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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Relative to a frame rotating with angular velocity $\Omega=\Omega \widehat{\mathbf{z}}$, we are interested in the slow steady flow of an incompressible fluid, viscocity $\nu$, in the shell between two spheres.
The inner sphere, radius $L$, is at rest; the outer sphere rotates with angular velocity $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\Omega} ; \varepsilon \ll 1$.

Our geometry differs slightly from Stewartson (1966):

$$
r_{j}^{s}=L
$$

Relative to his frame rotating with angular velocity

$$
\Omega^{s}=(1+\varepsilon) \Omega
$$

his outer sphere is at rest, while his inner sphere rotates with angular velocity

$$
\omega_{j}^{\mathrm{s}}=\Omega-\Omega^{\mathrm{s}}=-\varepsilon \Omega
$$

Stewartson's (1966) configuration, $r_{j}^{s}=L$, relative to a rotating $\Omega(1+\varepsilon)$ frame with $\omega_{j}^{s}=-\varepsilon \Omega$


## Proudman solution (1956): Geostrophic flow and Ekman layers

The flow in the small Ekman number limit,

$$
E=\nu / L^{2} \Omega \ll 1
$$

is characterised by mainstream geostrophic flow (azimuthal and $z$-independent) and boundary layer structures:

- The Ekman layers on the spheres width $(\nu / \Omega)^{1 / 2}=L E^{1 / 2}$, which largely control the mainstream flow.
- Outside the inner sphere tangent cylinder the fluid co-rotates with the outer sphere.
- Inside it rotates at an intermediate angular velocity which tends to rest as the tangent cylinder is approached.

The Proudman (1956) solution
(a) Geostrophic velocity $\omega_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s} ;}$ (b) Streamlines $\chi^{s}=$ const.

(a)


## Quasi-geostrophic flow with radial friction

The discontinuity is smoothed out across nested shear layers on the tangent cylinder.

In units of $L$, Stewartson (1966) identified the "outer" layers

- an $E^{1 / 4}$-layer outside the tangent cylinder in which the flow continues to be geostrophic.
Geostrophic degeneracy is resolved by Ekman suction and internal lateral friction;
- an $E^{2 / 7}$ inside the tangent cylinder with similar features.

The different width arises because of the singularity of the Ekman layer as the equator is approached.

## Quasi-geostrophic layers

(a) Geostrophic velocity $\omega_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{s}}$;
(b) Streamlines $\chi^{5}=$ const.

(a)


The "inner" $E^{1 / 3}$ shear layer
The "outer" $E^{1 / 4}$ and $E^{2 / 7}$-layers embed an "inner" $E^{1 / 3}$-layer, which ceases to be geostrophic, as the shear is dependent on the axial co-ordinate $z^{\dagger}$.

A primary source for this layer is the inner core equator. It thickens proportional to $\left(E z^{\dagger}\right)^{1 / 3}$, while the Ekman layer thins proportional to $\left(E / z^{\dagger}\right)^{1 / 2}$. They are equal when

$$
\delta=\left(E z^{\dagger}\right)^{1 / 3}=\left(E / z^{\dagger}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

i.e.,

$$
z^{\dagger}=E^{1 / 5}, \quad \delta=E^{2 / 5}
$$

which define the dimensions of the
$E^{2 / 5}$ Equatorial Ekman Layer

## $E^{2 / 5}$ Equatorial Ekman Layer

## $\left(E z^{\dagger}\right)^{1 / 3}$ shear layer; <br> $\left(E / z^{\dagger}\right)^{1 / 2}$ Ekman layer



## Objectives

- We investigate the solution of Stewartson's (1966) reduced equations and boundary conditions (scaled independent of $E$ ) on an unbounded domain governing motion in the $E^{2 / 5}$ Equatorial Ekman Layer.
- In the absence of a far boundary, we find that, without some ingenuity, the numerical solution is dependant on the finite numerical box size! Our resolution is a "soft" boundary at finite $z^{\dagger}$, where we apply a non-local (integral) b.c..
- For large $z^{\dagger}$, we extend Stewartson's (1966) $E^{1 / 3}$ shear layer similarity solution valid near the equator to higher orders using matched asymptotic expansions. That analytic extension is in excellent agreement with the numerics.


