

Numerical methods for EIT

Kim Knudsen Technical University of Denmark kiknu@dtu.dk

Advanced Instructional School of Theoretical and Numerical Aspects of Inverse Problems Bangalore June 16-27, 2014

Outline

- 1. The Calderón problem and linearization
- 2. The CGO-method for reconstruction in 2D
- 3. The CGO-method for reconstruction in 3D
- 4. The Calderón problem with partial data

Mathematical model for EIT

Smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2,$ conductivity coefficient

 $\mathbf{0} < \mathbf{c} \leq \sigma \leq \mathbf{C} < \infty; \qquad \sigma \equiv 1 \text{ near } \partial \Omega.$

Voltage potential u in Ω generated by boundary voltage potential f

$$abla \cdot \sigma
abla u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

 $u|_{\partial \Omega} = f.$

Current field: $J = \sigma \nabla u$. Normal component of current field at $\partial \Omega$:

$$\boldsymbol{g} = \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{J} = \sigma \partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \boldsymbol{u}|_{\partial \Omega}.$$

Dirichlet to Neumann (voltage to current) map

$$\Lambda_{\sigma} \colon f \mapsto g.$$



2. The CGO-method for reconstruction in 2D (aka Dbar method)

The Schrödinger equation

Equivalent problem for Schrödinger equation: $v = \sigma^{-1/2}u$

$$(-\Delta + q)v = 0$$
 in Ω $v|_{\partial\Omega} = f$,

with

$$q = \Delta \sigma^{1/2} / \sigma^{1/2} \Leftrightarrow (-\Delta + q) \sigma^{1/2} = 0.$$

The Schrödinger equation

Equivalent problem for Schrödinger equation: $v = \sigma^{-1/2} u$

$$(-\Delta + q)v = 0$$
 in Ω $v|_{\partial\Omega} = f$,

with

$$q = \Delta \sigma^{1/2} / \sigma^{1/2} \Leftrightarrow (-\Delta + q) \sigma^{1/2} = 0.$$

Dirichlet to Neumann map:

$$\Lambda_q f = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega} = \frac{\sigma}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \partial \nu|_{\partial \Omega} = \Lambda_\sigma f$$

Identity

$$\langle (\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_{\sigma_0}) f_1, f_0 \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (q_1 - q_0) v_1 \overline{v_0} dx,$$

with

$$(-\Delta + q_j)v_j = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \qquad v_j|_{\partial\Omega} = f_j.$$

For $\sigma_0 = 1, \ q_0 = 0$:

$$\langle (\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_1) f_1, f_0 \rangle = \int_{\Omega} q v_1 \overline{v_0} dx$$

Complex Geometrical Optics solutions

$$\langle (\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_1) f_1, f_0 \rangle = \int_{\Omega} q v_1 \overline{v_0} dx.$$

Choose

$$egin{aligned} & v_1(x,\zeta) pprox e^{ix\cdot\zeta}, & \zeta\cdot\zeta &= 0, \ & v_0(x) &= e^{ix\cdot(\xi+\overline{\zeta})}, & (\xi+\zeta)\cdot(\xi+\zeta) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Complex Geometrical Optics solutions

$$\langle (\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_1) f_1, f_0 \rangle = \int_{\Omega} q v_1 \overline{v_0} dx.$$

Choose

$$egin{aligned} & v_1(x,\zeta) pprox e^{ix\cdot\zeta}, & \zeta\cdot\zeta = 0, \ & v_0(x) = e^{ix\cdot(\xi+\overline{\zeta})}, & (\xi+\zeta)\cdot(\xi+\zeta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Complex Geometrical Optics (CGO)solutions $\psi(x, \zeta), \ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ \zeta \cdot \zeta = 0$: $(-\Delta + q)\psi(x, \zeta) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n,$ $\psi(x, \zeta) \approx e^{ix \cdot \zeta} \text{ for large } |x| \text{ or } |\zeta|.$

Complex Geometrical Optics solutions

$$\langle (\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_1) f_1, f_0 \rangle = \int_{\Omega} q v_1 \overline{v_0} dx.$$

