Classical Theories of nucleation

David Reguera

0

0

, C

0





DEPARTAMENT DE FÍSICA FONAMENTAL

School on Nucleation, Aggregation and Growth, Bangalore 01/08/10

Outline

- Introduction
- Classical Nucleation Theory of Homogenous condensation
 - Kinetics of nucleation
 - Thermodynamics of nucleation
- Bubble formation

0

- Nucleation in condensed phases
- Heterogeneous nucleation
- How good is CNT?
- Limitations of CNT
- Quick tour of recent developments

What is nucleation?

0

Nucleation is the first and crucial step in many phase transitions



Phase transitions and metastability



0

0

.0

0

C

Phase transitions and metastability Supersaturated vapor



Crystal nucleation

0

O

C

0

Liquid nucleation





Why is nucleation important?

0

Nucleation is an activated process that controls most phase transitions



Introduction: phase transitions in a van der Waals fluid





- Supersaturation: S=p/p_{eq}
- Nucleation Barrier: ΔG^*
- Critical size: n*

0

Nucleation Rate: J





Nucleation Kinetics

• Growth of clusters is modeled as a series of chemical reactions



- Assumptions:
 - Clusters grow/decay by the addition of individual molecules
 - No memory
 - Isothermal conditions
- Master equation of the evolution of clusters:

 $\frac{\partial f(i,t)}{\partial t} = \beta (i-1,t)f(i-1,t) - \alpha (i,t)f(i,t) - \beta (i,t)f(i,t) + \alpha (i+1,t)f(i+1,t)$

f(i,t)= concentration of clusters containing i molecules at time t $\alpha(i,t)$ = rate of detachment of individual molecules from a cluster of size i $\beta(i,t)$ = rate of attachment of individual molecules to a cluster of size i

Nucleation kinetics (2)

Master equation:

 $\frac{\partial f(i,t)}{\partial t} = \beta (i-1,t)f(i-1,t) - \alpha (i,t)f(i,t) - \beta (i,t)f(i1,t) + \alpha (i+1,t)f(i+1,t)$ Current:

$$J(i+\frac{1}{2},t) = \beta(i,t)f(i,t) - \alpha(i+1,t)f(i+1,t)$$



$$\frac{\partial f(i,t)}{\partial t} = J(i - \frac{1}{2}, t) - J(i + \frac{1}{2}, t)$$

Steady state nucleation rate J:

$$\frac{\partial f(i,t)}{\partial t} = 0 = J(i - \frac{1}{2}, t) - J(i + \frac{1}{2}, t)$$

 $J(i \pm \frac{1}{2}, t) = J$ J= steady state nucleation rate

J does not depend on time and is the same for all sizes

0

0

Kinetic theory of nucleation

 $J = \beta(i)f(i) - \alpha(i+1)f(i+1)$

Condensation rate can be evaluated from kinetic theory

$$\beta(i) = \frac{p}{\sqrt{2\pi m_1 k_B T}} A(n) = \frac{p}{\sqrt{2\pi m_1 k_B T}} s_1 n^{2/3}$$

• ... but the evaporation rate not!

0

To circunvent this problem, one resorts to the so-called constrained equilibrium hypothesis

"Constrained" equilibrium

N(i)= constrained equilibrium distribution, that satisfies: J(i) = 0 for all i

0



 $\alpha(i+1) = \beta(i) \frac{N(i)}{N(i+1)} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad J = \beta(i)N(i) \left[\frac{f(i)}{N(i)} - \frac{f(i+1)}{N(i+1)} \right]$

Kinetics of nucleation (4)

J	_	f(i)	_	f(i+1)
B(i)N(i)	_	$\overline{N(i)}$	_	$\overline{N(i+1)}$

Summing up over all cluster sizes:

.0

0

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i_b-1} \left[\frac{f(i)}{N(i)} - \frac{f(i+1)}{N(i+1)} \right] = \frac{f(1)}{N(1)} - \frac{f(2)}{N(2)} + \frac{f(2)}{N(2)} - \frac{f(3)}{N(3)} + \dots - \frac{f(i_b)}{N(i_b)}$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{i_b-1} \frac{J}{\beta(i)N(i)} = \frac{f(1)}{N(1)} - \frac{f(i_b)}{N(i_b)}$$
Boundary conditions:
$$\frac{f(1)}{N(1)} = 1 \qquad \qquad \frac{f(i_b)}{N(i_b)} = 0$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{i_b-1} \frac{J}{\beta(i)N(i)} = 1 \qquad \qquad \qquad \int J = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{i_b-1} \frac{1}{\beta(i)N(i)} \right]^{-1} \qquad \qquad N(i) \sim N_1 e^{\frac{-\Delta G(i)}{kT}}$$

So now it is a matter of calculating the work of formation of a cluster of i molecules