## Equatorial Ekman Layer: Meridional streamfunction
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## 2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION University

## Geometry

Relative to local Equatorial Ekman layer Cartesian coordinates $x^{\dagger}$ (radial), "dummy $y^{\dagger "}$ (azimuthal), $z^{\dagger}$ (axial) scaled as

$$
x^{\dagger}=E^{2 / 5} L x \quad \text { (radial) } \quad z^{\dagger}=E^{1 / 5} L z \quad \text { (axial) },
$$

our inner sphere is the severely flattened oblate spheroid

$$
E^{2 / 5}\left(x+E^{-2 / 5}\right)^{2}+z^{2}=E^{-2 / 5}
$$

which for $(x, z)=\mathrm{O}(1)(E \rightarrow 0)$ determines

$$
2 x+z^{2}=0
$$

## Governing equations

Our unit of angular velocity $\Delta \Omega$ is not $\varepsilon \Omega$ but $C E^{1 / 28} \varepsilon \Omega$ (constant $C$ of order unity; Stewartson 1966).

- The azimuthal and axial velocities are

$$
u_{y}^{\dagger},=(L \Delta \Omega) v(x, z), \quad u_{z}^{\dagger}=(L \Delta \Omega) w(x, z)
$$

the radial velocity and the meridional flow streamfunction are

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
u_{x}^{\dagger}=E^{1 / 5}(L \Delta \Omega) u, & \psi^{\dagger}=E^{2 / 5}\left(L^{2} \Delta \Omega\right) \psi \\
\text { where } \quad u=-\partial \psi / \partial z, & w=\partial \psi / \partial x
\end{array}
$$

- Then the governing equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} & =\frac{\partial^{4} \psi}{\partial x^{4}} \\
2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} & =-\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

azimuthal vorticity
azimuthal velocity

## Boundary conditions

We adopt the frame with the fluid at rest far from the sphere.

- More precisely the boundary conditions are
$\partial v / \partial z=0, \quad \psi=0 \quad$ on $\quad z=0, \quad x>0$,
$v=1, \quad w=0, \quad \psi=0 \quad$ on $\quad z=\sqrt{-2 x}, \quad x<0$,
$v \rightarrow 0, \quad \psi \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $\quad x \uparrow \infty$,
As $z \uparrow \infty$ an Ekman layer forms on the sphere boundary $x=-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}$ of width $\mathrm{O}\left(z^{-1}\right)$, in which

$$
v_{0}^{b}=\mathrm{e}^{-\zeta} \cos \zeta, \quad w_{0}^{b}=-\mathrm{e}^{-\zeta} \sin \zeta ;
$$

Ekman layer coordinate $\zeta=z^{1 / 2}\left(x+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}\right) \Longrightarrow$ top b.c.

$$
v \sim v_{0}^{b l}(\zeta), \quad w \sim w_{0}^{b l}(\zeta), \quad \psi \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for } \quad \zeta>0
$$

Stewartson $E^{1 / 3}$-layer solution for $z \uparrow \infty$

- The Mainstream region is the entire region outside the Ekman boundary layer:

