Overview of Current Research in Gravitational Astronomy

International Centre for Theoretical Studies Bangalore, India, June 21, 2013

B.S. Sathyaprakash

School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, UK



 In the early part of the 20th century Einstein's theory of gravity made three predictions

- In the early part of the 20th century Einstein's theory of gravity made three predictions
 - The Universe was born out of nothing in a big bang everywhere

- In the early part of the 20th century Einstein's theory of gravity made three predictions
 - The Universe was born out of nothing in a big bang everywhere
 - Black holes are the ultimate fate of massive stars

- In the early part of the 20th century Einstein's theory of gravity made three predictions
 - The Universe was born out of nothing in a big bang everywhere
 - Black holes are the ultimate fate of massive stars
 - Gravitational waves are an inevitable consequence of any theory of gravity that is consistent with special relativity

- In the early part of the 20th century Einstein's theory of gravity made three predictions
 - The Universe was born out of nothing in a big bang everywhere
 - Black holes are the ultimate fate of massive stars
 - Gravitational waves are an inevitable consequence of any theory of gravity that is consistent with special relativity
- Today we have indirect evidence for all but have directly observed none

- In the early part of the 20th century Einstein's theory of gravity made three predictions
 - The Universe was born out of nothing in a big bang everywhere
 - Black holes are the ultimate fate of massive stars
 - Gravitational waves are an inevitable consequence of any theory of gravity that is consistent with special relativity
- Today we have indirect evidence for all but have directly observed none
- The key to observing the first two is the new tool that is provided by the last

- In the early part of the 20th century Einstein's theory of gravity made three predictions
 - The Universe was born out of nothing in a big bang everywhere
 - Black holes are the ultimate fate of massive stars
 - Gravitational waves are an inevitable consequence of any theory of gravity that is consistent with special relativity
- Today we have indirect evidence for all but have directly observed none
- The key to observing the first two is the new tool that is provided by the last
 - In this lecture we will discuss what gravitational waves are and how they can be used to explore the dark and dense Universe

• On the largest scales matter is electrically neutral

- On the largest scales matter is electrically neutral
 - Stars and galaxies feel only the gravitational field of other stars and galaxies

- On the largest scales matter is electrically neutral
 - Stars and galaxies feel only the gravitational field of other stars and galaxies
- So far, gravity has played a passive role in our exploration the Universe

- On the largest scales matter is electrically neutral
 - Stars and galaxies feel only the gravitational field of other stars and galaxies
- So far, gravity has played a passive role in our exploration the Universe
 - **But that is about to change**

- On the largest scales matter is electrically neutral
 - Stars and galaxies feel only the gravitational field of other stars and galaxies
- So far, gravity has played a passive role in our exploration the Universe
 - **But that is about to change**
- .⊱ Over the next decade we expect to open a new window on the Universe

- On the largest scales matter is electrically neutral
 - Stars and galaxies feel only the gravitational field of other stars and galaxies
- So far, gravity has played a passive role in our exploration the Universe
 - **But that is about to change**
- Over the next decade we expect to open a new window on the Universe
 - The gravitational window

- On the largest scales matter is electrically neutral
 - Stars and galaxies feel only the gravitational field of other stars and galaxies
- So far, gravity has played a passive role in our exploration the Universe
 - **But that is about to change**
- Over the next decade we expect to open a new window on the Universe
 - The gravitational window

 This lecture will take you on a tour of what this window is all about and what it might tell us about the Universe

What are Gravitational Waves?

In Newton's law of gravity the gravitational field satisfies the Poisson equation: $\nabla^2 \Phi(t, \mathbf{X}) = 4\pi C o(t, \mathbf{X})$

 $\nabla^2 \Phi(t, \mathbf{X}) = 4\pi G \rho(t, \mathbf{X})$

Gravitational field is described by a scalar field, the interaction is instantaneous and no gravitational waves.

In general relativity for weak gravitational fields, i.e.

