Statistical Mechanics of Extreme Events

Gunter M. Schütz

Institut für Festkörperforschung, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany and Interdisziplinäres Zentrum für Komplexe Systeme, Universität Bonn

- Extreme Events
- Gallavotti-Cohen Symmetry
- Classical Condensation Phenomena
- Breakdown of GCS
- Conclusions

1. Extreme Events

Various types:

- Rare events --> larger or smaller than some (big) threshold
- Extremal events --> largest or smallest in a given set
- Records --> larger or smaller than any previous

Interesting in stochastic dynamics (unpredictable):

Fun (sports, Guiness book,...)

- Danger (weather, earthquakes, epileptic seizures,...)
- Money (lotto jackpot, insurance claims,...)
- Curiosity (how often, why, …)

▶ ...

Difficult to handle mathematically:

- Described by tails of probability distribution --> poor statistics
- Normally interested in peak position (mean (LLN) and variance (CLT))
 machinery not so well developed for tails
 - --> machinery not so well-developed for tails

==> Statistical description by extreme value theory

Application to empirical data problematic:

- approximations difficult because of poor convergence to limiting distributions
- no insight in mechanisms of origin
- no prediction and prevention

New: Interesting in Statistical Mechanics:

- Conceptual (Foundations of Stat Mech)
- Study causes and effects

Not so new: Extreme events in Equilibrium Stat Mech

Canonical ensemble = subsystem in heat bath



statistical weight of subsystem:



 $\beta = S'(E)$: Inverse T of heat bath

Boltzmann distribution

- origin of exponential: statistical independence of subsystems

$$E_1$$
 E_2 $w_{12}(E_1 + E_2) = w_1(E_1)w_2(E_2)$

- probability p(T, V, N) to find microstate of subsystem with energy E: p = w(E)/Z

partition function
$$Z(T, V, N) = \sum_{microstates} e^{-\beta E}$$

= $\sum_{E} \Gamma(E, V, N) e^{-\beta E}$
= $\sum_{E} e^{-\beta(E-TS)}$

- sharp peak at some U (mean energy of subsystem) \rightarrow Helmholtz free energy

$$F(T,V,N) = -kT \ln Z = U - TS$$

- second equation: Legrende transformation $U(S, V, N) \leftarrow \rightarrow F(T, V, N)$
- extremal principle: F takes minimal value for given set of system parameters
- extensivity: $F = V f(T, \rho)$

Microscopic viewpoint (large deviation theory):

- Consider particle energies E_i in subsystem
- Large deviation theory: (i) $P(E) = Prob[\Sigma_i E_i = E] \sim e^{-A(E)}$

(ii) < $e^{-\beta E}$ > ~ $e^{-B(\beta)}$

- A,B extensive, satisfy extremal principle A(E) = max_{β} [B(β) - β E]

- Microcanonical ensemble: $P(E) \sim \Gamma(E) = A(E) = -S(E)$

 $\mathsf{B}(\beta) = - \ln (\mathsf{Z}) = \beta \mathsf{F}(\beta)$

==> choosing β that maximizes S yields Legendre transformation F = U - TS

2. Gallavotti-Cohen Symmetry

Far from equilibrium:

- no generally applicable ensemble
- no large deviation theory (in general)
- but: generally valid Fluctuation Theorems

Gallavotti-Cohen [Evans, Cohen, Morris '93, Gallavotti, Cohen '95]

$$\frac{p(-\sigma,t)}{p(\sigma,t)} \sim e^{-\sigma t}$$

- mathematical asymptotic theorem for certain dynamical systems
- no specific information about entropy production $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$
- allows (statistical) prediction of negative entropy production (extreme)

Stochastic dynamics: [Kurchan '98, Lebowitz, Spohn '99, Harris, G.M.S. '07]

Consider stochastic process with set of configurations $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$

- Trajectory (realization) { σ } = { $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ } with random jump times τ_i
- Measure some quantity r associated with each transition (energy transfer, mass transfer,...) --> (antisymmetric) $r_{\sigma\Box,\sigma}$ for transition from $\sigma --> \sigma\Box$



- r = +/- 1 for jump across k,k+1:

==> sum of all r along trajectory = integrated particle current

Associate some physical quantity with initial state (In f) and final state (- In g) (Example for equilibrium: energy of initial and final configuration)