$$
z^{-1 / 2} \zeta=x+\frac{1}{2} z^{2} \gg z^{-1 / 2}
$$

- Stewartson's (1966) Mainstream similarity solution
$v=V_{0}(\Phi, z), \quad \psi=\Psi_{0}(\Phi, z), \quad w=W_{0}(\Phi, z), \quad \Phi=x / z^{1 / 3} ;$
for the shear layer of width $\mathrm{O}\left(z^{1 / 3}\right)$, which forms in its interior on the tangent cylinder $x=0$, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{0}(\Phi, z)=\frac{2^{-1 / 4} z^{-5 / 12}}{\Gamma(1 / 4)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \varpi^{1 / 4} \cos \left(\varpi \Phi+\frac{3}{8} \pi\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \varpi^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} \varpi \\
& \Psi_{0}(\Phi, z)=-\frac{2^{-1 / 4} z^{-1 / 12}}{\Gamma(1 / 4)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \varpi^{-3 / 4} \cos \left(\varpi \Phi+\frac{3}{8} \pi\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \varpi^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} \varpi \\
& W_{0}(\Phi, z)=\frac{2^{-1 / 4} z^{-5 / 12}}{\Gamma(1 / 4)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \varpi^{1 / 4} \sin \left(\varpi \Phi+\frac{3}{8} \pi\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \varpi^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} \varpi
\end{aligned}
$$

## Properties and limitations

- The simplicity of the Stewartson $z \uparrow \infty$ solution hides his leading order mainstream assumption $\quad v=0$.
- The Ekman layer jump to $v=1$ at the sphere boundary drives the Ekman layer suction

$$
\psi \sim-\frac{1}{2}(-2 x)^{-1 / 4} \quad \text { on } \quad x=-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}
$$

$\Uparrow$

$$
\Psi_{0} \sim-\frac{1}{2} z^{-1 / 12}(-2 \Phi)^{-1 / 4} \quad \text { on } \quad \Phi=-\frac{1}{2} z^{5 / 6}
$$

providing the crucial b.c. on his lowest order solution.

- Here $z^{-1 / 12}$ sets the power law in the similarity solution satisfying the b.c. $2 z^{1 / 12} \Psi_{0} \sim-(-2 \Phi)^{-1 / 4} \quad$ as $\quad \Phi \downarrow-\infty$.
- While $\psi=0$ on $x>0, z=0$ implies the b.c. $\quad 2 z^{1 / 12} \Psi_{0}=\mathrm{o}\left(\Phi^{-1 / 4}\right) \quad$ as $\quad \Phi \uparrow \infty$.
- The corresponding similarity form $z^{5 / 12} V_{0}=$ function of $\Phi$, is largely slave to $z^{1 / 12} \Psi_{0}$.
- Indeed Stewartson's solution determines

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{0} \approx \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4} z^{-5 / 12}(-2 \Phi)^{-5 / 4} & (\Phi \downarrow-\infty) \\
-2^{-3 / 2} z^{-5 / 12}(2 \Phi)^{-5 / 4} & (\Phi \uparrow \infty)\end{cases} \\
& \Downarrow \\
& v \approx \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4}(-2 x)^{-5 / 4} & (x<0, z=0) \\
-2^{-3 / 2}(2 x)^{-5 / 4} & (x>0, z=0)\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The symmetry condition $\partial v / \partial z=0$ on $x>0, z=0$ is met.
- Importantly the value

$$
v \approx \frac{1}{4} z^{-5 / 2}
$$

at the edge of the sphere Ekman layer $-2 x=z^{2}$ does not meet the assumed $v=0$.
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## 3. SERIES SOLUTION

- Shear layer solution $\Phi=x / z^{1 / 3}=O(1)$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
V \\
W \\
z^{-1 / 3} \Psi
\end{array}\right] \sim z^{-5 / 12}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{V}_{0} \\
\widehat{W}_{0} \\
\widehat{\Psi}_{0}
\end{array}\right](\Phi)+z^{-5 / 6}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{V}_{1} \\
\widehat{W}_{1} \\
\widehat{\Psi}_{1}
\end{array}\right](\Phi)+z^{-5 / 4}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{V}_{2} \\
\widehat{W}_{2} \\
\widehat{\Psi}_{2}
\end{array}\right](\Phi)+\cdots
$$