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}, \quad |h_{\alpha\beta}| \ll 1$$

in Lorentz gauge, i.e. $\bar{h}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{,\beta} = 0$, Einstein's equations reduce to wave equations in the metric perturbation:

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \nabla^2\right)\bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} = -16\pi T^{\alpha\beta}.$$

Here $\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$ is the trace-reverse tensor.

Transverse_Traceless Gauge and Number of Degrees of Freedom

Plane_wave solutions:

 $\bar{h}^{\alpha\beta} = A^{\alpha\beta} \exp(2\pi i k_{\mu} x^{\mu}), \quad k_{\alpha} k^{\alpha} = 0$ Gravitational waves travel at the speed of light.

Gauge conditions imply that $A^{\alpha\beta}k_{\beta} = 0$. Further gauge conditions

1.
$$A^{0\beta} = 0 \implies A^{ij}k_j = 0$$
: Transverse wave; and

2. $A^{j}_{j} = 0$: Traceless wave amplitude.

For a wave traveling in the z-direction then $k_z = k$, $k_x = k_y = 0$. Gauge conditions, transversality and traceless conditions imply

$$A^{0\alpha} = A^{z\alpha} = 0, \ A^{xy} = A^{yx}, \ A^{yy} = -A^{xx}.$$

Only two independent amplitudes. Two independent degrees of freedom for polarization: plus_polarization and cross_polarization.

Tidal Effect of Gravitational Waves

In the TT gauge, the effect of a wave on a particle at rest

$$\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2}x^i = -\Gamma^i{}_{00} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(2h_{i0,0} - h_{00,i}\right) = 0.$$

So a particle at rest remains at rest. TT gauge is a coordinate system that is comoving with freely falling particles.

The waves have a tidal effect which can be seen by looking at the change in distance between two nearby freely falling particles:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2}\xi^i = R^i{}_{0j0}\xi^j = \frac{1}{2}h_{ij,00}\xi^j.$$

Isaacson showed that a spacetime with GW will have curvature with the corresponding Einstein tensor given by

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = 8\pi T^{(GW)}_{\alpha\beta} \qquad \qquad T^{(GW)}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{32\pi} h^{TT}_{\mu\nu,\alpha} h^{TT\mu\nu}_{\mu\nu,\beta}.$$

Tidal Gravitational Forces

- Gravitational effect of a distant source can only be felt through its tidal forces
- Gravitational waves are traveling, time_
 dependent tidal forces.
- Tidal forces scale with size, typically produce elliptical deformations.

Acceleration of the Moon's gravity on Earth. Length of arrow indicates size of acceleration.



acceleration at the <u>center</u> is the mean acceleration with which the solid Earth will fall. The acceleration of gravity due to the Moon is larger near the Moon and smaller further away.

Residual acceleration of the Moon's gravity, after subtracting the mean acceleration of the Earth.



GW Amplitude – Measure of Strain

- Gravitational waves cause a strain in space as they pass
- Measurement of the strain gives the amplitude of gravitational waves



Interferometric gravitational_wave detectors



Interferometric gravitational_wave detectors



Gravitational Wave Flux

Flux of gravitational waves can be shown to be

$$\langle T^{(GW)0z} \rangle = \frac{k^2}{32\pi} (A_+^2 + A_\times^2)$$

where $k = 2\pi f$ is the wave number. For a wave with an amplitude h in both polarizations the energy flux is

$$F_{gw} = \frac{\pi}{4} f^2 h^2$$
 $F_{gw} = 3 \text{ mW m}^{-2} \left[\frac{h}{1 \times 10^{-22}}\right]^2 \left[\frac{f}{1 \text{ kHz}}\right]^2$

This is a large flux (twice that of full Moon) for even a source with a very small amplitude! Integrating over a sphere of radius *r* and assuming that the signal lasts for a duration τ gives the amplitude in terms of energy in GW

$$h = 10^{-21} \left[\frac{E_{gw}}{0.01 M_{\odot} c^2} \right]^{1/2} \left[\frac{r}{20 \text{ Mpc}} \right]^{-1} \left[\frac{f}{1 \text{ kHz}} \right]^{-1} \left[\frac{\tau}{1 \text{ ms}} \right]^{-1/2}$$