Choose

$$egin{aligned} & v_1(x,\zeta) pprox e^{ix\cdot\zeta}, & \zeta\cdot\zeta = 0, \ & v_0(x) = e^{ix\cdot(\xi+\overline{\zeta})}, & (\xi+\zeta)\cdot(\xi+\zeta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Complex Geometrical Optics (CGO)solutions $\psi(x, \zeta), \ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ \zeta \cdot \zeta = 0$: $(-\Delta + q)\psi(x, \zeta) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , $\psi(x, \zeta) \approx e^{ix \cdot \zeta}$ for large |x| or $|\zeta|$. Write $\psi(x, \zeta) = e^{ix \cdot \zeta}(1 + \omega(x, \zeta))$; then $(-\Delta - 2i\zeta \cdot \nabla + q)\omega = -q$.

Faddeev's Green's function

Faddeev's Green's functions

$$egin{aligned} g_\zeta(x) &= rac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} rac{e^{ix\cdot k}}{|p|^2 + 2p\cdot \zeta} \, dp \;, \; x\in \mathbb{R}^d\setminus\{0\}, \ G_\zeta(x) &= e^{ix\cdot \zeta} g_\zeta(x), \qquad \Delta G_\zeta &= \delta, \quad G_\zeta \sim e^{ix\cdot \zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

Faddeev's Green's function

Faddeev's Green's functions

$$egin{aligned} g_\zeta(x) &= rac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} rac{e^{ix\cdot k}}{|p|^2 + 2p\cdot \zeta} \, dp \;, \; x\in \mathbb{R}^d\setminus\{0\}, \ G_\zeta(x) &= e^{ix\cdot \zeta} g_\zeta(x), \qquad \Delta G_\zeta &= \delta, \quad G_\zeta \sim e^{ix\cdot \zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then ψ, ω must satisfy the Lippmann-Schwinger-Faddeev equation

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},\zeta) = \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\zeta} + \int_{\Omega} G_{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})q(\mathbf{y})\psi(\mathbf{y},\zeta)d\mathbf{x},$$

 $\omega(\mathbf{x},\zeta) = -\mathbf{g}_{\zeta} * \mathbf{q} + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}_{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})q(\mathbf{y})\omega(\mathbf{y},\zeta).$

- 1. In 2D this equation is uniquely solvable for all ζ .
- 2. In 3D this equation is uniquely solvable for $|\zeta|$ large (or small).

Faddeev's Green's function

Faddeev's Green's functions

$$egin{aligned} g_\zeta(x) &= rac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} rac{e^{ix\cdot k}}{|p|^2 + 2p\cdot \zeta} \, dp \;, \; x\in \mathbb{R}^d\setminus\{0\}, \ G_\zeta(x) &= e^{ix\cdot \zeta} g_\zeta(x), \qquad \Delta G_\zeta &= \delta, \quad G_\zeta \sim e^{ix\cdot \zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then ψ, ω must satisfy the Lippmann-Schwinger-Faddeev equation

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},\zeta) = \mathbf{e}^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\zeta} + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{G}_{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{y})\psi(\mathbf{y},\zeta)d\mathbf{x},$$

 $\omega(\mathbf{x},\zeta) = -\mathbf{g}_{\zeta}*\mathbf{q} + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g}_{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{y})\omega(\mathbf{y},\zeta).$

- 1. In 2D this equation is uniquely solvable for all ζ .
- 2. In 3D this equation is uniquely solvable for $|\zeta|$ large (or small). And

$$\|\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq rac{C}{|\zeta|}.$$

$\psi|_{\partial\Omega}$ at the boundary

Then $\psi|_{\partial\Omega}$ satisfies for fixed $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the boundary integral equation

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},\zeta) + \int_{\partial\Omega} G_{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})(\Lambda_{\gamma}-\Lambda_{1})\psi(\mathbf{y},\zeta)d\mathbf{s} = e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\zeta}, \quad \mathbf{x}\in\partial\Omega.$$

Written in terms of layer potentials

$$\psi + S_{\zeta}(\Lambda_{\gamma} - \Lambda_{1})\psi = e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\zeta}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega.$$

This is a solvable Fredholm equation of the second kind.