- Integrated current of trajectory (sum of all r) plus boundary parts
- boundary provide appropriate statistical weight in functional
- choice of f,g depends on experimental setting!
- no restriction to any equilibrium condition

Consider instantaneous entropy production [Seifert '05]

$$r_{\sigma',\sigma}^{(1)}(\tau) = \ln\left[\frac{w_{\sigma',\sigma}(\tau)}{w_{\sigma,\sigma'}(\tau)}\right]$$

Then trajectory functional = entropy change in environment + boundary terms

- Detailed balance (equilibrium process): $r = \Delta E / (kT)$
- ==> Thermal systems: $\Delta S_{env} = Q/T$
- Otherwise still well-defined through transition rates
- Stochastic particle systems: proportional to particle current
- Entropy production extensive in time (~t for each trajectory at large times)

Call corresponding trajectory functional R

- Consider generating function $\langle e^{-\lambda R} \rangle = = \rangle$ gives weight $e^{-\lambda r}$ to each transition



==> weight $e^{(1-\lambda)r}$ to each transition

(reversal of entropy production in each elementary step of each trajectory)

- extra factor for as many transitions as in initial (forward) process

$$< e^{-\lambda R} >^{F} = < e^{-(1-\lambda)R} >^{B}$$

(includes interchange of boundary terms)

- Large deviation property (extensivity of R for t large)

$$< e^{-\lambda R} > \sim e^{-tg(\lambda)}$$

- or equivalently $g(\lambda) = g(1-\lambda) + (boundary terms)/t$





Conceptually important

==> far-from-equilibrium generalization of Onsager relations ==> boosted the whole field of fluctuation theorems

- GC is asymptotic ==> one can use it to extrapolate
- Numerical tests can be performed in lattice gas models

What is the question?

Rigorous in lattice models with finite local state space (exclusion processes)

==> Is GCS valid, if we violate this condition?

3. Classical condensation phenomena

Granular shaking

N=100 plastic particles in box with two compartments separated by wall with slit [Schlichting and Nordmeier '96, Eggers '99, Lohse '02]



- i) Strong shaking (fixed amplitude, 50 Hz frequency): → Equal gaseous distribution
- ii) Moderate shaking (same amplitude, 30 Hz): → Condensation (with SSB)

Effective, frequency-dependent temperature leads to phase transition

Granular Clustering: L=5

http://stilton.tnw.utwente.nl/people/rene/clustering.html

Detlef Lohse, Devaraj van der Meer, Michel Versluis, Ko van der Weele, René Mikkelsen



QuickTime[™] and a decompressor are needed to see this picture. QuickTime™ and a decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Time t = 0...12 sec

t approx. 1 min

Single File Diffusion:

SFD: Quasi one-dimensional diffusion without passing

- molecular diffusion in zeolites
- colloidal particles in narrow channels
- transport in carbon nanotubes
- molecular motors and ribosomes
- gel electrophoresis
- automobile traffic flow

Condensation = traffic jam = phase separation

Other Complex Systems

- Network rewiring
- Accumulation of wealth







Polyribosome: [http://omega.dawsoncollege.qc.ca/ray/protein/protein.htm

Condensation transition in the zero-range process

Zero-range process (ZRP) with symmetric nearest-neighbour hopping [Spitzer (1970)]

- Stochastic particle hopping model
- Cluster of size n (or length of domain) ⇔ occupation number in ZRP
- particle flux J(n_k) between compartments (domains) ⇔ hopping rate in ZRP



Exact grand canonical stationary distribution [Spitzer, (1970)]

 \rightarrow Product measure with marginals P(n) and local partition function Z

$$P(\vec{n}) = \prod_{i \in \Lambda} P(n_i)$$

$$P(n) = \frac{1}{Z} z^n \prod_{k=1}^n J^{-1}(k), \quad Z = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \tilde{P}(n)$$

- Fugacity z determines (fluctuating) density $\rho(z)$
- Well-defined for fugacities within radius of convergence z* (that depends on J)
- Canonical ensembles for any N by projection on fixed N
- Grand canonical ensemble: What happens if $\rho(z^*)$ is finite?