Wednesday, 26 June 2013

Understanding Sources of Gravitational Waves

Post-Newtonian Approximation

- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2

- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit $v \rightarrow 0$ but correct to all orders in mass ratio v

- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit v \rightarrow 0 but correct to all orders in mass ratio ν
- Black hole perturbation theory

- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit v \rightarrow 0 but correct to all orders in mass ratio ν
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole

- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit v \rightarrow 0 but correct to all orders in mass ratio ν
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole
 - Correct to all orders in v but valid in the limit $\nu \to 0$

- ► Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit v \rightarrow 0 but correct to all orders in mass ratio ν
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole
 - Correct to all orders in v but valid in the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$
- Effective One-Body Formalism

- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit v \rightarrow 0 but correct to all orders in mass ratio ν
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole
 - Correct to all orders in v but valid in the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$
- Effective One-Body Formalism
 - An improved PN approximation that deploys clever resummation techniques

- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit v \rightarrow 0 but correct to all orders in mass ratio ν
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole
 - Correct to all orders in v but valid in the limit $\nu \to 0$
- Effective One-Body Formalism
 - An improved PN approximation that deploys clever resummation techniques
- Numerical Relativity Simulations
- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit v \rightarrow 0 but correct to all orders in mass ratio ν
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole
 - Correct to all orders in v but valid in the limit $\nu \to 0$
- Effective One-Body Formalism
 - An improved PN approximation that deploys clever resummation techniques
- ► Numerical Relativity Simulations
 - Exact numerical solution to Einstein Equations

- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit v \rightarrow 0 but correct to all orders in mass ratio ν
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole
 - Correct to all orders in v but valid in the limit $\nu \to 0$
- Effective One_Body Formalism
 - An improved PN approximation that deploys clever resummation techniques
- ► Numerical Relativity Simulations
 - Exact numerical solution to Einstein Equations
- Phenomenological Models

- ► Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit $v \rightarrow 0$ but correct to all orders in mass ratio v
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole
 - Correct to all orders in v but valid in the limit $\nu \to 0$
- Effective One-Body Formalism
 - An improved PN approximation that deploys clever resummation techniques
- Numerical Relativity Simulations
 - Exact numerical solution to Einstein Equations
- Phenomenological Models
 - Fitting numerical relativity simulations with

- ► Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit v \rightarrow 0 but correct to all orders in mass ratio ν
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole
 - Correct to all orders in v but valid in the limit $\nu \to 0$
- Effective One-Body Formalism
 - An improved PN approximation that deploys clever resummation techniques
- Numerical Relativity Simulations
 - Exact numerical solution to Einstein Equations
- Phenomenological Models
 - Fitting numerical relativity simulations with
- Hybrid Models

- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit $v \rightarrow 0$ but correct to all orders in mass ratio v
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole
 - Correct to all orders in v but valid in the limit $\nu \to 0$
- Effective One-Body Formalism
 - An improved PN approximation that deploys clever resummation techniques
- Numerical Relativity Simulations
 - Exact numerical solution to Einstein Equations
- Phenomenological Models
 - Fitting numerical relativity simulations with
- Hybrid Models
 - A mixture of post-Newtonian, NR and phenomenological approach

- Post-Newtonian Approximation
 - An expansion of the Einstein Equations in the small parameter (v/c) or in GM/ c^2
 - Valid in the limit v \rightarrow 0 but correct to all orders in mass ratio ν
- Black hole perturbation theory
 - A test particle in the field of a Kerr black hole
 - Correct to all orders in v but valid in the limit $\nu \to 0$
- Effective One-Body Formalism
 - An improved PN approximation that deploys clever resummation techniques
- Numerical Relativity Simulations
 - Exact numerical solution to Einstein Equations
- Phenomenological Models
 - Fitting numerical relativity simulations with
- Hybrid Models
 - A mixture of post-Newtonian, NR and phenomenological approach
- Field Theoretical Approach