$\psi|_{\partial\Omega}$ at the boundary

Then $\psi|_{\partial\Omega}$ satisfies for fixed $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the boundary integral equation

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},\zeta) + \int_{\partial\Omega} G_{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})(\Lambda_{\gamma}-\Lambda_{1})\psi(\mathbf{y},\zeta)d\mathbf{s} = e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\zeta}, \quad \mathbf{x}\in\partial\Omega.$$

Written in terms of layer potentials

$$\psi + S_{\zeta}(\Lambda_{\gamma} - \Lambda_{1})\psi = e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\zeta}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega.$$

This is a solvable Fredholm equation of the second kind.

Conclusion: from data we can find $\psi|_{\partial\Omega}$.

Solving the equation is severely ill-posed!

The scattering transform

Introduce intermediate object, non-physical scattering/Fourier transform

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{t}(\xi,\zeta) &= \langle (\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_1)\psi(\cdot,\zeta), \boldsymbol{e}^{i\boldsymbol{x}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}+\zeta)} \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{e}^{-i\boldsymbol{x}\cdot(\xi+\zeta)} \boldsymbol{q}(\boldsymbol{x})\psi(\boldsymbol{x},\zeta) d\boldsymbol{x}. \end{split}$$

The scattering transform

Introduce intermediate object, non-physical scattering/Fourier transform

$$\mathfrak{t}(\xi,\zeta) = \langle (\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_{1})\psi(\cdot,\zeta), e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot(\mathbf{x}+\zeta)} \rangle$$

= $\int_{\Omega} e^{-i\mathbf{x}\cdot(\xi+\zeta)}q(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x},\zeta)d\mathbf{x}.$

t satisfies the estimate

$$|\hat{q}(\xi) - \mathbf{t}(\xi, \zeta)| = \mathcal{O}(1/|\zeta|).$$

Reconstruction algorithm

$$\Lambda_{\gamma} \to \mathbf{t}(\xi,\zeta) \to \gamma(\mathbf{x}).$$

Second step depends on 2D or 3D.

Reconstruction method in 2D

Parameterize $\zeta = (k, ik), k \in \mathbb{C}$ and put $x = x_1 + x_2$. The Dbar reconstruction algorithm

1. Solve the boundary integral equation (BIE)

$$\psi + S_k(\Lambda_\sigma - \Lambda_1)\psi = e^{ixk}, \quad x \in \partial\Omega,$$

and compute

$$\mathbf{t}(k) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{e}^{i\bar{k}\bar{x}} (\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_1) \psi(\cdot, k) \boldsymbol{d}\sigma.$$

Reconstruction method in 2D

Parameterize $\zeta = (k, ik), k \in \mathbb{C}$ and put $x = x_1 + x_2$. The Dbar reconstruction algorithm

1. Solve the boundary integral equation (BIE)

$$\psi + S_k(\Lambda_\sigma - \Lambda_1)\psi = e^{ixk}, \quad x \in \partial\Omega,$$

and compute

$$\mathbf{t}(k) = \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{i\bar{k}\bar{x}}(\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_{1})\psi(\cdot, k)d\sigma.$$

2. Solve the Dbar equation

$$\overline{\partial}_k \mu(x,k) = rac{1}{4\pi \overline{k}} \mathbf{t}(k) \mathbf{e}_{-x}(k) \overline{\mu(x,k)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C},$$

with
$$e_{-x}(k) := e^{-i(kx+k\bar{x})}$$
; then $\sigma(x) = \mu(x,0)^2$.

Remarks

- Solving boundary integral equation is exponentially ill-posed.
- Solving dbar-equation is well-posed
- Reconstruction method is exact for *σ* ∈ *W*^{1+ε,p}(Ω), *p* > 2.

Numerical details (unit disk)

1. Represent DN-map in Fourier basis $\{e^{in\theta}\}$

$$(\Lambda_{\sigma}-\Lambda_{1})e^{im\theta}=\sum_{n}c_{n}e^{in\theta}.$$

Requires solution of BVP by FEM.

- 2. Expand all terms in BIE in Fourier basis.
- 3. After trunctaion we obtain matrix equation

$$Ac = b$$
,

solve i.e. iteratively (GMRES).

Ill posedness is handled in two ways:

- Upper limit on number of basis functions.
- Truncation for |k| < R.