Spatially homogeneous systems

- 1) Asymptotically vanishing flux $J(n) \rightarrow 0$: $\rightarrow z^*=0$ and hence $\rho_c = 0$
- 2) Consider generic case where for large n

 $J(n) = A(1 + b/n^{\sigma})$

→ radius of convergence of partition function: $z < z^* = A$

→ at z^{*} one has finite density ρ_c for $\sigma < 1$

→ For σ = 1: → P(n) ~ 1/n^b

$$\rho(z^*) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{for } b \leq 2\\ \rho_c = 1/(b-2) & \text{for } b > 2 \end{cases}$$

Interpretation of critical density for b>2 or σ < 1 for canonical ensemble:

- Above critical density all sites except one (background) are at critical density
- One randomly selected site carries remaining O(L) particles
- Classical analogue of Bose-Einstein condensation [Evans '96, Ferrari, Krug '96, O'Loan, Evans, Cates, '98, Jeon, March '00]
- Single random condensation site [Grosskinsky, GMS, Spohn, '05, Ferrari, Landim, Sisko '07, Loulakis, Armendariz '08, Evans, Majumdar '08]]
- → Continuous condensation transition ($\rho_{bg} = \rho_c$)
- → Coarsening as precursor of condensation [Grosskinsky, GMS, Spohn, '05; Godreche '05]

Generic model for classical condensation phenomena



4. Breakdown of GCS

Validity of Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry:

- It's a mathematical theorem (Good-bye, experimental physics?!)
- Related fluctuation theorems (Jarzinsky, Crooks, ...) also rigorous...
- ... but then, in which experimental system can you check the hypotheses of the theorem?

→ In other words, how robust is GC symmetry? (Experimentalists, please return!)

Related fluctuation theorems experimentally well-confirmed in systems with

- relatively small number of degrees of freedom
- boundary terms matter for experimental time scales

Test of GCS for zero-range process

Exactly solvable for b=0

- → large time regime accessible
- ➔ many degrees of freedom
- → unbounded state space

BUT:

- no condensation
- exponentially small probability for large occupation



Zero-range process with open boundaries [R.J.Harris, A. Rakos, G.M.S., '05-'07]



General case w_n arbitrary

Consider integrated current J_I across bond I,I+1, starting from some initial distribution

Take t large, study mean current $j_1 = J_1 / t$

→ Compute large deviation function $e_I(\lambda)$ from generation function $\langle e - \lambda J_I \rangle$

→ Compute Legendre transform (probability to observe specific j

Exact result:

- write master equation in Quantum Hamiltonian form
- make product ansatz for groundstate to obtain lowest eigenvalue (LDF)

Large deviation function
$$e_0(\lambda) = \frac{(p-q)(e^{\lambda}-1)\left[\alpha\beta\left(p/q\right)^{L-1}e^{-\lambda}-\gamma\delta\right]}{\gamma(p-q-\beta)+\beta(p-q+\gamma)\left(p/q\right)^{L-1}}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{Legrende} \\ \text{transform} & \hat{e}_0(j) = \frac{(p-q)[\alpha\beta(p/q)^{L-1} + \gamma\delta]}{\gamma(p-q-\beta) + \beta(p-q+\gamma)(p/q)^{L-1}} \\ & - \sqrt{j^2 + \frac{4\alpha\beta\gamma\delta(p/q)^{L-1}(p-q)^2}{[\gamma(p-q-\beta) + \beta(p-q+\gamma)(p/q)^{L-1}]^2}} \\ & - \sqrt{j^2 + \frac{4\alpha\beta\gamma\delta(p/q)^{L-1}(p-q)}{[\gamma(p-q-\beta) + \beta(p-q+\gamma)(p/q)^{L-1}]^2}} \\ & + j\ln\left[\frac{1}{\gamma(p-q-\beta) + \beta(p-q+\gamma)(p/q)^{L-1}}\right] \\ & + j\ln\left[\frac{1}{\gamma(p-q-\beta) + \beta(p-q+\gamma)(p/q)^{L-1}}\right] \\ \end{split}$$