- Ekman layer solution $\zeta=z^{1 / 2}\left(x+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}\right)=\mathrm{O}(1)$ :
$\left[\begin{array}{c}v \\ w \\ z^{1 / 2} \psi\end{array}\right] \sim\left[\begin{array}{c}v_{0} \\ w_{0} \\ \psi_{0}\end{array}\right](\zeta)+z^{-5 / 2}\left[\begin{array}{l}v_{1} \\ w_{1} \\ \psi_{1}\end{array}\right](\zeta)+z^{-5}\left[\begin{array}{c}v_{2} \\ w_{2} \\ \psi_{2}\end{array}\right](\zeta)+\cdots$.
which splits into shear layer ${ }^{s /}$ (mainstream) and Ekman (boundary) layer ${ }^{b l}$ parts, e.g., $\quad v=v^{b l}+v^{s l}$.
- The complete solution is provided by the composite

$$
v=V+v^{b l}, \quad w=W+w^{b l}, \quad \psi=\Psi+\psi^{b l}
$$

## Shear layer solutions

- Set

$$
\widehat{V}_{n}+\mathrm{i} \widehat{W}_{n}=\mathrm{Y}_{n}^{\prime}(\Phi)
$$

where $Y_{n}$ solves

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{i}\left[\Phi \mathrm{Y}_{n}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{4}(5 n+1) \mathrm{Y}_{n}\right]=0
$$

- The solutions, bounded as $|\Phi| \rightarrow \infty$, are
$\mathrm{Y}_{n}(\Phi)=\mathrm{A}_{n} \exp [-\mathrm{i}(5 n+1) \pi / 8] \int_{0}^{\infty} \varpi^{(5 n-3) / 4} \exp \left(\mathrm{i} \varpi \Phi-\frac{1}{2} \varpi^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} \varpi$ where $\operatorname{Im}\left\{\mathrm{A}_{n}\right\}=0$ to meet
- the symmetry condition $w=0$ on $z=0, x>0$

$$
\Longrightarrow \quad \operatorname{lm}\left\{\mathrm{Y}_{n}\right\}=\mathrm{o}\left(\Phi^{-(5 n+1) / 4}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad \Phi \uparrow \infty
$$

- The real constants $A_{n}$ are fixed by matching with the Ekman layer solution.


## Ekman layer solutions

- Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{n}-\mathrm{i} w_{n}=\mathcal{W}_{n}(\zeta) \\
\psi_{n}=\int_{0}^{\zeta} w_{n} \mathrm{~d} \zeta=-\int_{0}^{\zeta} \operatorname{Im}\left\{\mathcal{W}_{n}\right\} \mathrm{d} \zeta
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{n}$ solves

$$
\mathcal{W}_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 \mathrm{i} \mathcal{W}_{n}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}0 & (n=0), \\ -\mathrm{i}\left[\zeta \mathcal{W}_{n-1}^{\prime}-5(n-1) \mathcal{W}_{n-1}\right] & (n \geq 1)\end{cases}
$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$
\mathcal{W}_{n}= \begin{cases}1 & (n=0) \\ 0 & (n \geq 1)\end{cases}
$$

and matching conditions as $\zeta \uparrow \infty$.
The $0^{\text {th }}$-order solution:

$$
\mathcal{W}_{0}=E(\zeta) \equiv \exp [-(1-\mathrm{i}) \zeta] .
$$

The $1^{\text {st }}$-order solution

$$
\mathcal{W}_{1}(\zeta)=\frac{1}{4}\left\{(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha)-\left[(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha)+\frac{3}{2} \zeta+\frac{1}{2}(1-\mathrm{i}) \zeta^{2}\right] E(\zeta)\right\}
$$

- Here we have fixed the real part of the constant $1+\mathrm{i} \alpha$ of integration to meet the matching condition

$$
v_{1}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\mathcal{W}_{1}\right\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \quad \text { as } \quad \zeta \uparrow \infty
$$