Numerical solution of the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation

For the scattering / inverse scattering problem solving a $\overline{\partial}$ -equation is important. We will now consider the numerical solution of such an equation: We would like to solve the integral equation

$$v(k) = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{T(k')}{k - k'} \overline{v(k')} dk'_1 dk'_2, \qquad k = k'_1 + ik'_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad (1)$$

or

$$v(k) = 1 - g * (T(k)\overline{v(k)}).$$
⁽²⁾

Assume that T is compactly supported in a bounded domain Ω .

Discretization

Let $S = [-s, s]^2$ be a square such that $\overline{\Omega} \subset S$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $M = 2^m$, h = 2s/M. Define a grid $\mathcal{G}_m \subset S$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_m = \{jh \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_m^2\},\$$
$$\mathbb{Z}_m^2 = \{j = (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid -2^{m-1} \le j_l < 2^{m-1}\}.$$

Grid approximation $\phi_h : \mathbb{Z}_m^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ of a function $\phi \in C(\overline{S})$ by

$$\phi_h(j) = \phi(jh), \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}_m^2.$$

Grid approximation of Green's function:

$$g_h(j) = egin{cases} g(jh), & j \in \mathbb{Z}_m^2, j
eq (0,0), \ 0, & j = (0,0). \end{cases}$$

Discrete approximation

The discrete convolution operator A_h

$$(A_h\phi_h)(j) = h^2 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_m^2} g_h(j-l)\phi_h(l), \qquad ext{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}_m^2.$$

Important fact:

$$A_h\phi_h = h^2 \operatorname{IFFT}(\operatorname{FFT}(g_h) \cdot \operatorname{FFT}(\phi_h)),$$

i.e. the implementation is fast.

We approximate the integral equation by the discrete eqation

$$[I + A_h(T_h \cdot \bar{})]w_h = 1.$$
 (3)

It has a solution for sufficiently large *m*; solved by GMRES.

Properties

- Linear convergence of algorithm $\mathcal{O}(h)$
- Complexity of algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(M^2 \log(M))$ for each *x*.
- Multigrid extension of algorithm is possible.
- Speed up possible [Huhtanen and Perämäki, 2010]

Connection to Calderón reconstruction

Near-field scattering transform:

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{t}^{ ext{exp}}(\xi,\zeta) &= \left\langle (\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_1) oldsymbol{e}^{ix\cdot\zeta}, oldsymbol{e}^{-ix\cdot(\zeta+\zeta)}
ight
angle \ &= \int_{\Omega} oldsymbol{e}^{-ix\cdot(\xi+\zeta)} oldsymbol{q}(x) oldsymbol{v}^{ ext{exp}}(x,\zeta) oldsymbol{d} x, \end{aligned}$$

with $(-\Delta + q)v^{exp} = 0$ in Ω and $v^{exp}|_{\partial\Omega} = e^{ix\cdot\zeta}$.

Connection to Calderón reconstruction

Near-field scattering transform:

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{t}^{ ext{exp}}(\xi,\zeta) &= \left\langle (\Lambda_\sigma - \Lambda_1) oldsymbol{e}^{im{x}\cdot\zeta}, oldsymbol{e}^{-im{x}\cdot(\zeta+\xi)}
ight
angle \ &= \int_\Omega oldsymbol{e}^{-im{x}\cdot(\xi+\zeta)} oldsymbol{q}(m{x}) oldsymbol{v}^{ ext{exp}}(m{x},\zeta) oldsymbol{d}m{x}, \end{aligned}$$

with $(-\Delta + q)v^{\exp} = 0$ in Ω and $v^{\exp}|_{\partial\Omega} = e^{i\chi\cdot\zeta}$. Scattering transform:

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{t}(\xi,\zeta) &= \left\langle (\Lambda_\sigma - \Lambda_1)\psi, oldsymbol{e}^{-ix\cdot(\zeta+\xi)}
ight
angle \ &= \int_\Omega oldsymbol{e}^{-ix\cdot(\xi+\zeta)} oldsymbol{q}(x)\psi(x,\zeta) oldsymbol{d} x, \end{aligned}$$

where $(-\Delta + q)\psi = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n and $\psi \sim e^{ix \cdot \zeta}$ for x near ∞ .

Regularization of the algorithm Noise model: $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\sigma} = \Lambda_{\sigma} + \mathcal{E}$

Problem: we don't know if $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\sigma} \in \text{ range } (\Lambda)$.