- satisfies GCS, independent of I, but boundary terms ignored

For boundary terms consider totally asymmetric ZRP, $w_n = 1$

- direct computation of complete LDF (no diagonalization --> inclusion of boundary terms)
- mapping to totally asymmetric simple exclusion process
- Bethe ansatz --> determinantal transition probabilities
- summation of determinants yields exact expression

current distribution input bond

$$p_0(j,t) \sim \mathrm{e}^{-t[lpha-j+j\ln(j/lpha)]}$$



Poisson, by definition of process

putput bond
$$p_1(j,t) \sim \begin{cases} e^{-t[\alpha-j+j\ln(j/\alpha)]} & j < \beta \end{cases}$$

output bond $p_1(J)$

$$(j,t) \sim \begin{cases} e^{-t[\alpha-j+j\ln(j/\alpha)]} & j < \beta \\ e^{-t[\alpha-j+j\ln(j/\alpha)]} \times e^{-t[\beta-j+j\ln(j/\beta)]} & j \ge \beta \end{cases}$$

- different from bond 0
- non-analytic behaviour at $j = \beta$

How can a mean current larger than exit rate be realized?

- requires previous build-up of large number of particles at site 1 (~t) followed by rapid extraction
- implies input/output are independent Poisson processes
 --> product form
- transient condensate through (rare) fluctuation
- causes non-analytic behaviour in tale of probability distribution (extreme events)
- mathematical: divergence of boundary term, possible because of unbounded local state



Conjecture for full lattice:

• Input bond

$$p_0(j,t) \sim e^{-t[\alpha-j+j\ln(j/\alpha)]}.$$

• Bulk bonds, $l \neq 0, L$

$$p_l(j,t) \sim \begin{cases} e^{-t[\alpha-j+j\ln(j/\alpha)]} & j < 1\\ e^{-t[\alpha-j+j\ln(j/\alpha)]} \times e^{-t(1-j+j\ln j)l} & j \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

• Output bond

$$p_L(j,t) \sim \begin{cases} e^{-t[\alpha-j+j\ln(j/\alpha)]} & j < \beta \\ e^{-t[\alpha-j+j\ln(j/\alpha)]} \times e^{-t[(\beta-j+j\ln(j/\beta)]} & \beta \le j < 1 \\ e^{-t[\alpha-j+j\ln(j/\alpha)]} \times e^{-t(1-j+j\ln j)(L-1)} \times e^{-t[\beta-j+j\ln(j/\beta)]} & j \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

- proof for small L by determinant formula obtained from Bethe ansatz

Exact expression for current distribution:

$$p_{l}(j,t) = \prod_{i=1}^{l+1} e^{-t(v_{i}-j\ln v_{i})} \\ \times \begin{vmatrix} D_{0}(jt,t) & D_{0}(jt-1,t) & \dots & D_{0}(jt-l+1,t) & D_{l+1}(jt-l,t) \\ D_{0}(jt+1,t) & D_{0}(jt,t) & \dots & D_{0}(jt-l+2,t) & D_{l+1}(jt-l+1,t) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ D_{0}(jt+l,t) & D_{0}(jt+l-1,t) & \dots & D_{0}(jt+1,t) & D_{l+1}(jt,t) \end{vmatrix}$$
with elements

$$D_s(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint e^{t/z} z^{x-1} \prod_{i=s+1}^{l+1} (1-v_i z)^{-1} dz.$$

- evaluation by steepest descent for finite L

Back to partially asymmetric ZRP

- take one site, b=0 for analytic calulation
- generate equilibrium with fugacity x
- change boundary parameters to non-equilibrium situation
- obtain different non-analyticities, depending both on j and x
- large deviation phase diagram
- validity of GCS only in restriced region, depending on preparation of system
- origin transient condensates



Simulation results for larger lattice:



- breaking of GCS persists
- measurable in Monte- Carlo simulations

5. Conclusions

Statistical Mechanics of extreme events yields:

- Fluctuation theorems through time reversal
- Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry may break down in "natural" setting
- Violation caused by transient condensation
- ==> dynamical mechanism underlying non-analytic change of extreme event identified
- Large deviation phase diagram
- ==> Large deviations, fluctuation theorems, extremal events should be studied together
- ==> Study of critical phenomena in extreme events

Acknowledgments

Thanks to:

• Weizmann Institute of Science

Mapping of single-file diffusion to zero range process:

• Label particles consecutively



- Map particle label to lattice site
- Map discretized interparticle distance to particle number