• The signal from a binary black hole is characterized by



• The signal from a binary black hole is characterized by

 slow adiabatic inspiral – the two bodies slowly spiral in towards each other; dynamics well described by post_Newtonian approximation



• The signal from a binary black hole is characterized by

- slow adiabatic inspiral the two bodies slowly spiral in towards each other; dynamics well described by post_Newtonian approximation
- fast and luminous merger phase; requires numerical solutions to Einstein equations



• The signal from a binary black hole is characterized by

- slow adiabatic inspiral the two bodies slowly spiral in towards each other; dynamics well described by post_Newtonian approximation
- fast and luminous merger phase; requires numerical solutions to Einstein equations
- rapid ringdown phase; newly black hole emits quasi_normal radiation



• The signal from a binary black hole is characterized by

- slow adiabatic inspiral the two bodies slowly spiral in towards each other; dynamics well described by post_Newtonian approximation
- fast and luminous merger phase; requires numerical solutions to Einstein equations
- rapid ringdown phase; newly black hole emits quasi_normal radiation
- The shape of the signal contains information about the binary



• • • • •

Two-Body Problem in General Relativity: Application of Various Methods



• Two parameters determine the range of validity of each method:

$$rac{G \, m}{r_{12} \, c^2} \sim rac{v^2}{c^2} \,, \quad rac{m_2}{m_1}$$

 EOB formalism can incorporate results of different methods.
It can span the entire parameter and provide GW detectors with faithful templates.

 Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful

- Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful
 - For most binaries waveform is well_described by PN approximation

- Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful
 - For most binaries waveform is well_described by PN approximation
 - It is quite remarkable that post_Newtonian approximation agrees so well with NR simulations

- Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful
 - For most binaries waveform is well_described by PN approximation
 - It is quite remarkable that post_Newtonian approximation agrees so well with NR simulations
 - "Unreasonable accuracy of post-Newtonian approximation" Cliff Will

- Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful
 - For most binaries waveform is well_described by PN approximation
 - It is quite remarkable that post_Newtonian approximation agrees so well with NR simulations
 - "Unreasonable accuracy of post-Newtonian approximation" Cliff Will
- However, there are systems for which PN approximation is just not good enough

- Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful
 - For most binaries waveform is well_described by PN approximation
 - It is quite remarkable that post_Newtonian approximation agrees so well with NR simulations
 - "Unreasonable accuracy of post-Newtonian approximation" Cliff Will
- However, there are systems for which PN approximation is just not good enough
 - Mixed binaries consisting of neutron stars and black holes

- Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful
 - For most binaries waveform is well_described by PN approximation
 - It is quite remarkable that post_Newtonian approximation agrees so well with NR simulations
 - "Unreasonable accuracy of post-Newtonian approximation" Cliff Will
- However, there are systems for which PN approximation is just not good enough
 - Mixed binaries consisting of neutron stars and black holes
 - Neutron star is essentially non_spinning but black hole has a large spin

- Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful
 - For most binaries waveform is well_described by PN approximation
 - It is quite remarkable that post_Newtonian approximation agrees so well with NR simulations
 - "Unreasonable accuracy of post-Newtonian approximation" Cliff Will
- However, there are systems for which PN approximation is just not good enough
 - Mixed binaries consisting of neutron stars and black holes
 - Neutron star is essentially non_spinning but black hole has a large spin
 - Spin_orbit coupling makes the orbit precess

- Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful
 - For most binaries waveform is well_described by PN approximation
 - It is quite remarkable that post_Newtonian approximation agrees so well with NR simulations
 - "Unreasonable accuracy of post-Newtonian approximation" Cliff Will
- However, there are systems for which PN approximation is just not good enough
 - Mixed binaries consisting of neutron stars and black holes
 - Neutron star is essentially non_spinning but black hole has a large spin
 - Spin_orbit coupling makes the orbit precess
 - Different PN approximations differ widely when this happens

- Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful
 - For most binaries waveform is well_described by PN approximation
 - It is quite remarkable that post_Newtonian approximation agrees so well with NR simulations
 - "Unreasonable accuracy of post-Newtonian approximation" Cliff Will
- However, there are systems for which PN approximation is just not good enough
 - Mixed binaries consisting of neutron stars and black holes
 - Neutron star is essentially non_spinning but black hole has a large spin
 - Spin_orbit coupling makes the orbit precess
 - Different PN approximations differ widely when this happens
 - When the signal_to_noise ratio is large, e.g. eLISA, we will begin to see departures of PN from observations

- Post_Newtonian approximation has been remarkably successful
 - For most binaries waveform is well_described by PN approximation
 - It is quite remarkable that post_Newtonian approximation agrees so well with NR simulations
 - "Unreasonable accuracy of post-Newtonian approximation" Cliff Will
- However, there are systems for which PN approximation is just not good enough
 - Mixed binaries consisting of neutron stars and black holes
 - Neutron star is essentially non_spinning but black hole has a large spin
 - Spin_orbit coupling makes the orbit precess
 - Different PN approximations differ widely when this happens
 - When the signal_to_noise ratio is large, e.g. eLISA, we will begin to see departures of PN from observations
 - Need long NR simulations and better analytical models

• Merger phase of binary neutron stars

- Merger phase of binary neutron stars
 - Tidal ripping, bar mode instability, EM afterglows, off_axis emissions

- Merger phase of binary neutron stars
 - Tidal ripping, bar mode instability, EM afterglows, off_axis emissions
- Inspiral and merger of neutron star_black hole binaries

- Merger phase of binary neutron stars
 - Fidal ripping, bar mode instability, EM afterglows, off_axis emissions
- Inspiral and merger of neutron star_black hole binaries
 - Cumulative effect of black hole spin, precession of the orbital plane

- Merger phase of binary neutron stars
 - Tidal ripping, bar mode instability, EM afterglows, off_axis emissions
- Inspiral and merger of neutron star_black hole binaries
 - Cumulative effect of black hole spin, precession of the orbital plane
- Merger phase of binary black holes

- Merger phase of binary neutron stars
 - Fidal ripping, bar mode instability, EM afterglows, off_axis emissions
- Inspiral and merger of neutron star_black hole binaries
 - Cumulative effect of black hole spin, precession of the orbital plane
- Merger phase of binary black holes
 - Effect of spin_orbit and spin_spin couplings

- Merger phase of binary neutron stars
 - Fidal ripping, bar mode instability, EM afterglows, off_axis emissions
- Inspiral and merger of neutron star_black hole binaries
 - Cumulative effect of black hole spin, precession of the orbital plane
- Merger phase of binary black holes
 - Effect of spin_orbit and spin_spin couplings
 - Quasi_normal mode excitation and the spectrum of modes for different binary configurations

- Merger phase of binary neutron stars
 - Tidal ripping, bar mode instability, EM afterglows, off_axis emissions
- Inspiral and merger of neutron star_black hole binaries
 - Cumulative effect of black hole spin, precession of the orbital plane
- Merger phase of binary black holes
 - Effect of spin_orbit and spin_spin couplings
 - Quasi_normal mode excitation and the spectrum of modes for different binary configurations
 - Phenomenological waveforms, effective one_body model, numerical simulations

- Merger phase of binary neutron stars
 - Fidal ripping, bar mode instability, EM afterglows, off_axis emissions
- Inspiral and merger of neutron star_black hole binaries
 - Cumulative effect of black hole spin, precession of the orbital plane
- Merger phase of binary black holes
 - Effect of spin_orbit and spin_spin couplings
 - Quasi_normal mode excitation and the spectrum of modes for different binary configurations
 - Phenomenological waveforms, effective one_body model, numerical simulations
- Supernovae

- Merger phase of binary neutron stars
 - Tidal ripping, bar mode instability, EM afterglows, off_axis emissions
- Inspiral and merger of neutron star_black hole binaries
 - Cumulative effect of black hole spin, precession of the orbital plane
- Merger phase of binary black holes
 - Effect of spin_orbit and spin_spin couplings
 - Quasi_normal mode excitation and the spectrum of modes for different binary configurations
 - Phenomenological waveforms, effective one_body model, numerical simulations