- Also

$$
\psi_{1}=-\int_{0}^{\zeta} \operatorname{Im}\left\{\mathcal{W}_{1}\right\} \mathrm{d} \zeta
$$

$$
\sim \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{4}(7+2 \alpha)-\alpha \zeta\right] \quad \text { as } \quad \zeta \uparrow \infty .
$$

- Matching the term in $z^{-3} \psi_{1} \propto \alpha \zeta$ with the $0^{\text {th }}$-order shear layer solution $\quad z^{-1 / 12} \Psi_{0}$ fixes $\quad \alpha=1$.
- $\therefore$ The remaining constant term becomes $\frac{1}{4}(7+2 \alpha)=9 / 4$.
- In turn, matching $(9 / 16) z^{-3}$ with the $1^{\text {st }}$-order shear layer contribution $z^{-1 / 2} \widehat{\Psi}_{1}$ fixes the value of $\mathrm{A}_{1}$.
- With $\alpha=1$, the ensuing

$$
\mathcal{W}_{1}(\zeta)=\frac{1}{4}\left\{(1+\mathrm{i})-\left[(1+\mathrm{i})+\frac{3}{2} \zeta+\frac{1}{2}(1-\mathrm{i}) \zeta^{2}\right] E(\zeta)\right\}
$$

determines the boundary and shear layer contributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1}^{b /} & =-\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(1+\frac{3}{2} \zeta+\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{2}\right) \cos \zeta+\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{2}\right) \sin \zeta\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\zeta} \\
w_{1}^{b /} & =\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{2}\right) \cos \zeta-\left(1+\frac{3}{2} \zeta+\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{2}\right) \sin \zeta\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\zeta} \\
\psi_{1}^{b /} & =-\frac{1}{16}\left[(9+5 \zeta) \cos \zeta+\left(5 \zeta+2 \zeta^{2}\right) \sin \zeta\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\zeta} \\
v_{1}^{s /} & =\frac{1}{4} \\
w_{1}^{s /} & =-\frac{1}{4} \\
\psi_{1}^{s /} & =\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{9}{4}-\zeta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Noting that $\psi_{0}^{s l}=-\frac{1}{2}, v_{0}^{s l}=0$, correct to first order the entire shear layer contributions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi^{s l} \approx-\frac{1}{2} z^{-1 / 2}+\frac{1}{4} z^{-3}\left(\frac{9}{4}-\zeta\right) \\
& v^{s l} \approx \frac{1}{4} z^{-5 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## 4. TOP BOUNDARY CONDITION

- The top boundary condition

$$
v \sim v_{0}^{b l}(\zeta), \quad w \sim w_{0}^{b l}(\zeta), \quad \psi \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad z \uparrow \infty, \quad \zeta>0
$$

is problematic to implement at finite (but largish) $z=H$.

- The very thin Ekman layer can be managed but difficulties are encountered with the mainstream.
- Since the governing equations are $2^{\text {nd }}$-order in $z$, we expect one bottom b.c. and one top b.c..
- The natural mainstream top b.c. is $v=0$.

In practice that is far to severe for the moderate $H$ usable numerically. The solution is seriously influenced by that choice and so varies with box size!!!!

- Use of the similarity expansion
$v(x, H) \sim H^{-5 / 12} \widehat{V}_{0}\left(\Phi_{H}\right)+H^{-5 / 6} \widehat{V}_{1}\left(\Phi_{H}\right)+H^{-5 / 4} \widehat{V}_{2}\left(\Phi_{H}\right)+\cdots$, where $\Phi_{H}=x / H^{1 / 3}$ fairs little better!
- The problem is its approximate nature and the realised solution is sensitive to the discrepancy.