Continuous approximation to the master equation

 ΔG

$$\frac{\partial f(i,t)}{\partial t} = J(i - \frac{1}{2}, t) - J(i + \frac{1}{2}, t) = -\frac{\partial J(i,t)}{\partial i}$$

0

$$J(i+1/2,t) = \beta(i)N(i)\left(\frac{f(i,t)}{N(i)} - \frac{f(i+1,t)}{N(i+1)}\right) \approx \beta(i)N(i)\frac{\partial}{\partial i}\left(\frac{f(i,t)}{N(i)}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial f(i,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial J(i,t)}{\partial i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial i} \left(\beta(i)N(i)\frac{\partial}{\partial i} \left(\frac{f(i,t)}{N(i)}\right) \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial f(i,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial i} \left(\beta(i) e^{-\frac{\Delta G(i)}{kT}} \frac{\partial}{\partial i} \left(f(i,t) e^{\frac{\Delta G(i)}{kT}} \right) \right)$$

Fokker-Planck eq. for nucleation

$$J = N_1 \beta(i^*) Z e^{-\frac{\Delta G^*}{k_B T}}$$

Nucleation rate (i.e. Kramers rate, transition state rate, Arrenhius...)

:* 1

Simplified thermodynamics of cluster formation

• Quick review of thermodynamics a la Callen:

 $U(S,V,N) = TS + \mu N - pV$ $dU = TdS + \mu dN - pdV$ Gibbs-Duhem eq. $0 = -SdT - Nd\mu + Vdp$

Thermodynamic potentials:

0

0

$$G(p,T,N) = U - TS + pV = \mu N$$

$$dG = \mu dN - SdT + Vdp$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\mu, V, T) &= -pV \\ d\Omega &= -Nd\mu - SdT - pdV \end{aligned}$$

Abraham's book

CNT assumptions

- The (drastic) assumptions of CNT are:
 - Thermodynamics can be applied to unstable objects of molecular dimensions
 - Homogenous phases up to the interface
 - The interface is perfectly sharp
 - The densities are the same as in the bulk phases
 - The surface tension is the same as the bulk flat interface
 - Spherical drop
 - Ideal vapor
 - Incompressible liquid





radius from the center of the drop r



Generalized Laplace equation

Critical nucleus= drop at (unstable) equilibrium with the vapor

Equilibrium conditions: $\mu_{\nu} = \mu_l = \mu_s \equiv \mu$

.0

0

$$T_v = T_l = T_s \equiv T$$

$$U = U_v + U_l + U_s$$

= $-p_v V_v(r) - p_l V_l(r) + \gamma A(r) + TS + \mu N$

$$dU = -p_{v}dV_{v}(r) - p_{l}dV_{l}(r) + \gamma dA(r) + A\left[\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial r}\right]dr$$
$$-dV_{v} = dV_{l} = Adr$$



For the "surface of tension"

∆G* n* n

Generalized Kelvin equation

• At equilibrium: $\mu_l(p_l)$

 $\mu_l(p_l) = \mu_v(p_v)$

Gibbs-Duhem eq:

 $SdT - Vdp + Nd\mu = 0$

Ideal gas:

0

Incompressible fluid:



For the "surface of tension"

Work of cluster formation



Incompressible drop: $\mu_l(p_l) - \mu_l(p_v) = v_l(p_l - p_v)$

 $\Delta G = \gamma_e A_e + (\mu_l(p_v) - \mu_v(p_v))n$

Work of cluster formation in CNT

• Assumptions:

0

0

- Spherical drop

$$V_{l} = \frac{4\pi r^{3}}{3} = nv_{l} \qquad r = \left(\frac{3v_{l}}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3} n^{1/3}$$
$$A(n) = 4\pi r^{2} = \left(36\pi v_{l}^{2}\right)^{1/3} n^{2/3} = s_{1}n^{2/3}$$



- Incompressibility
- Sharp interface
- Same surface tension as the bulk flat liquid

```
\Delta G_{CNT}(n) = -\Delta \mu n + \gamma s_1 n^{2/3}
n \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \bigcirc
```



Nucleation barrier and critical cluster size $\Delta G(n) = -\Delta \mu n + s_1 \gamma \left(n^*\right)^{2/3}$ ∆G Critical cluster size: Nucleation Barrier ∆**G*** $\frac{\partial \Delta G}{\partial n}\Big|_{n^*} = 0 \qquad -\Delta \mu + \frac{2}{3}s_1\gamma (n^*)^{-1/3} = 0$ n* n $n^* = \frac{32\pi}{3} \frac{v_l^2 \gamma^3}{\Delta \mu^3}$ ∆G₁ ′Δμ<0 Δμ=0 Height of the nucleation barrier:

 $\Delta \mu > 0$

log i

i*

$$\Delta G^* = \frac{16\pi}{3} \frac{v_l^2 \gamma^3}{\Delta \mu^2} = \Delta G(n^*)$$

