Influence of exotic structure and weak binding on reaction dynamics near the Coulomb barrier

Aradhana Shrivastava

Nuclear Physics Division Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, India

Current Status

Tightly bound nuclei:

 $E \sim V_B$ - Rich interplay between A_{P,Z_P} reactions coupling to inelastic and transfer reactions



Weakly bound stable(^{6,7}Li, ⁹Be):

low lying continuum – importance of coupling to breakup

- suppression in complete fusion at E>V_b
- Elastic scattering –V_R repulsive , Breakup threshold anomaly
- Large intrinsic alpha cross-section, incomplete fusion x-section
- L.F. Canto et al, Phys. Rep. 424 (2006) 1

Weakly bound radio active nuclei:

- exotic shapes, extended density distribution
- large probability of transfer of valence nucleon,
- isospin asymmetry
- N. Keeley, et al Prog. Part. and Nucl. Phys. 63, 396 (2009).

Recent Interest and challenges

Weakly bound stable nuclei

- Deep sub-barrier: phenomenon of fusion hindrance? Fusion vs t/d capture?
- Importance of projectile break up vs. transfer followed by breakup?
- Understanding of breakup fusion mechanism?

Radio active Ion Beam

- Nucleon correlations influencing reaction dynamics
- Neutron rich vs neutron deficient nuclei
- Importance of large +ve Q value transfer important relative to Breakup

Theoretical:

CDCC- predict breakup and total fusion x-section

Classical dynamical model: Complete, incomplete fusion, New feature-Time propagation of final products

Need: Comprehensive coupled channels taking into account transfer, breakup, Transfer breakup, complete and incomplete fusion...

Lay out of the talk

Weakly bound stable nuclei: Mumbai Pelletron

Exploring fusion hindrance at deep sub-barrier energies ⁶Li + ¹⁹⁸Pt, ⁷Li + ¹⁹⁸Pt, ¹²C + ¹⁹⁸Pt

Weakly bound exotic nuclei: SPIRAL, GANIL

Tunneling of most neutron rich nuclei ⁸He + ¹⁹⁷Au, ⁶⁵Cu

Hindrance in deep sub-barrier fusion cross-section



Challenging low x-section data from ANL: Jiang et al. PRL 89 (2002) 052701 Mostly medium-heavy mass symmetric systems (different Q value, stiffness reduced mass values, neutron excess) Steep fall off $\sigma_{fus}(E)$, sharp rise in L(E)



Asymmetric ¹⁶O+²⁰⁸Pb: Dasgupta et al PRL99(2007)192701 Saturation of L(E)

Recent measurements

Medium – light system : unsystematic behavior Stefaninni, et al PRC 82,014614(2010), Fusion08 proceedings, Jiang et al PRC 82,041601 (R)2010



light nuclei– ANL astrophysical reaction rates eg ¹³C+¹²C NPA834(2010)192c

More measurements with different entrance channel required to understand behavior at E<<Vb, when influence of coupling vanishes

Present understanding

Deep sub-barrier fusion – probe to study inner part of inter-nuclear potential

- Dasso & Pollarolo et al PRC68,054604





Models

Fusion hindrance observed at deep sub-barrier in stable- symmetric system explained

Sudden model: Potential with shallow pocket: M3Y double folding+repulsive core (Pauli Blocking) reproduces nuclear incompressibility -Esbensen & Misicu

Adiabatic model: two step via neck formation – Ichikawa & Hagino



Fusion with weakly bound nuclei at deep sub-barrier energies

To study phenomenon of fusion hindrance

⁶Li beam from Mumbai Pelletron on ¹⁹⁸Pt (~ 1 mg/cm2 thick 95.7 % enriched)

First measurement with weakly bound projectile at 0.68<E/Vb<1.3

Q=+8.5 MeV

New sensitive off-beam gamma spectroscopy Technique:

coincidence between characteristic KX rays and gamma rays of daughter nuclei Lemasson et al NIM 598, 445 (2009)



2 HPGe inside low background setup

Evaporation residue cross-sections





Fusion cross-sections



Couple channel calculations CCFUL (WS potential) Calculations – by K. Hagino

Solid curve: with coupling to ¹⁹⁸Pt and ⁶Li inelastic states

Dashed curve: no coupling

Single channels calculations M3Y+rep core – dashed dot line

No fusion hindrance observed

A. Shrivastava et al Phys. Rev. Letts 103(2009) 232702



below the threshold energy for observing fusion hindrance

Internucleus potential deeper, less shallow, less repulsive core...adiabaticity?

Weakly bound asymmetric system:⁷Li+¹⁹⁸Pt



10 MeV below V_B, Couple channel calculations explain data

t-capture most dominant

Fusion hindrance not observed





Asymmetric system with stable projectile

¹²C+¹⁹⁸Pt at deep sub barrier energies



Logarithmic derivative and barrier distribution



At deep sub barrier energies No pronounced fusion hindrance for asymmetric systems with weakly bound and stable projectile: ⁶Li,¹²C,⁷Li+¹⁹⁸Pt

Reactions with exotic He nuclei



Dominance of di-neutron configuration: ⁶He+⁶⁵Cu , $\sigma(2n)/\sigma(1n)$





Angular distribution

2n transfer > 1n transfer naively – di neutron dominant

n

α

2n

α

n

CRC calculations Transfer 2n transfer - assumed pair transfer Elastic : strong effect of transfer coupling Fusion expt = 1375 ±220 CRC cal = 1655, 1614 and 1533 mb (bare, 1n and 1n+2n calculations)

A. Chatterjee et al. PRL , 101 (2008)032701

Complete reaction studies ⁸He+⁶⁵Cu



E= 19.9, 30 MeV

Elastic scattering ang dist: ⁸He Transfer ang dist: ^{4,6}He+γ (^{65,66}Cu) Fusion: inclusive gamma ERs

Fusion, Transfer (1n+2n) Elastic scattering



PRC 044,617(2010); PLB 697, 454 (2011)

Tunneling of the most neutron-rich nucleus

He isotopic chain: •Nucleon emission threshold from 20.5 MeV to 0.9 MeV •⁶He and ⁸He "Borromean" structures •⁸He : ⁴He + 4n ⁶He+2n (double Borromean) •Charge radius of ⁶He > ⁸He, •Neutron separation energy ⁶He < ⁸He

⁸He: largest N/Z ratio, strong di-neutron correlations interesting case to study tunneling probability

⁴He + 4n, ⁶He+2n

Chulkov et al NPA759(2005)43



Experiment configuration

Primary beam: ¹³C (75 MeV/A) on thick graphite, Secondary beam: ⁸He, fully purified and reaccelerated at CIME Target :¹⁹⁷Au (6mg/cm²) (stack ¹⁹⁷Au +Al)



Fusion and Neutron Transfer



Evaporation residues from CN²⁰⁵**TI** First accurate low x-sec at sub-barrier with low intensity RIB

Good agreement with statistical model calculation

Transfer x-section larger than fusion

Couple channel calculations –1n,2n neutron transfer

A. Lemasson et al PRL 103, 232701 (2009)

Comparison of tunneling in He isotopes

$$\sigma_{\rm fus}(^{6,8}{\rm He} > \sigma_{\rm fus}(^{4}{\rm He})$$

$$\sigma_{\rm fus}$$
 (⁶He) ~ $\sigma_{\rm fus}$ (⁸He)

⁸He -easier to transfer excess neutron in peripheral reaction than to tunnel

A. Lemasson et al PRL 103, 232701 (2009)

x-sec ⁸He> ⁶He at higher energies, both targets - difference in geometry of valence neutrons in these isotopes, neutron correlations different in ⁸He and ⁶He

Dynamics of such processes with loosely bound neutrons is a subject of deeper theoretical studies.

PRC 044,617(2010); PLB 697, 454 (2011)

Summary and outlook

- No pronounced fusion hindrance for asymmetric systems with weakly bound and stable projectile: ⁶Li,¹²C,⁷Li+¹⁹⁸Pt: More measurements ⁹Be, ^{6,7}Li+¹⁹⁷Au,¹⁹⁸Pt, ¹¹B+¹⁹⁸Pt, ¹⁹⁷Au etc. planned
- Calculations based on Sudden and adiabatic model need modification for asymmetric projectile-target systems (weakly bound and stable)
- Tunneling probability of ⁸He ~ ⁶He Neutron transfer probability ⁸He > ⁶He E>Vb Neutron transfer dominant role deciding reaction dynamics dependence on geometry and correlations of valence neutrons
- Advancement in theoretical models required
- Similar measurements on other neutron rich as well as proton rich nuclei

Collaborator

^{6,7}Li, ¹²C+¹⁹⁸Pt work

K. Ramachandran, K. Mahata, A. Chatterjee , S. Kailas , V.V. Parkar , P.C. Rout, C. Palshetkar, S. Pandit BARC A. Navin, A. Lemasson, M. Rejmund GANIL V. Nanal, R.G. Pillay, S. Thakur TIFR S. Bhattacharyya, VECC A. Navin, A. Lemasson, M. Rejmund, S. Bhattacharya,
C. Schmitt, A. Chatterjee, K. Ramachandran, J. Nyberg,
V. Nanal, R.G. Pillay, I. Stefan, D. Bazin, Y. Blumenfeld,
D. Beaumel, G. de France, M. Labiche, A. Lemanson,
R. Lemmon, R. Raabe, J.A. Scarpaci, C. Simenel,
C.Timis, N. Keely, V. Zelevinsky

GANIL; BARC, TIFR, VECC, Upsalla; MSU, IPN Orsay, Univ. of Surrey, Daresbury Lab, Univ of Leuven, CEA Saclay, NSCL MSU

THANK YOU