## Fourier Transform of the mainstream solution

Defining

$$
[\widehat{\psi}, \widehat{v}](\varpi, z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}[\psi, v] \psi(x, z) \exp (-\mathrm{i} \varpi x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

the Fourier transforms of the governing equations are

$$
2 \frac{\partial \widehat{v}}{\partial z}=\varpi^{4} \widehat{\psi}, \quad 2 \frac{\partial \widehat{\psi}}{\partial z}=\varpi^{2} \widehat{v}
$$

- The solution that tends to zero as $z \uparrow \infty$ is

$$
\widehat{v}(\varpi, z)=a(\varpi) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}|\varpi|^{3} z\right)
$$

$$
\widehat{\psi}(\varpi, z)=\frac{2}{\varpi^{4}} \frac{\partial \widehat{v}}{\partial z}(\varpi, z)=-\frac{1}{|\varpi|} \widehat{v}(\varpi, z) .
$$

- At $z=H$ the inversion of $\widehat{v}(\varpi, H)=-|\varpi| \widehat{\psi}(\varpi, H)$ determines the convolution integral

$$
v(x, H)=\mathcal{F}_{H}\{\psi\} \equiv-\frac{1}{\pi} f_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x-x^{\prime}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\left(x^{\prime}, H\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}
$$

the basis of our top "soft" (cf. acoustics) mainstream b.c..
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## 5. NUMERICAL METHOD

We make the change of variable $y=\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+x$ and solve

$$
2\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}+z \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)=\frac{\partial^{4} \psi}{\partial y^{4}}, \quad 2\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}+z \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}\right)=-\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}} .
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
v=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \psi, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} & =0 & & \text { on } \quad y=0 \\
v=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \psi, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} & =0 & & \text { on } y=L, \\
\psi & =0 & & \text { on } z=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with the implementation of the soft b.c. at $z=H$, which pretends that the boundary is absent (a familiar acoustic problem).

The box width $L$ is chosen dependant on the box height $H$, so that the tangent cylinder crosses the top boundary reasonably far from both the $y=0$ and $y=L$ edges.

The finite difference discretization of the governing equations uses a symmetric, second-order scheme for all the $y$-derivatives and a third-order backward (respectively forward) scheme for the approximation of $\psi$ (respectively $v$ ) $z$-derivatives.

## Iterative method

Rather than apply the top soft b.c. directly we iterate and consider the sequence of solutions $v_{n}, \psi_{n}(n=0,1,2 \cdots)$ subject to

$$
v_{n}^{H}= \begin{cases}0 & (n=0) \\ \mathcal{F}_{H}\left\{\psi_{n-1}^{H}\right\} & (n \geq 1)\end{cases}
$$

where $v_{n}^{H}(x) \equiv v_{n}(x, H), \psi_{n}^{H}(x) \equiv \psi_{n}(x, H)$.

## The soft boundary condition

Though $v^{H}=\mathcal{F}_{H}\left\{\psi^{H}\right\}$ was derived for the mainstream solution, we ignore the Ekman layer and simply apply

$$
v_{n}^{H}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
0 & \left(-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}<x<a\right) \\
v_{n}^{H}\left(x_{-}\right) \frac{x-a}{x_{-}-a} & \left(a<x<x_{-}\right) \\
-\frac{1}{\pi} f_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{x-x^{\prime}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \psi_{n-1}^{H}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime} & \left(x_{-}<x<x_{+}\right) \\
v_{n}^{H}\left(x_{+}\right) \frac{b-x}{b-x_{+}} & \left(x_{+}<x<b\right) \\
0 & \left(b<x<-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}+L\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where thin region $\left[-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}\right.$, a] contains the Ekman layer, the wider region $\left[x_{-}, x_{+}\right]$contains the shear layer,
another thin region $\left[b,-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}+L\right]$, and the overlap regions $\left[a, x_{-}\right],\left[x_{+}, b\right]$.
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## Meridional streamfunction $\psi$

## $L=60, H=7$ <br> $950 \times 700$ gridpoints



## Zoom $\psi$

$L=60, H=7$


## Azimuthal velocity $v$

$$
L=60, H=7
$$



## Zoom v

$$
L=60, H=7
$$



Numerics
Asymptotics ---, $\quad(a, b, c) 0^{\text {th }}, 1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$-order respectively
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## 7. A CAUTIONARY NOTE

- Our far field $(z \gg 1)$ mainstream solution

$$
\psi \sim z^{-1 / 12} \widehat{\Psi}_{0}(\Phi)+z^{-1 / 2} \widehat{\Psi}_{1}(\Phi)+z^{-11 / 12} \widehat{\Psi}_{2}(\Phi)+\cdots
$$

is not unique (cf. an o.d.e. Particular Integral).

- There is another "free" solution

$$
\psi \sim \widehat{\Psi}^{0}(\Phi, z)+z^{-1 / 3} \widehat{\Psi}^{1}(\Phi, z)+z^{-2 / 3} \widehat{\Psi}^{2}(\Phi, z)+\cdots
$$

(Moore and Saffman, 1969; cf. an o.d.e. Complimentary Function), where each term $(n=0,1,2 \cdots)$ has an expansion

$$
\widehat{\Psi}^{n} \sim \widehat{\Psi}_{0}^{n}(\Phi)+z^{-5 / 12} \widehat{\Psi}_{1}^{n}(\Phi)+z^{-11 / 6} \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{n}(\Phi)+\cdots
$$

- Resonances, occur when the $z$-powers coincide $\Longrightarrow \ln z$ terms.
- The modes $n=0,1,2 \cdots$ are "free" because $z^{-n / 3} \widehat{\Psi}_{0}^{n}(\Phi)=0$ on $z=0$ for all $x$.
- They correspond to sources at the origin (Moore and Saffman 1969), and ultimately their respective magnitudes are outputs from the complete solution.
- The point source solution $\widehat{\Psi}^{0}$ (for the anti-symmetric spilt discs; Stewartson 1957) is prohibited (as in the symmetric spilt discs) by the boundary condition as $z \uparrow \infty$.
- The others are dipole, $z^{-1 / 3} \widehat{\Psi}^{1}$, quadrupole, $z^{-2 / 3} \widehat{\Psi}^{2}$, etc.. That they do not seem visible presumably reflects their weak size.
- However, the Stewartson (1966) solution $z^{-1 / 12} \widehat{\Psi}_{0}(\Phi)$ dominates at large $z$ and so the "free" modes might never be significant.
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## 8. CONCLUSIONS

## Numerical solution

- We have provided a numerical solution of the Equatorial Stewartson layer formulated by Stewartson (1996) by a combination of asymptotic and numerical methods.
- The key step in overcoming the solution on an unbounded domain has been the application of a soft boundary condition at large $z$ consisting of
- a mainstream non-local integral,
- the Ekman layer ignored (simply $v=0$ ),
- and a linear interpolation across the overlap regions $(v \approx 0)$.


## Comparison with asymptotics at large $z$

- We extended Stewartson's similarity solution valid for $z \gg 1$ to higher orders using matched asymptotic expansions.
- For largish $z$, the comparisons with the asymptotic results at
- $0^{\text {th }}$-order were reasonable;
- $0^{\text {th }}+1^{\text {st }}$-order were excellent;
- $0^{\text {th }}+1^{\text {st }}+2^{\text {nd }}-$ order were marginally improved.
- The apparent absence of any significant free "interlocking" mode at moderately large $z$ was intriguing.


## $\psi$ vs. $\Phi$.

$0^{\text {th }}$-order asymptotics


## $\psi$ vs. $\Phi$. <br> $1^{\text {st }}$-order asymptotics



## $\psi$ vs. $\Phi$. <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$-order asymptotics



## v vs. $\Phi$.

## $0^{\text {th }}$-order asymptotics



## v vs. $\Phi$. <br> $1^{\text {st }}$-order asymptotics



## v vs. $\Phi$.

## $2^{\text {nd }}-$ order asymptotics