•⊱ Supernovae

Full 3_D simulations including all the necessary physical effects
 (magneto_hydrodynamics, spin, neutrino viscosity, general relativity)
Numerical Relativity

- Merger phase of binary neutron stars
 - Fidal ripping, bar mode instability, EM afterglows, off_axis emissions
- Inspiral and merger of neutron star_black hole binaries
 - Cumulative effect of black hole spin, precession of the orbital plane
- Merger phase of binary black holes
 - Effect of spin_orbit and spin_spin couplings
 - Quasi_normal mode excitation and the spectrum of modes for different binary configurations
 - Phenomenological waveforms, effective one_body model, numerical simulations

•⊱ Supernovae

- Full 3_D simulations including all the necessary physical effects
 (magneto_hydrodynamics, spin, neutrino viscosity, general relativity)
- Small black holes and neutron stars falling into big black holes

Analytical Models of Inspiral and Merger

Analytical Models of Inspiral and Merger



 A network of gravitational wave detectors is always on and sensitive to most of the sky





- A network of gravitational wave detectors is always on and sensitive to most of the sky
- Signals can be milliseconds
 long or last for years





- A network of gravitational wave detectors is always on and sensitive to most of the sky
- Signals can be milliseconds long or last for years
- Multiple signals could be in band but with different amplitudes





- A network of gravitational wave detectors is always on and sensitive to most of the sky
- Signals can be milliseconds
 long or last for years
- Multiple signals could be in band but with different amplitudes
- We can integrate and build SNR by coherently tracking signals in phase but





- A network of gravitational wave detectors is always on and sensitive to most of the sky
- Signals can be milliseconds
 long or last for years
- Multiple signals could be in band but with different amplitudes
- We can integrate and build SNR by coherently tracking signals in phase but
 - Requires good algorithms



- A network of gravitational wave detectors is always on and sensitive to most of the sky
- Signals can be milliseconds
 long or last for years
- Multiple signals could be in band but with different amplitudes
- We can integrate and build SNR by coherently tracking signals in phase but
 - Requires good algorithms
 - A lot computational power





- A network of gravitational wave detectors is always on and sensitive to most of the sky
- Signals can be milliseconds
 long or last for years
- Multiple signals could be in band but with different amplitudes
- We can integrate and build SNR by coherently tracking signals in phase but
 - Requires good algorithms
 - A lot computational power
 - Discrimination between noise and signal





Sources in advanced detectors



Sources in Einstein Telescope



1

Wednesday, 26 June 2013

Current Status of Gravitational Observations: Science Runs: LIGO S1-S6 and VSR 1-3



Virgo Science Run-2



G070221-00-Z

LIGO S6 Sensitivity



Coherent search algorithms

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)
- Template placement for generic signals and in arbitrary dimensions

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)
- Template placement for generic signals and in arbitrary dimensions
- Efficient parameter estimation methods

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)
- Template placement for generic signals and in arbitrary dimensions
- Efficient parameter estimation methods
- Searches for compact binaries in more than 2 dimensions

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)
- Template placement for generic signals and in arbitrary dimensions
- Efficient parameter estimation methods
- Searches for compact binaries in more than 2 dimensions
 - Including the effect of spins on orbital dynamics, spin evolution

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)
- Template placement for generic signals and in arbitrary dimensions
- Efficient parameter estimation methods
- Searches for compact binaries in more than 2 dimensions
 - Including the effect of spins on orbital dynamics, spin evolution
 - Eccentric orbits and higher harmonics

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)
- Template placement for generic signals and in arbitrary dimensions
- Efficient parameter estimation methods
- Searches for compact binaries in more than 2 dimensions
 - Including the effect of spins on orbital dynamics, spin evolution
 - Eccentric orbits and higher harmonics
- Importance of higher_order post_Newtonian corrections