This assumption is often made in stability estimates for the inverse problem resulting in results

$$\begin{split} \|\sigma_1 - \sigma_0\|_X &\leq w(\|\Lambda_{\sigma_1} - \Lambda_{\sigma_0}\|_Y), \\ w(t) &= C|\ln(t)|^{-2/(n+1)}. \end{split}$$

Related to the notoriously difficult problem of the charaterization of range (Λ).

Non-linear regularization

Definition

A family of continuous mappings $\Gamma_{\alpha} : Y \to L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ parameterized by $0 < \alpha < \infty$ is a regularization strategy for *F* if

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \| \Gamma_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma} - \sigma \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = 0$$

for each fixed σ . Further, a regularization strategy with a choice $\alpha = \alpha(\varepsilon)$ of regularization parameter as function of noise level is called admissible if

$$\alpha(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

and for any fixed σ the following holds:

1

$$\sup_{\Lambda_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}} \left\{ \| \mathsf{\Gamma}_{\alpha(\varepsilon)} \Lambda_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon} - \sigma \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \, : \, \| \Lambda_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon} - \Lambda_{\sigma} \|_{Y} \leq \varepsilon \right\} \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Concrete strategy

Let $\alpha(\epsilon) = 1/R(\epsilon)$. Define $\Gamma_{\alpha(\epsilon)}\Lambda_{\sigma}$ by the steps

1. Solve

$$ilde{arphi}(z,k) = e^{izk} - \mathcal{S}_k (ilde{\Lambda}_\sigma - \Lambda_1) ilde{arphi}, \quad |k| < R(\epsilon),$$

and

$$ilde{\mathbf{t}}(k) = \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{i\overline{zk}} (ilde{\Lambda}_{\sigma} - \Lambda_1) \widetilde{arphi}(\cdot, k) d\sigma(z). \quad |k| < R(\epsilon)$$

2. Solve

$$\overline{\partial}_k \widetilde{\mu}(x,k) = rac{1}{4\pi \overline{k}} \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}(k) \boldsymbol{e}_{-x}(k) \overline{\widetilde{\mu}(x,k)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C},$$

and compute $\Gamma_{\alpha(\varepsilon)}\Lambda_{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}(x) = (\tilde{\mu}(x, 0))^2$.

Regularization theorem

Theorem

Suppose $\Lambda_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon} = \Lambda_{\sigma} + \mathcal{E}$ with $\|\mathcal{E}\| < \varepsilon$. For $R(\epsilon) = -\frac{1}{10} \log(\varepsilon)$, $\Gamma_{\alpha(\varepsilon)} \Lambda_{\sigma}$ is an admissible regularization strategy and

$$\|\Gamma_{\alpha(\varepsilon)}\Lambda_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon} - \sigma\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C(-\log \varepsilon)^{-1/14}$$

.

Regularization theorem

Theorem

Suppose $\Lambda_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon} = \Lambda_{\sigma} + \mathcal{E}$ with $\|\mathcal{E}\| < \varepsilon$. For $R(\epsilon) = -\frac{1}{10} \log(\varepsilon)$, $\Gamma_{\alpha(\varepsilon)} \Lambda_{\sigma}$ is an admissible regularization strategy and

$$\|\Gamma_{\alpha(\varepsilon)}\Lambda_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon} - \sigma\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C(-\log \varepsilon)^{-1/14}$$

Note, we do not assume $\Lambda_{\sigma}^{\varepsilon}$ is in the range of Λ .

Numerical results



Reconstructions with noiselevel 10^{-2} , 10^{-4} and 10^{-6} . Error in approximation is 52%, 14% and 12% respectively.

Comparison to Calderón method



Reconstructions with noiselevel 10^{-6} . Left: Dbar method; error 12% Right: Calderon method (linearized); error 23%

Convergence



Reconstruction of non-smooth conductivities



Conclusion 2D

- Presentation of direct non-linear reconstruction algorithm in 2D.
- Implementation of non-linear method for computing conductivity.
- Rigorous regularization method in 2D.
- · Method works reasonable well is it worth the effort?
- Better understanding of truncation of **t**(*k*) as prior.
- What about 3D?