

# Numerical methods for EIT

Kim Knudsen Technical University of Denmark kiknu@dtu.dk

Advanced Instructional School of Theoretical and Numerical Aspects of Inverse Problems Bangalore June 16-27, 2014

# Outline

- 1. The Calderón problem and linearization
- 2. The CGO-method for reconstruction in 2D
- 3. The CGO-method for reconstruction in 3D
- 4. The Calderón problem with partial data

# 4. The Calderón problem with partial data

# Calderón problem with partial data

Smooth bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , conductivity coefficient

 $0 < c \le \sigma \le C < \infty; \qquad \sigma \equiv 1 \text{ near } \partial \Omega.$ 

Voltage potential u in  $\Omega$  generated by boundary voltage potential f

$$abla \cdot \sigma 
abla u = 0 ext{ in } \Omega,$$
  
 $u|_{\partial \Omega} = f, ext{ supp} f \subset \Gamma_1.$ 

Current field:  $J = \sigma \nabla u$ . Normal component of current field at  $\partial \Omega$ :

 $\boldsymbol{g} = \sigma \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \boldsymbol{u}|_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2}.$ 

Partial Dirichlet to Neumann (voltage to current) map

 $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ :  $f \mapsto g$ .



# Short story (with CGO solutions)

3D

- 1985 Kohn and Vogelius: Uniqueness for  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$  possible small, but  $\sigma$  piecewise real analytic
- 2002 Bukhgeim and Uhlmann: Uniqueness for  $\sigma \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  for  $\Gamma_1 = \partial \Omega$ and  $\Gamma_2$  half of  $\partial \Omega$
- 2006 Kenig, Sjöstrand and Uhlmann: Uniqueness for  $\sigma \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $\Gamma_1 \subset \partial \Omega$  being almost any subset and  $\Gamma_2 \subset \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$
- 2007 Isakov: Uniqueness for  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma$  possible small and  $\partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma$  patr of sphere or plane,  $\sigma \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$
- 2006 Heck and Wang: stability

$$\|\sigma_1 - \sigma_0\| \leq w(\|\Lambda_{\sigma_1} - \Lambda_{\sigma_0}\|), \ \ w(t) = \mathcal{C}(\log|\log(t)|)^{-\alpha}$$

#### 2D

2010 Imanuvilov, Uhlmann and Yamamoto: Uniqueness for arbitrary small  $\Gamma_1=\Gamma_2.$ 

Numerical methods for EIT

#### Tikhonov regularization revisited

For the inverse problem Kx = y prior information can lead to different penalty terms:

$$J(x) = \|Kx - y\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha P(x)$$

- $P(x) = ||x||_{L^2}^2$ : usual Tikhonov regularization
- $P(x) = \|\nabla x\|_{L^2}^2$ : Sobolev space regularization
- $P(x) = \|\nabla x\|_{L^1}$ : Total variation regularization
- $P(x) = ||x||_{L^1}$  : sparsity regularization

#### Sparsity regularization

Write  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \delta \sigma$ . Suppose  $\delta \sigma$  is sparse in ONB  $\{\phi_j\}$ :

$$\delta\sigma = \sum_{j} \mathbf{c}_{j}\phi_{j}, \ \mathbf{c}_{j} = \langle \delta\sigma, \phi_{j} \rangle,$$

i.e. few  $c_j \neq 0$ .

# Sparsity regularization

Write  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \delta \sigma$ . Suppose  $\delta \sigma$  is sparse in ONB  $\{\phi_j\}$ :

$$\delta \sigma = \sum_{j} \mathbf{c}_{j} \phi_{j}, \ \mathbf{c}_{j} = \langle \delta \sigma, \phi_{j} \rangle,$$

i.e. few  $c_j \neq 0$ .

Take *K* measurements  $f_k$ ,  $g_k = \Lambda_{\sigma} g_k$  ( $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  is Neumann to Dirichlet map). Functional to minimize.

$$J(\delta\sigma) = R(\delta\sigma) + P(\delta\sigma)$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{R}(\delta\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\boldsymbol{f}_{k} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\sigma_{0}+\delta\sigma} \boldsymbol{g}_{k}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}, \qquad \boldsymbol{P}(\delta\sigma) = \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} |\langle \delta\sigma \phi_{j} \rangle|.$$

# Sparsity regularization

Write  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \delta \sigma$ . Suppose  $\delta \sigma$  is sparse in ONB  $\{\phi_j\}$  :

$$\delta \sigma = \sum_{j} \mathbf{c}_{j} \phi_{j}, \ \mathbf{c}_{j} = \langle \delta \sigma, \phi_{j} \rangle,$$

i.e. few  $c_j \neq 0$ .

Take *K* measurements  $f_k$ ,  $g_k = \Lambda_{\sigma} g_k$  ( $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  is Neumann to Dirichlet map). Functional to minimize.

$$J(\delta\sigma) = R(\delta\sigma) + P(\delta\sigma)$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{R}(\delta\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|\boldsymbol{f}_{k} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\sigma_{0}+\delta\sigma} \boldsymbol{g}_{k}\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}, \qquad \boldsymbol{P}(\delta\sigma) = \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} |\langle \delta\sigma \phi_{j} \rangle|.$$

Solved iteratively using a conditional gradient method with soft thresholding.

Numerical methods for EIT

# Steepest descent

Idea is to iteratively take a step in direction of negative gradient.

Explicitly compute  $R'[\delta\sigma](\eta) = \langle \nabla_s R, \eta \rangle$  where  $\nabla_s R$  is known by solving forward problems.



## Iterative soft thresholding

Iteratively solve the linearized problem

$$\zeta_{i+1} \equiv \operatorname{Argmin}_{\delta\sigma} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|\delta\sigma - (\delta\sigma_i - s_i \nabla_s R(\delta\sigma_i))\|^2 + s_i \sum_j \alpha_j |\langle \delta\sigma, \phi_j \rangle| \right]$$

#### Iterative soft thresholding

Iteratively solve the linearized problem

$$\zeta_{i+1} \equiv \operatorname{Argmin}_{\delta\sigma} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \| \delta\sigma - (\delta\sigma_i - s_i \nabla_s R(\delta\sigma_i)) \|^2 + s_i \sum_j \alpha_j |\langle \delta\sigma, \phi_j \rangle| \right]$$

Then do soft shrinkage/thresholding on coefficients in  $\zeta_{i+1} = \sum_{j} d_{j}\phi_{j}$ :

$$\delta \sigma_{i+1} = \sum_{j} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{s}_i \alpha_j}(\mathbf{d}_j) \phi_j,$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}_{\beta}(t) \equiv \operatorname{sign}(t) \max\{|t| - \beta, 0\}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

.

# Numerical choices

- Ball geometry, forward problems solved using FEM (the FEniCS project)
- Use  $\phi_i$  as FEM basis functions; NB: NOT an ONB.
- $\phi_i$  is spatially well located
- Number of measurements K = 10 (Fourier basis functions)

# Reconstruction full data



# **Distributed prior**

# 

Figure: Sparse reconstruction of the phantom. Left: no prior; middle:10% overestimated support; right: exact support

# Partial data



#### Partial data and prior



Figure: Sparse reconstruction with prior. From left 50% boundary data, no prior; 50% boundary data with 10% overestimated support; 25% boundary data, no prior; 25% boundary data with 10% overestimated support.

# Conclusion and outlook

- · Optimization allows flexible scheme for prior info and partial data
- · Convergence theory is hard
- Vision: Stable reconstruction for partial data Calderón problem using CGO solutions
- Understand depth dependency in particular for partial data case

# Conclusion and outlook

- · Optimization allows flexible scheme for prior info and partial data
- · Convergence theory is hard
- Vision: Stable reconstruction for partial data Calderón problem using CGO solutions
- Understand depth dependency in particular for partial data case

Thank you

#### References

# For more deatails consider the papers and in particular references therein:

K. Knudsen, M. Lassas, J. L. Mueller, S. Siltanen, D-bar method for Electrical Impedance Tomography with discontinuous conductivities. SIAM J. APPL MATH, 67(3): 893–913, 2007.

K. Knudsen, M. Lassas, J. L. Mueller, and S. Siltanen. Regularized D-bar method for the inverse conductivity problem. Inverse Probl. Imaging, 3(4):599–624, 2009.

F. Delbary and K. Knudsen. Full numerical implementation of the scattering transform algorithm for the 3D Calderón problem. Submitted, 2014.

H. Garde and K. Knudsen. Sparsity prior for electrical impedance tomography with partial data. Submitted, 2014.