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)
- Template placement for generic signals and in arbitrary dimensions
- Efficient parameter estimation methods
- Searches for compact binaries in more than 2 dimensions
 - Including the effect of spins on orbital dynamics, spin evolution
 - Eccentric orbits and higher harmonics
- Importance of higher_order post_Newtonian corrections
 - In GW searches and parameter estimation

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)
- Template placement for generic signals and in arbitrary dimensions
- Efficient parameter estimation methods
- Searches for compact binaries in more than 2 dimensions
 - Including the effect of spins on orbital dynamics, spin evolution
 - Eccentric orbits and higher harmonics
- Importance of higher_order post_Newtonian corrections
 - In GW searches and parameter estimation
- Estimating the background and false alarm rate

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)
- Template placement for generic signals and in arbitrary dimensions
- Efficient parameter estimation methods
- Searches for compact binaries in more than 2 dimensions
 - Including the effect of spins on orbital dynamics, spin evolution
 - Eccentric orbits and higher harmonics
- Importance of higher_order post_Newtonian corrections
 - In GW searches and parameter estimation
- Estimating the background and false alarm rate
- Analysing data sets that are hours or days long

- Coherent search algorithms
 - Sub_optimal techniques (e.g. hierarchical methods, time_frequency analysis, etc.)
- Template placement for generic signals and in arbitrary dimensions
- Efficient parameter estimation methods
- Searches for compact binaries in more than 2 dimensions
 - Including the effect of spins on orbital dynamics, spin evolution
 - Eccentric orbits and higher harmonics
- Importance of higher_order post_Newtonian corrections
 - In GW searches and parameter estimation
- Estimating the background and false alarm rate
- Analysing data sets that are hours or days long
- Algorithms for parameter estimation when multiple signals are present

- •⊱ Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals

- •⊱ Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals
 - Matched filtering greatly improves signal visibility

- •⊱ Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals
 - Matched filtering greatly improves signal visibility
 - Searching for unknown transients
- •⊱ Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals
 - Matched filtering greatly improves signal visibility
 - Searching for unknown transients
 - Look for excess power in time_frequency domain

- •⊱ Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals
 - Matched filtering greatly improves signal visibility
 - Searching for unknown transients
 - Look for excess power in time_frequency domain
 - Not much difference between the two methods when the number of cycles ~ few

- •⊱ Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals
 - Matched filtering greatly improves signal visibility
 - Searching for unknown transients
 - Look for excess power in time_frequency domain
 - Not much difference between the two methods when the number of cycles ~ few
- •⊱ Taking advantage of detector networks

- •⊱ Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals
 - Matched filtering greatly improves signal visibility
 - Searching for unknown transients
 - Look for excess power in time_frequency domain
 - Not much difference between the two methods when the number of cycles ~ few
- •⊱ Taking advantage of detector networks
 - Coincidence Searches: Cheaper and easier method

- Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals
 - Matched filtering greatly improves signal visibility
 - Searching for unknown transients
 - Look for excess power in time_frequency domain
 - Not much difference between the two methods when the number of cycles ~ few
- •⊱ Taking advantage of detector networks
 - Coincidence Searches: Cheaper and easier method
 - Separately analyse data from different detectors and look for coincidences

- •⊱ Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals
 - Matched filtering greatly improves signal visibility
 - Searching for unknown transients
 - Look for excess power in time_frequency domain
 - Not much difference between the two methods when the number of cycles ~ few
- •⊱ Taking advantage of detector networks
 - Coincidence Searches: Cheaper and easier method
 - Separately analyse data from different detectors and look for coincidences
 - Coherent searches: Computationally and algorithmically more challenging

- •⊱ Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals
 - Matched filtering greatly improves signal visibility
 - Searching for unknown transients
 - Look for excess power in time_frequency domain
 - Not much difference between the two methods when the number of cycles ~ few
- •⊱ Taking advantage of detector networks
 - Coincidence Searches: Cheaper and easier method
 - Separately analyse data from different detectors and look for coincidences
 - Coherent searches: Computationally and algorithmically more challenging
 - