Navigating the Hilbert Space with Models

24th December 2019



Novel Phases of Quantum Matter



INTERNATIONAL ICTS CENTRE for THEORETICAL SCIENCES

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH

G Baskaran







Chennai 600 113, India Ontario, Canada

R. Shankar, R. Ganesh, Shubankar Khatua S. Hassan, M.S. Laad (IMSc) Saptarshi Mandal (IOP, Bhubaneswar) Sarvesh Srinivasan (BITS, Pilani) Diptiman Sen (IISc) Subhro Bhattacharya (ICTS) Krishnendu Sengupta (IACS, Kolkata)

Acknowledgement

P.W. Anderson, 13 Dec 2018, Princeton









About my Institute



http://www.imsc.res.in Institute of Mathematical Sciences

Research in

Theoretical Physics Pure Mathematics Computer Science ~ 60 faculy 100 Ph.D. students 20 PDF's 10 visitors

Junior Research Fellow Entrance through JEST Exam

Autonomous Institute

similar to IIT's IISc, Bangalore TIFR, Bombay

Aided by DAE

Post Doctoral Fellowship Visiting Research Scholar Summer Program for BSc, BE, MSc students Faculty Associateship Adjunct Faculty Visiting Professor...











2 miles from Matscience as the Crow flies

A busy street in Chennai











Madras

Indian Institute of Technology



Invitation to visit Matscience & IIT Madras

Suprisingly rich
ground state manifold
of
Subhankar Khatua, R. Shankar and R. GaneshSuprisingly rich
ground state manifold
of
Spin clustersPHYSICAL REVIEW B 97, 054403 (2018)

Effective theories for quantum spin clusters: Geometric phases and selection by singularity Subhankar Khatua, Diptiman Sen and R. Ganesh PHYSICAL REVIEW B **100**, 134411 (2019)

Order by singularity in Kitaev clusters Sarvesh Srinivasan, Subhankar Khatua, G. Baskaran and R. Ganesh arXiv:1912.04341 Hilbert space is incomprehensibly big

People could easily get lost

Experiments, Physical Intuitions and Models guide us in our Voyage and Explorations

Spin Liquid Models

Surprises in Quantum Spin Clusters

Resonating Valence Bond (RVB) States

Pauling 1931 Anderson 1973 Fazekas 1974

Quantum Heisenberg Antiferromagnets (spin half)

Quantum fluctuations, Encouraged by lattice frustration and lower dimensionality may destroy long range AFM order (spin crystal)

Geometrical Frustration



happy



strained frustrated



 $=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$

RVB State is a Quantum Spin Liquid

Short range or power law spin correlations

$$\langle S_{iz}S_{jz}\rangle \approx e^{\frac{-|i-j|}{\xi}}$$
 or $\frac{1}{|i-j|^{\alpha}}$

Robust Spin liquids could harbor fragile long range order

AFM, Valence Bond Order, ...

They are highly entangled and are not direct product states Zero point fluctuations are a measure of entanglement

Ted Hsu (1988) Tao Li , Ashwin Viswanath, Moessner, Alet ... Vikram Tripati In 1987 We were looking for

Spin Liquids, pseudo Fermions & Pseudo Fermi Sea suggested by Anderson (1987) for Mott insulating La2CuO4

Enlarged Hilbert Space (an aerial view/introspection) Helped us see what we are looking for

GB, Zou, Anderson 1987

As a Bonus we found Gauge Structures, Gauge Fields and other isights into Quantum Spin Liquids

GB, Anderson 1988, ...

Square Lattice Heisenberg Antiferromagnet in an Enlarged Hilbert Space

GB, Zou, Anderson 1987

$$H_{s} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j} - \frac{1}{4}) = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_{ij}^{\dagger} b_{ij}$$

$$\vec{S}_i \equiv C_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} C_{i\beta}$$

$$n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow} = 1$$

Extended - S $\longrightarrow \Delta_x = \Delta_y$

$$H_{s} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j} - \frac{1}{4}) = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_{ij}^{\dagger} b_{ij}$$

$$b_{ij}^{+} b_{ij} \rightarrow \langle b_{ij}^{+} \rangle b_{ij} + b_{ij}^{+} \langle b_{ij} \rangle$$

$$H_{pair} = -J \sum_{k,k'} \gamma(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') c^{\dagger}_{-k'\downarrow} c^{\dagger}_{k'\uparrow} c_{k\uparrow} c_{k\downarrow} c_{-k\downarrow}$$

$$H_{mF} \sim J \sum_{k\alpha} |\cos k_x + \cos k_y| \ \alpha_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \alpha_{k\sigma}$$

$$\left|2DRVB\right\rangle = P_G \prod_k \left(u_k + v_k c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-k\downarrow}^{\dagger}\right) \left|0\right\rangle$$

 Pseudo Fermi/Surface

 (0,0)

(п, п)

GB, Zou, Anderson 1987

Local U(1) gauge symmetry $H_{s} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (S_{i} \cdot S_{j} - \frac{1}{4}) = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_{ij}^{\dagger} b_{ij}$ $C_{i\alpha}^{+} \rightarrow e^{i\theta_{i}} C_{i\alpha}^{+}$ $b_{ij}^{+} \rightarrow e^{i\theta_{i}} b_{ij}^{+} e^{i\theta_{j}}$ $\Delta_{ij} \rightarrow e^{i\theta_{i}} \Delta_{ij} e^{i\theta_{j}}$ **GB, Anderson**

U(1) RVB magnetic field $\Re e^{i \oint \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}).d\mathbf{l}} \sim \mathbf{S}_i \times (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$ Wen Wilczek Zee

Local SU(2) symmetry
$$c_{i\uparrow} \rightarrow u_i c_{i\uparrow} + v_i c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} \qquad |u_i|^2 + |v_i|^2 = 1$$

Affleck Anderson Zou Hsu

Two complex fermion Hilbert space $2^2 = 4$



$$\leftarrow 2^N times \rightarrow$$

 $2^{2N} = 2^{N} \times \dots \times 2^{N}$

When a physical spin and a Pseudo spin get identified the above 2^N sectors become gauge copies of a \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory

Sachdev-Ye Model

VOLUME 70, NUMBER 21

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Gapless Spin-Fluid Ground State in a Random Quantum Heisenberg Magnet

Subir Sachdev and Jinwu Ye

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{NM}} \sum_{i>j} J_{ij} \hat{\mathcal{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathcal{S}}_j,$$

 $P(J_{ij}) \sim \exp[-J_{ij}^2/(2J^2)]$ group SU(M) representation labeled by $n_b = 2S$ for SU(2)

Exact Dynamical Local spin Susceptibility

$$\bar{\chi}(\omega) = X\left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{|\omega|}\right) + i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathrm{sgn}(\omega)\right] + \cdots$$

Create frustration in Spin Space via anisotropic spin- spin coupling

As opposed to

Geometrical Frustration of Isotropic Heisenberg spin systems

Birth of new family of models

Kitaev Model on a Honeycomb lattice



Kitaev 2001, 2003

Frustration in Spin Space

$$H = -J_x \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_x} \sigma_i^x \sigma_j^x - J_y \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_y} \sigma_i^y \sigma_j^y - J_z \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_z} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z$$

Flux Operator

 $2^{\rm N}$ possible configurations of $B_{\rm P}$

$$B_{p} = \sigma_{1}^{y} \sigma_{2}^{z} \sigma_{3}^{x} \sigma_{4}^{y} \sigma_{5}^{z} \sigma_{6}^{x}$$

$$[B_{p},H]=0 \qquad B_{p}^{z}=1$$

$$Eigen value B_{p}=\pm 1$$

$$[B_{p},B_{p}]=0$$
for every plaquette P
$$2N \text{ passible configurations of } D$$

$$2N \text{ sites}$$

$$2^{N} \text{ states}$$

$$2^{N$$

GB, S. Mandal and R. Shankar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007)



 $\langle \sigma_i^a \sigma_j^b \rangle \neq 0$ only if *i* and *j* are nearest neighbors and a = bis equal to the type of bond which joins *i* and *j* Do the various features of the spin-1/2 Kitaev model survive for higher spins?

GB, D. Sen and R. Shankar, Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008) 115116

The conserved quantities on each hexagon survive:

 $W = e^{i\pi (S_1^y + S_2^z + S_3^x + S_4^y + S_5^z + S_6^x)}$

 $J_1 = J_2 = J_3$ Classical Ground States

A sublattice pointing in some direction and all the spins In the B sublattice pointing in the opposite direction

States in which pairs of nearest neighbor spins on, say, a xx bond point along the $\pm x$ direction

The number of such discrete states is equal to the number of dimer coverings of the honeycomb lattice which is 1.175^N times 1.414^N (due to the choice of \pm), which gives 1.662^N discrete classical ground states



Cartesian Ground States

Cartesiana ground state Degeneracy is related to number of Dimer Coverings

↑↓ |

Z

 $(1.662)^N$



Ground state manifold contains atleast 1.662^{N} discrete set of points connected by Flat valleys

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 82, 031113 (2010)

Classical Heisenberg spins on a hexagonal lattice with Kitaev couplings

Samarth Chandra,* Kabir Ramola,[†] and Deepak Dhar[‡]

Classical Heisenberg spins on a hexagonal lattice with Kitaev couplings

Samarth Chandra,* Kabir Ramola,[†] and Deepak Dhar[‡]

Chandra, Ramola and Dhar found the complete manifold of ground states of classical spin Kitaev model. Found a gauge structure.

- Quantum spin liquid in the semiclassical regime Ioannis Rousochatzakis¹, Yuriy Sizyuk¹ & Natalia B. Perkins¹
- Nature Communications | DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-03934-1

A nice parametrization all classical ground states of Kitaev model

Find an effective Toric Code Hamiltonian interms of an emergent Pseudo spin variable on an emergent Kagame lattice Shubhankar Khatua, R. Shankar and R. Ganesh PHYSICAL REVIEW B 97, 054403 (2018)

Quantum spin quadrum

$$\hat{H}_{N} = J \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \vec{S}_{j} \right]^{2}, \quad \hat{n}_{1} = \sin\theta \left(\cos\frac{\phi}{4}\hat{x} + \sin\frac{\phi}{4}\hat{y} \right) + \cos\theta\hat{z},$$

$$\hat{n}_{2} = \sin\theta \left(-\cos\frac{\phi}{4}\hat{x} - \sin\frac{\phi}{4}\hat{y} \right) + \cos\theta\hat{z},$$

$$\hat{n}_{3} = \sin\theta \left(-\cos\frac{\phi}{4}\hat{x} + \sin\frac{\phi}{4}\hat{y} \right) - \cos\theta\hat{z},$$

$$\hat{n}_{4} = \sin\theta \left(\cos\frac{\phi}{4}\hat{x} - \sin\frac{\phi}{4}\hat{y} \right) - \cos\theta\hat{z}.$$

$$S_{1}$$

$$S_{2}$$

$$S_{2}$$

$$S_{1}$$

1

Rigid Rotor & Spin-S







Effective theories for quantum spin clusters: Geometric phases and state selection by singularity PHYSICAL REVIEW B 100, 134411 (2019)

S. Khatua, D. Sen and R. Ganesh

XY Quadrumer





Cross-section view of the ground-state space. We have three tori, with each pair of tori touching along a line.

Order by singularity in Kitaev clusters

Sarvesh Srinivasan, Subhankar Khatua, G. Baskaran and R. Ganesh

arXiv:1912.04341





Four circles Embedded in Four Dimensions









FIG. 11: Additional cartesian states that emerge in the Kitaev tetrahedron.



Eight spheres embedded in six dimensions. Each sphere lies in a 3 dimensional subspace. Example: $\Sigma 1$ sphere lies in the space spanned by x, y and z coordinates.







Thank you for your attention

Kitaev's method of solution

2 Majorana fermions make one complex or Dirac fermion

$$\psi^{+} = \xi + i\zeta$$
$$2 = \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$$

$$\{\psi, \psi^+\} = 1$$
$$\{\xi, \zeta\} = 0$$
$$\xi^2 = \zeta^2 = 1$$

Introduce 4 Majorana fermions at each site:

$$c^{\alpha}, \ \alpha = 0, x, y, z \qquad \{c^{\alpha}, c^{\beta}\} = 2\delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

 \mathcal{Z}

 $D_i |\Psi\rangle_{
m phys} = |\Psi\rangle_{
m phys}$ Dimension of Physical Hilbert Space 2^{2N}

 $D_i \equiv c_i \ c_i^x c_i^y c_i^z$ Dimension of Enlarged Hilbert Space 4^{2N}

$$\sigma_i^a = ic_i c_i^a, \qquad a = x, y,$$

 $[\sigma_i^a, \sigma_j^b] = i\epsilon_{abc}\sigma^c\delta_{ij}$

$$H = -\sum_{a=x,y,z} J_a \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_a} ic_i \hat{u}_{\langle ij \rangle_a} c_j$$
$$\hat{u}_{\langle ij \rangle_a} \equiv ic_i^a c_j^a \qquad \left[H, \hat{u}_{\langle ij \rangle_a}\right] = 0$$

$$\hat{u}_{\langle ij \rangle_a}$$
 eigen value $u_{\langle ij \rangle_a} = \pm 1$

 $U_{\langle ij \rangle_a}$ (Ising) Z_2 gauge fields on the bonds

Local Z₂ gauge symmetry $u_{\langle ij\rangle a} \to \tau_i u_{\langle ij\rangle a} \tau_j$ with $\tau_i \pm 1$ **Dimension of Physical Hilbert Space** 2^{2N}

Dimension of Enlarged Hilbert Space 4^{2N}



On the nature of the wave function

Kitaev model does not have a continuous SU(2) symmetry

Physics is independent of sign of J

Proliferation of short range singlet and triplet bonds

It is a generalised triplet-singlet RVB (TS-RVB)

Spin of a Majorana fermion (?) - half integer (Shankar)

Exact results on large S Kitaev Model

GB, Diptiman Sen, Shankar PRB 2008

Connection of classical ground states to dimer covering on the hexagonal lattice

Topology of the classical ground state manifold (cartesian states connected by flat lines in spin space)

Finite S: Exact conserved plaquette operators for Spin-S Kitaev model Majorana fermion operators for half integer cases

An exactly solvable Kitaev type model for arbitrary half integer spin



www.perimeterinstitute.ca

PI PERIMETER INSTITUTE

Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

www.perimeterinstitute.ca

Research Institute similar to Matscience but extensive visitor program

Perimeter Scholars International (PSI) Program

An example of respect by an individual for theoretical physics as something that helps transform society (other examples – Kavli, Yuri Millner, Simons Mahendralal Sarkar, Tata, Alagappa, Birla, Mehta, A C Muthiah Infosys, Krish Gopalakrishnan, Wipro, HCL, SASTRA ...



Donor of 150 Million \$ (Black Berry Chief)

A total of 400 Million \$





Pauling was fond of Bonds

So is Anderson

But Anderson focussed on the spins in the bonds

