

## David Reguera

0

0





DEPARTAMENT DE FÍSICA FONAMENTAL

## Why is nucleation important?

#### **Nucleation** is the first and crucial step in many phase transitions



0













## Introduction

0

C

0

C

0



Phase 2 n



## **Nucleation Kinetics**



## How good is CNT?

- Predominant theory: CNT
  - Only uses bulk (measurable) thermodynamic parameters
- CNT has serious problems:
  - Wrong T dependence of nucleation rates:
    - $J_{CNT}$  too high at high T
    - $J_{CNT}$  too low at low T
  - It does not account for the spinodal



## Outline

Nucleation at extreme supersaturations

#### • MD Simulations:

- Optimization of system size: Finite-size effects
- A new method to evaluate rates: MFPT
- Kinetic reconstruction of the free-energy landscape
- MD results:
  - Nucleation Rates, critical cluster sizes and barrier heights
  - Comparison with CNT
  - Transition between nucleation and spinodal decomposition
- Conclusions and outlook

## MD Simulations of Lennard-Jones Argon Nucleation:

# MD "brute force" simulations in the canonical (NVT) ensemble

## T = 80.7K (T\*=0.67)





## Molecular Dynamics Simulation<sup>4)</sup>

0

.C C



4) Frenkel and Smit, Understanding Molecular Simulation, 2nd ed. (Academic Press, San Diego, 2002).5) Wonczak, Ph.D. Thesis, Universität zu Köln, Cologne 2001.



finite size effects



### Optimization of system size



## **Nucleation at extreme supersaturations**

#### **Q2: How can we analyze the rates???**

## A new method to analyze rates in simulations of activated processes

## How to analyze the rates?

- General problems:
  - Nucleation is a stochastic process: one realization is not enough
  - n\* is not known a priori
- Different methods:
  - Time required to have a cluster of a particular size

$$rate = \frac{1}{Vt}$$

#### Problems:

- arbitrary size
- more than 1 cluster can be formed



- Number of large drops formed per unit time and V

$$J = \frac{1}{V} \frac{dN(t)}{dt}$$



#### Problems:

- Nucleation/ growth are coupled
- Depletion effects
- Nonstationary rates

# New method to analyze rates in MD simulations

- Based on evaluation of Mean First Passage Times
- Advantages:
  - Efficient and easy to implement
  - Allows an accurate evaluation of nucleation rates
  - One can easily see if nucleation and growth are coupled
  - Allows to extract additional useful information:
    - evaluation of the critical cluster size from pure kinetic considerations!

- estimates of the height of the nucleation barrier, the Zeldovich factor and the time lag



# Extracting thermodynamic information from the kinetics



J. Wedekind, R. Strey, D.R., J. Chem. Phys.(subm)

## Comparison: Analytical Result and Fit



C

## **Practical implementation**





C

## Coupling of nucleation and growth



## Nucleation at extreme supersaturations

0

0

## Kinetic Reconstruction of the free energy landscape

# Kinetic Reconstruction of the free energy landscape

• Dynamics of an activated process

$$\frac{\partial f(n,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left( D(n) e^{-\beta \Delta G(n)} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left( f(n,t) e^{\beta \Delta G(n)} \right) \right)$$

Ingredients:

0

(

- Steady-state Probability: Pst(n)
- MFPT:  $\tau$  (n)



#### **Free energy landscape**

$$\beta \Delta G(n) = \ln B(n) - \int \frac{1}{B(n')} dn' + C$$

$$B(n) = \frac{1}{P^{st}(n)} \left[ \int_0^n P^{st}(n') dn' - \frac{\tau(n)}{\tau(b)} \right]$$

#### **Attachment rate**

$$D(n) = \frac{B(n)}{\left(\frac{\partial \tau(n)}{\partial n}\right)}$$

## **Comparison: Analitic results vs Reconstruction**



## Kinetic reconstruction in a simulation



MD Simulations of Lennard-Jones Argon Nucleation at extreme supersaturations

O

0

0

**RESULTS** 

T = 80.7 K

## **Nucleation Rates**

Condensation at extreme supersaturations

0

0



# Reconstruction of the free energy of cluster formation for the largest cluster

0

C

(



## Nucleation Barrier Height: reaction coordinate matters

0

0



The vanishing of the barrier for the largest is **NOT** the signature of the spinodal

## System size dependence of the free energy landscape for the largest

0

C

**Fixed S** 



## Nucleation Barrier Height: reaction coordinate matters

0

O

C

0



Scaling relations seem to hold

 $\Delta G(n^*) = \Delta G_{CNT}(n^*) + D(T)$ 

# What does the vanishing of the free energy for the largest mean?

• "Equilibrium" probability of having 1 critically-sized cluster:



- The vanishing of the barrier for the largest indicates where the system has probably a critical cluster right at the start 
   the limit of kinetic metastability, which is NOT the spinodal
- The kinetic limit of stability of a metastable phase is system size dependent!

### Nucleation vs spinodal decomposition

#### • Nucleation





### Nucleation vs spinodal decomposition

• Spinodal decomposition

0

C



#### From nucleation to spinodal decomposition

(a) S = 119L = 5 nm



0 ps





 $n_{\rm max} = 491, \, \phi_{\rm c} = 0.41$ 







 $n_{\rm max} = 2700, \ \phi_{\rm c} = 0.64$ 









0

.C C



(p) = 20.4S 7



5 ps

 $n_{\rm max} = 1, \, \phi_{\rm c} = 0.00$ 



## Critical cluster size approaching the spinodal: No evidence of divergency

0

O



#### Critical cluster size approaching the spinodal

0

C

0



#### **CNT** prediction is surprinsingly good

#### Putting the pieces back together

C



Timescales: nucleation vs growth

Survival probabilities

0

C

.

C

C

0



$$P_{\rm surv}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{t-t_0}{\tau_J}\right)$$

## Crossover between nucleation and (diffusion-limited) growth

C



## Conclusions

- Nucleation at extreme supersaturations using MD simulations
- New simulation techniques:
  - Finite-size effects in simulations of nucleation
  - A new method to analyze simulations of activated processes: Mean First-Passage Times
  - Kinetic Reconstruction of the free-energy landscape
- Main Results:

- Reaction coordinate matters
- The kinetic stability limit of a metastable phase depends on system size and it is different from the spinodal
- Crossover between nucleation and (diffusion-limited) growth of the new phase
- CNT predicts accurately n\* but mispredicts ΔG\* by a temperature dependent constant

## Acknowledgements





UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN

## J. Wedekind



0

0

## H. Reiss



#### FUNDING



UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN

- R. Strey
- G. Chkonia
- J. Wölk