0

0

CNT for condensation of an ideal vapor

0



Bubble nucleation

• Work of formation of a bubble

$$\Delta G(r, P') = 4\pi r^2 \gamma - \frac{4\pi r^3}{3} (P' - P) + \frac{4\pi r^3}{3} P' \ln \frac{P'}{P_{sat}}$$



- Assumptions:
 - Vapor in the bubble is in thermodynamic equilibrium.

$$P' = P_{sat}$$

And mechanic equilibrium

$$P'-P = \frac{2\gamma}{r^*}$$

$$r^* = \frac{2\gamma}{\Delta P}$$

$$\Delta G^* = \frac{4\pi\gamma r^{*2}}{3} = \frac{16\pi\gamma^3}{3(\Delta P)^2}$$



Nucleation in condensed phases

Crystal nucleation in undercooled liquids



Nucleation in supersaturated solutions



D. Kashchiev Cryst. Res. Technol. **38**, No. 7–8, 555 – 574 (2003)



0

Zandi et al, Biophys. J 90, 1939 (2006)

Heterogeneous nucleation

$$\Delta G_{het} = -\frac{V_{cap}}{v_l} \Delta \mu + A_{cap} \gamma + \pi (R \sin \theta)^2 (\gamma_{ls} - \gamma_{gs})$$

$$V_{cap} = \frac{4\pi R^3}{3} v(\theta) \qquad \qquad A_{cap} = 4\pi R^2 s(\theta)$$



$$s(\theta) = \frac{(1 - \cos\theta)}{2}$$

- 2

0

0

 $v(\theta) = \frac{(2 + \cos\theta)(1 - \cos\theta)^2}{4}$

Young's equation:

$$\Delta G_{het}(R) = \Delta G_{hom}(R) v(\theta)$$

$$\Delta G_{het}^* = \Delta G_{hom}^* v(\theta) \qquad n_{het}^* = n_{hom}^* v(\theta)$$

70 60 HON 50 W/kT 40 30 HEN 20 10 0 5 10 15 20 1

n

Heterogenous nucleation is always more favourable

 $\cos\theta = \frac{\gamma_{gs} - \gamma_{ls}}{\gamma_{gl}}$

Nucleation Theorem

$$\left(\frac{\partial\Delta G^*}{\partial\Delta\mu}\right)_{V,T} = -\Delta n^*$$

0

D. Kashchiev, J. Chem. Phys. 76, 5098 (1982)

R.K. Bowles, et al J.Chem. Phys. 115, 1853 (2001)
T.L. Hill, J. Chem. Phys. 36, 3182 (1962)

Independent of the theory!

Experimental importance: It allows the evaluation of the critical cluster size directly from experiments $T = \frac{-\Lambda G^*}{k_B T}$

$$J = Ke^{-\Delta G^*/\kappa_B T}$$
$$\Delta G^*/k_B T = -\ln J + \ln K$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial\Delta G^*}{\partial\Delta\mu}\right)_T = -\frac{\partial\ln J}{\partial\ln S} + \frac{\partial\ln K}{\partial\ln S}$$

Nucleation theorem in action

• Water condensation experiments in an expansion chamber



 $\frac{\partial \ln J}{\partial \ln S} \approx n^*$

0

C

Nucleation theorem in action (2) 10^{27} 10^{26} 10^{26} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{24} 10^{25} 10^{26} 10^{26} 10^{26} 10^{26} 10^{27} 10^{27} 10^{28}



Comparison with condensation experiments

CNT has serious problems:

0

- Wrong T dependence of nucleation rates:
 - J_{CNT} too high at high T
 - J_{CNT} too low at low T
 - Discrepancies reach several orders of magnitude !!!



Comparison between CNT, simulation and experiment for argon condensation



Nucleation Barrier Height: only wrong by a constat!

C



 $\Delta G(n^*) = \Delta G_{CNT}(n^*) + D(T)$

Critical cluster size OK!

0

0

C

0



 $v_l^2 \gamma^3$

CNT prediction is surprinsingly good

Pros/Cons of CNT

- Positive points:
 - Uses bulk thermodynamic measurable quantities
 - Simple numerical predictions
 - Predicts critical cluster sizes welll
 - Seems to mispredict the barrier just by a T-dependent constant
- Disadvantages:
 - No spinodal
 (the nucleation barrier is always finite)



- Capillarity approximation
- Ignores all contributions beyond surface and volume
- Bad predictions compared to experiments and simulation

Recent theoretical developments

- Phenomenological theories
 - Aim to correct the nucleation barrier
- Kinetic theories
 - Focus on evaluating $\alpha(i)$

- Molecular theories (Reiss et al...)
 - Rigorous molecular description of cluster using statistical mechanics—linked to simulations
- Density functional and field theories
 - Overcome the capillarity approximation

Phenomenological theories

• Fisher's droplet

M.E. Fisher, Rep. Prog. Phys. 30, 615 (1967)

 Aims to correct the nucleation barrier by accounting for more terms beyond surface and volume

$$\frac{\Delta G(n)}{k_B T} = -n \ln S + \kappa_n \theta n^\gamma + \tau \ln n + \ln(Vq_0)$$

- Dillmann&Meyer $\kappa_n = 1 + \alpha_1 n^{-1/3} + \alpha_2 n^{-2/3}$

A. Dillmann and G.E.A. Meier, J. Chem. Phys. 94, 3872 (1991)I.J. Ford, A. Laaksonen, and M. Kulmala, J. Chem. Phys. 93, 764 (1993)

Self-consistent theories

– Impose (somewhat artificially) that $\Delta G(n=1)=0$

$$\Delta G_{SCT}(n) = \Delta G_{CNT}(n) - \Delta G_{CNT}(1)$$

S.L. Girshick and C-P Chiu, J. Chem. Phys. 93, 1273 (1990)

Translational and rotational contributions

- Another mesoscopic aspect:
 - Translational-Rotational paradox

Lothe&Pound (1962)

 $\Delta G_{CNT} + \Delta G_{trans} + \Delta G_{rot} \implies J \approx J_{CNT} 10^{17}$

 Mesoscopic interpretation in terms of the volume scale





H. Reiss, W.K. Kegel, and J.L. Katz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4506 (1997)



Phenomenological theories (2)

 Scaling relations: n* well predicted by CNT+ Nucleat. theorem

$$n^* = C(T)\Delta\mu^{-3},$$

$$\Delta G^* - \Delta G^*_{CNT} = -D(T)$$

R. McGraw and A. Laaksonen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2754 (1996)

• EMLD-DNT:



 Accounts for the spinodal and seem to correct the wrong Tdependence



Reguera, D.; Reiss, H. J. Phys Chem. B 2004, 108, 19831 Reguera, D.; Reiss, H. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2004, 93, 165701.

Kinetic theories

- Aim at calculating α(i)
 - General drawback: rates are extremely sensitive to the details of the potential and to an accurate evaluation of $\alpha(i)$
- Ruckenstein & coworkers
 - $\alpha(i)$ obtained as the MFPT of escape of a monomer from the effective potential generated by all cluster's molecules

E. Ruckenstein, Y.S. Djikaev / Advances in Colloid and Interface Science 118 (2005) 51-72

- Dynamical nucleation theory
 - Uses VTST to obtain $\alpha(i)$ considering the evaporation event as a chemical reaction

Schenter, G. K.; Kathmann, S. M.; Garrett, B. C. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1999, 82, 3484

VTST and DNT

•DNT: Evaporation as a reaction (Schenter,Kathmann,Garrett PRL'99) $B_{n+1} \leftrightarrow B_n + B_1$ Reaction surface $\phi \phi \phi \phi + \phi$

•VTST: V that minimizes the reaction (evaporation) rate

$$\begin{split} \alpha_i^{\text{VTST}}(T) &= -\left(\frac{1}{2\pi m k_B T}\right)^{1/2} \frac{d}{dr} A_i(T,r) \Big|_{r=r_i^{\ddagger}} \\ &= \frac{\bar{c}}{4} \left[4\pi (r_i^{\ddagger})^2\right] \frac{P_i^{\text{int}}}{k_B T}, \end{split}$$

•DNT: nice idea but very requires expensive simulations...



Density functional theory

- Free energy of a drop is assumed to be a functional of the density profile $\rho(r)$

 $\delta \Omega_V[\rho(\mathbf{r})]/\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ at $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_{eq}(\mathbf{r})$

R. Evans, Adv. Phys. 28, 143 (1979)

- Disadvantages:
 - No info about kinetics
 - · Results depend on the functional used
 - The square gradient approximation predicts n^{*} →∞ at the spinodal
- Advantages:
 - Results qualitatively good
 - Removes capillarity approximation
- Cahn&Hilliard :

$$\Omega\left[\rho(\mathbf{r})\right] = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ f_h\left[\rho(\mathbf{r})\right] - \mu\rho(\mathbf{r}) + K\left[\nabla\rho(\mathbf{r})\right]^2 \right\}$$

J.W. Cahn and J.E. Hilliard, J. Chem. Phys. **28**, 258 (1958)

Density functional theory (2)



Summary

- Nucleation is a very fundamental problem still not fully understood
- CNT is still the most widely used theory despite its limitations and the fact that mispredicts the nucleation rates by several orders of magnitude
- CNT seems to predict n* correctly, but mispredicts ΔG* by a (temperature-dependent) constant
- More work is necessary to be able to predict accurately nucleation rates!