$ it follows $o(x) = n$ and G is cyclic, as required.

So we may assume that n is divisible by exactly k different primes with $k > 1$. Apply **induction** with respect to k .

Then G/P is **cyclic** and $G = P \rtimes F$, with $F \cong G/P$ and $F \neq 1$.

Notice that $n = |P||F|$, P and F are both cyclic and $(|P|, |F|) = 1$.

Hence $\psi(C_n) = \psi(P)\psi(F)$.

If $C_F(P) = F$, then $G = P \times F$ and G is **cyclic**, as required.

So it suffices to prove that if $C_F(P) =: Z < F$, then $\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$, contrary to our assumptions.

A proof sketch - continued

If $n = p^r$, then from $o(x) > n/p$ it follows $o(x) = n$ and G is cyclic, as required.

So we may assume that n is divisible by exactly k different primes with $k > 1$. Apply **induction** with respect to k .

Then G/P is **cyclic** and $G = P \rtimes F$, with $F \cong G/P$ and $F \neq 1$.

Notice that $n = |P||F|$, P and F are both cyclic and $(|P|, |F|) = 1$.

Hence $\psi(C_n) = \psi(P)\psi(F)$.

If $C_F(P) = F$, then $G = P \times F$ and G is **cyclic**, as required.

So it suffices to prove that if $C_F(P) =: Z < F$, then $\psi(G) < \frac{1}{q-1}\psi(C_n)$, contrary to our assumptions.

A proof sketch - continued

We get that

$$\psi(G) = \psi(P)\psi(Z) + |P|\psi(F \setminus Z) < \psi(P)\psi(Z) + |P|\psi(F).$$

Hence

$$\psi(G) < \psi(P)\psi(F)\left(\frac{\psi(Z)}{\psi(F)} + \frac{|P|}{\psi(P)}\right) = \psi(C_n)\left(\frac{\psi(Z)}{\psi(F)} + \frac{|P|}{\psi(P)}\right).$$

Then we show that

$$\frac{\psi(Z)}{\psi(F)} + \frac{|P|}{\psi(P)} < \frac{1}{q-1},$$

and we get the required contradiction.

A proof sketch - continued

We get that

$$\psi(G) = \psi(P)\psi(Z) + |P|\psi(F \setminus Z) < \psi(P)\psi(Z) + |P|\psi(F).$$

Hence

$$\psi(G) < \psi(P)\psi(F)\left(\frac{\psi(Z)}{\psi(F)} + \frac{|P|}{\psi(P)}\right) = \psi(C_n)\left(\frac{\psi(Z)}{\psi(F)} + \frac{|P|}{\psi(P)}\right).$$

Then we show that

$$\frac{\psi(Z)}{\psi(F)} + \frac{|P|}{\psi(P)} < \frac{1}{q-1},$$

and we get the required contradiction.

A proof sketch - continued

We get that

$$\psi(G) = \psi(P)\psi(Z) + |P|\psi(F \setminus Z) < \psi(P)\psi(Z) + |P|\psi(F).$$

Hence

$$\psi(G) < \psi(P)\psi(F)\left(\frac{\psi(Z)}{\psi(F)} + \frac{|P|}{\psi(P)}\right) = \psi(C_n)\left(\frac{\psi(Z)}{\psi(F)} + \frac{|P|}{\psi(P)}\right).$$

Then we show that

$$\frac{\psi(Z)}{\psi(F)} + \frac{|P|}{\psi(P)} < \frac{1}{q-1},$$

and we get the required contradiction.

Another proof sketch

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q and p be the **smallest** and the **largest** prime divisors of n .

$$\text{If } \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2(q-1)}\psi(C_n),$$

then G is **soluble** and either its Sylow p -subgroups or its Sylow q -subgroups are **cyclic**.

Since $\psi(C_n) > n\varphi(n)$ and by Lemma 2 $\varphi(n) \geq \frac{(q-1)n}{p}$, it follows by our assumptions that $\psi(G) > \frac{n^2}{2p}$. Hence there exists $x \in G$ such that $o(x) > \frac{n}{2p}$ and

$$[G : \langle x \rangle] < 2p.$$

Another proof sketch

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q and p be the **smallest** and the **largest** prime divisors of n .

$$\text{If } \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2(q-1)}\psi(C_n),$$

then G is **soluble** and either its Sylow p -subgroups or its Sylow q -subgroups are **cyclic**.

Since $\psi(C_n) > n\varphi(n)$ and by Lemma 2 $\varphi(n) \geq \frac{(q-1)n}{p}$, it follows by our assumptions that $\psi(G) > \frac{n^2}{2p}$. Hence there exists $x \in G$ such that $o(x) > \frac{n}{2p}$ and

$$[G : \langle x \rangle] < 2p.$$

Another proof sketch

Theorem [M. Herzog, P. L., M. Maj]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$ and let q and p be the **smallest** and the **largest** prime divisors of n .

$$\text{If } \psi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2(q-1)}\psi(C_n),$$

then G is **soluble** and either its Sylow p -subgroups or its Sylow q -subgroups are **cyclic**.

Since $\psi(C_n) > n\varphi(n)$ and by Lemma 2 $\varphi(n) \geq \frac{(q-1)n}{p}$, it follows by our assumptions that $\psi(G) > \frac{n^2}{2p}$. Hence there exists $x \in G$ such that $o(x) > \frac{n}{2p}$ and

$$[G : \langle x \rangle] < 2p.$$

Lemma 3

Let G be a finite group and suppose that there exists $x \in G$ such that

$$|G : \langle x \rangle| < 2p,$$

where p is the **maximal** prime divisor of $|G|$.

Then one of the following holds:

- G has a **normal cyclic** Sylow p -subgroup,
- $\langle x \rangle$ is a **maximal** subgroup of G , and G is **soluble**.

Sum of the orders of the elements

Definition

Let n be a positive integer. Put

$$\mathcal{T}_n := \{\psi(H) \mid |H| = n\}$$

Recall that

$\psi(C_n)$ is the **maximum** of \mathcal{T}_n .

Problem

What is the structure of G if $\psi(G)$ is the minimum of \mathcal{T}_n ?

Sum of the orders of the elements

Definition

Let n be a positive integer. Put

$$\mathcal{T}_n := \{\psi(H) \mid |H| = n\}$$

Recall that

$\psi(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is the **maximum** of \mathcal{T}_n .

Problem

What is the structure of G if $\psi(G)$ is the minimum of \mathcal{T}_n ?

Sum of the orders of the elements

Definition

Let n be a positive integer. Put

$$\mathcal{T}_n := \{\psi(H) \mid |H| = n\}$$

Recall that

$\psi(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is the **maximum** of \mathcal{T}_n .

Problem

*What is the structure of G if $\psi(G)$ is the **minimum** of \mathcal{T}_n ?*

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

If $n = p^\alpha$ for some prime p and some $\alpha > 0$ and $|G| = p^\alpha$,
then obviously

$\psi(G)$ is **minimum** if and only if $\exp G = p$.

If $p = 2$ and $\psi(G)$ is minimum,
then G is the **elementary abelian** group of order 2^α .

But there are **non-isomorphic** groups G and G_1 of order $p^\alpha > p^2$ ($p > 2$)
with $\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)$ **minimum**.

For instance, the two groups of exponent 3 and order 3^3 .

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

If $n = p^\alpha$ for some prime p and some $\alpha > 0$ and $|G| = p^\alpha$,
then obviously

$\psi(G)$ is **minimum** if and only if $\exp G = p$.

If $p = 2$ and $\psi(G)$ is minimum ,
then G is the **elementary abelian** group of order 2^α .

But there are **non-isomorphic** groups G and G_1 of order $p^\alpha > p^2$ ($p > 2$)
with $\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)$ **minimum**.

For instance, the two groups of exponent 3 and order 3^3 .

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

If $n = p^\alpha$ for some prime p and some $\alpha > 0$ and $|G| = p^\alpha$,
then obviously

$\psi(G)$ is **minimum** if and only if $\exp G = p$.

If $p = 2$ and $\psi(G)$ is minimum ,
then G is the **elementary abelian** group of order 2^α .

But there are **non-isomorphic** groups G and G_1 of order $p^\alpha > p^2$ ($p > 2$)
with $\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)$ **minimum**.

For instance, the two groups of exponent 3 and order 3^3 .

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

If $n = p^\alpha$ for some prime p and some $\alpha > 0$ and $|G| = p^\alpha$,
then obviously

$\psi(G)$ is **minimum** if and only if $\exp G = p$.

If $p = 2$ and $\psi(G)$ is minimum ,
then G is the **elementary abelian** group of order 2^α .

But there are **non-isomorphic** groups G and G_1 of order $p^\alpha > p^2$ ($p > 2$)
with $\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)$ **minimum**.

For instance, the two groups of exponent 3 and order 3^3 .

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Problem

*What happens in the **general case**?*

What happens for non-abelian simple groups?

Theorem [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, 2011]

Let G be a finite nilpotent group.

Then there exists a non-nilpotent group K with $|K| = |G|$ such that

$$\psi(K) < \psi(G).$$

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Problem

*What happens in the **general case**?*

*What happens for **non-abelian simple groups**?*

Theorem [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, 2011]

Let G be a finite nilpotent group.

Then there exists a non-nilpotent group K with $|K| = |G|$ such that

$$\psi(K) < \psi(G).$$

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Problem

*What happens in the **general case**?*

*What happens for **non-abelian simple groups**?*

Theorem [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, 2011]

Let G be a finite **nilpotent** group.

Then there exists a **non-nilpotent** group K with $|K| = |G|$ such that

$$\psi(K) < \psi(G).$$

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Question

If S is a simple group of order n ,
is $\psi(S)$ the minimum of \mathcal{T}_n ?

NO!

There are non-isomorphic simple groups S and S_1 such that
 $|S| = |S_1|$ and $\psi(S) \neq \psi(S_1)$.

For instance, the groups \mathcal{A}_8 and $\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)$ are such that
 $|\mathcal{A}_8| = 20160 = |\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)|$ and
 $\psi(\mathcal{A}_8) = 137047 > 103111 = \psi(\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4))$.

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Question

If S is a **simple** group of order n ,
is $\psi(S)$ the **minimum** of \mathcal{T}_n ?

NO!

There are non-isomorphic simple groups S and S_1 such that
 $|S| = |S_1|$ and $\psi(S) \neq \psi(S_1)$.

For instance, the groups \mathcal{A}_8 and $\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)$ are such that
 $|\mathcal{A}_8| = 20160 = |\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)|$ and
 $\psi(\mathcal{A}_8) = 137047 > 103111 = \psi(\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4))$.

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Question

If S is a **simple** group of order n ,
is $\psi(S)$ the **minimum** of \mathcal{T}_n ?

NO!

There are **non-isomorphic simple** groups S and S_1 such that
 $|S| = |S_1|$ and $\psi(S) \neq \psi(S_1)$.

For instance, the groups \mathcal{A}_8 and $\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)$ are such that
 $|\mathcal{A}_8| = 20160 = |\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)|$ and
 $\psi(\mathcal{A}_8) = 137047 > 103111 = \psi(\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4))$.

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Question

If S is a **simple** group of order n ,
is $\psi(S)$ the **minimum** of \mathcal{T}_n ?

NO!

There are **non-isomorphic simple** groups S and S_1 such that
 $|S| = |S_1|$ and $\psi(S) \neq \psi(S_1)$.

For instance, the groups \mathcal{A}_8 and $\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)$ are such that
 $|\mathcal{A}_8| = 20160 = |\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)|$ and
 $\psi(\mathcal{A}_8) = 137047 > 103111 = \psi(\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4))$.

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Question

If S is a **simple** group of order n ,
is $\psi(S)$ the **minimum** of \mathcal{T}_n ?

NO!

There are **non-isomorphic simple** groups S and S_1 such that
 $|S| = |S_1|$ and $\psi(S) \neq \psi(S_1)$.

For instance, the groups \mathcal{A}_8 and $\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)$ are such that

$$|\mathcal{A}_8| = 20160 = |\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)| \text{ and} \\ \psi(\mathcal{A}_8) = 137047 > 103111 = \psi(\mathcal{PSL}(3, 4)).$$

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Conjecture [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, 2011]

Let G be a finite **non-simple** group, S a finite **simple** group, $|G| = |S|$.

Then

$$\psi(S) < \psi(G).$$

Theorem [S.M. Jafarian Amiri, 2013]

Let G be a finite non-simple group.

If $|G| = 60$, then $\psi(\mathcal{A}_5) < \psi(G)$.

If $|G| = 168$, then $\psi(\mathcal{PSL}(2, 7)) < \psi(G)$.

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Conjecture [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, 2011]

Let G be a finite **non-simple** group, S a finite **simple** group, $|G| = |S|$.

Then

$$\psi(S) < \psi(G).$$

Theorem [S.M. Jafarian Amiri, 2013]

Let G be a finite non-simple group.

If $|G| = 60$, then $\psi(\mathcal{A}_5) < \psi(G)$.

If $|G| = 168$, then $\psi(\mathcal{PSL}(2, 7)) < \psi(G)$.

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Conjecture [H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, 2011]

Let G be a finite **non-simple** group, S a finite **simple** group, $|G| = |S|$.

Then

$$\psi(S) < \psi(G).$$

Theorem [S.M. Jafarian Amiri, 2013]

Let G be a finite **non-simple** group.

If $|G| = 60$, then $\psi(\mathcal{A}_5) < \psi(G)$.

If $|G| = 168$, then $\psi(\mathcal{PSL}(2, 7)) < \psi(G)$.

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

Assume G is a finite **non-simple** group.

Using **GAP** it is possible to see that:

If $|G| = 360$, then $\psi(\mathcal{A}_6) < \psi(G)$.

If $|G| = 504$, then $\psi(\mathcal{PSL}(2, 8)) < \psi(G)$.

If $|G| = 660$, then $\psi(\mathcal{PSL}(2, 11)) < \psi(G)$.

If $|G| = 1092$, then $\psi(\mathcal{PSL}(2, 13)) < \psi(G)$.

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

But the conjecture is **not** true.

Theorem [Y. Mafat, A. Iranmanesh, A. Tehranian, 2013]

Let $S = \mathcal{PSL}(2, 64)$ and $G = 3^2 \times \text{Sz}(8)$.

Then $|G| = |S|$ and $\psi(G) \leq \psi(S)$.

Conjecture

Let G be a finite **soluble** group, S a **simple** group, $|G| = |S|$.

Then

$$\psi(S) < \psi(G).$$

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

But the conjecture is **not** true.

Theorem [Y. Marefat, A. Iranmanesh, A. Tehranian, 2013]

Let $S = \mathcal{PSL}(2, 64)$ and $G = 3^2 \times \mathcal{Sz}(8)$.

Then $|G| = |S|$ and $\psi(G) \leq \psi(S)$.

Conjecture

Let G be a finite **soluble** group, S a **simple** group, $|G| = |S|$.

Then

$\psi(S) < \psi(G)$.

Sum of the orders of the elements - minimum

But the conjecture is **not** true.

Theorem [Y. Mafat, A. Iranmanesh, A. Tehranian, 2013]

Let $S = \mathcal{PSL}(2, 64)$ and $G = 3^2 \times \mathcal{Sz}(8)$.

Then $|G| = |S|$ and $\psi(G) \leq \psi(S)$.

Conjecture

Let G be a finite **soluble** group, S a **simple** group, $|G| = |S|$.

Then

$$\psi(S) < \psi(G).$$

Some other functions

Let G be a **finite** group.

Definition

$$\mathcal{P}(G) := \prod_{x \in G} o(x).$$

Theorem [M. Garonzi, M. Patassini, 2015]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}(G) \leq \mathcal{P}(C_n).$$

Moreover

$$\mathcal{P}(G) = \mathcal{P}(C_n) \text{ if and only if } G \simeq C_n.$$

Some other functions

Let G be a **finite** group.

Definition

$$\mathcal{P}(G) := \prod_{x \in G} o(x).$$

Theorem [M. Garonzi, M. Patassini, 2015]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}(G) \leq \mathcal{P}(C_n).$$

Moreover

$$\mathcal{P}(G) = \mathcal{P}(C_n) \text{ if and only if } G \simeq C_n.$$

Some other functions

Let G be a **finite** group.

Definition

$$\mathcal{P}(G) := \prod_{x \in G} o(x).$$

Theorem [M. Garonzi, M. Patassini, 2015]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}(G) \leq \mathcal{P}(C_n).$$

Moreover

$$\mathcal{P}(G) = \mathcal{P}(C_n) \text{ if and only if } G \simeq C_n.$$

Some other functions

Let G be a **finite** group.

Definition

$$\mathcal{P}(G) := \prod_{x \in G} o(x).$$

Theorem [M. Garonzi, M. Patassini, 2015]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}(G) \leq \mathcal{P}(C_n).$$

Moreover

$$\mathcal{P}(G) = \mathcal{P}(C_n) \text{ if and only if } G \simeq C_n.$$

Some other functions

Let G be a finite group, r, s real numbers.

Definition

$$\mathcal{R}_G(r, s) := \sum_{x \in G} \frac{o(x)^s}{\varphi(o(x))^r}.$$

$$\mathcal{R}_G(r) := \mathcal{R}_G(r, r).$$

Remark

$$\mathcal{R}_G(0, 1) = \psi(G).$$

Some other functions

Let G be a finite group, r, s real numbers.

Definition

$$\mathcal{R}_G(r, s) := \sum_{x \in G} \frac{o(x)^s}{\varphi(o(x))^r}.$$

$$\mathcal{R}_G(r) := \mathcal{R}_G(r, r).$$

Remark

$$\mathcal{R}_G(0, 1) = \psi(G).$$

Some other functions

Theorem [M. Garonzi, M. Patassini, 2015]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$, $r < 0$.

Then $\mathcal{R}_G(r) \geq \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(r)$.

Moreover

$\mathcal{R}_G(r) = \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(r)$ if and only if G is nilpotent.

Problem

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$, and r, s real numbers.

Does $\mathcal{R}_G(s, r) = \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(s, r)$ imply G soluble?

Some other functions

Theorem [M. Garonzi, M. Patassini, 2015]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$, $r < 0$.

Then $\mathcal{R}_G(r) \geq \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(r)$.

Moreover

$\mathcal{R}_G(r) = \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(r)$ if and only if G is nilpotent.

Problem

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$, and r, s real numbers.

Does $\mathcal{R}_G(s, r) = \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(s, r)$ imply G soluble?

Some other functions

Theorem [T. De Medts, M. Tărnăuceanu, 2008]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

If G is nilpotent, then $\mathcal{R}_G(1) = \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(1)$.

Problem

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

Does $\mathcal{R}_G(1) = \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(1)$ imply G nilpotent?

Problem

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

Does $\mathcal{R}_G(1) \leq \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(1)$?

Some other functions

Theorem [T. De Medts, M. Tărnăuceanu, 2008]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

If G is nilpotent, then $\mathcal{R}_G(1) = \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(1)$.

Problem

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

Does $\mathcal{R}_G(1) = \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(1)$ imply G nilpotent?

Problem

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

Does $\mathcal{R}_G(1) \leq \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(1)$?

Some other functions

Theorem [T. De Medts, M. Tărnăuceanu, 2008]

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

If G is nilpotent, then $\mathcal{R}_G(1) = \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(1)$.

Problem

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

Does $\mathcal{R}_G(1) = \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(1)$ imply G nilpotent?

Problem

Let G be a finite group, $|G| = n$.

Does $\mathcal{R}_G(1) \leq \mathcal{R}_{C_n}(1)$?

Thank you for the attention !

P. Longobardi







Dipartimento di Matematica

Università di Salerno







via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, 84084 Fisciano (Salerno), Italy







E-mail address : plongobardi@unisa.it

Bibliography






-  H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, I.M. Isaacs, Sums of element orders in finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* **37** (2009), 2978-2980.
-  H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, Sum of element orders on finite groups of the same order, *J. Algebra Appl.* **10** no. 2 (2011), 187-190.
-  H. Amiri, S.M. Jafarian Amiri, Sum of element orders on maximal subgroups of the symmetric group, *Comm. Algebra* **40** no. 2 (2012), 770-778.
-  G. Frobenius, Verallgemeinerung des Sylowschen Satzes, *Berliner Sitz.* (1895), 981-993.
-  M. Garonzi, M. Patassini, Inequalities detecting structural properties of a finite group, *arXiv:1503.00355v2 [math.GR]* 26 december 2015.
-  N.D. Gupta, V.D. Mazurov, On groups with small orders of elements, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **60** (1999), 197-205.





Bibliography

-  M. Hall, Solution of the Burnside problem for exponent six, *Illinois J. Math.* **2** (1958), 764-786.
-  J. Harrington, L. Jones, A. Lamarche, Characterizing Finite Groups Using the Sum of the Orders of the Elements, *Int. J. Comb.*, Volume 2014, Article ID 835125, 8 pages.
-  M. Herzog, P. Longobardi, M. Maj, An exact upper bound for sums of element orders in non-cyclic finite groups, *submitted*, arXiv:1610.03669 [math.GR] 12 October 2016.
-  N. Iiyori, H. Yamaki, On a conjecture of Frobenius. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** 25, (1991), 413-416.
-  E. Jabara, Fixed point free actions of groups of exponent 5, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **77** (2004), 297-304.
-  E. Jabara, D.V. Lytkina, V.D. Mazurov, A.S. Mamontov, Groups whose element order do not exceed 6, *Algebra and Logic*, **53** (2014), 365-376.

-  S.M. Jafarian Amiri, Characterization of A_5 and $PSL(2, 7)$ by sum of elements orders, *Int. J. Group Theory* **2** (2013), 35-39.
-  S.M. Jafarian Amiri and M. Amiri, Second maximum sum of element orders on finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **218** no. 3 (2014), 531-539.
-  F. Levi, B.L. van der Waerden, Über eine besondere Klasse von Gruppen, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg Univ.*, **9** (1932), 154-158.
-  F. Le Lionnais, *Les nombres remarquables*, Hermann, Paris, 1983.
-  D.V. Lytkina, The structure of a group with elements of order at most 4, *Siberian Math. J.* **48** (2007), 283-287.
-  D.V. Lytkina, V.D. Mazurov, Groups with Given Element Orders, *J. Siberian Federal University Math.* **7** no. 2 (2014), 191-203.

Bibliography

-  Y. Marefat, A. Iranmanesh, A. Tehranian, On the sum of elements of finite simple groups, *J. Algebra Appl.* **12** no. 7 (2013), DOI: 10.1142/S0219498813500266.
-  V.D. Mazurov, Groups of exponent 60 with prescribed orders of elements, *Algebra i Logika* **39** (2000), 329-346; English translation: *Algebra and Logic* **39** (2000), 189-198.
-  B.H. Neumann, Groups whose elements have bounded orders, *J. London Math. Soc.* **12** (1937), 195-198.
-  I. N. Sanov, Solution of Burnside's problem for exponent 4, *Leningrad Univ. Ann. Math. Ser.* **10** (1940), 166-170.
-  R. Shen, G. Chen and C. Wu, On Groups with the Second Largest Value of the Sum of Element Orders, *Comm. Algebra* **43** no. 6 (2015), 2618-2631.

-  A.V. Vasil'ev, M.A. Grechkoseeva, V.D. Mazurov, On finite groups isospectral to simple symplectic and orthogonal groups, *Siberian Math. J.* **50** no. 6 (2009), 965-981.
-  A.V. Vasil'ev, M.A. Grechkoseeva, V.D. Mazurov, Characterization of the finite simple groups by spectrum and order, *Algebra and Logic* **48** no. 6 (2009), 385-409.
-  M.C. Xu, W.J. Shi, Pure quantitative characterization of finite simple groups ${}^2D_n(q)$ and $D_l(q)$ (l odd), *Algebra Colloquium* **10** no. 3 (2003), 427-443.
-  A.K. Zhurtov, V.D. Mazurov, A recognition of simple groups $L_2(2^m)$ in the class of all groups, *Sibirsk. Math. Zh.* **40** (1999), 75-78; English translation: *Siberian Math. J.* **40** (1999), 62-64.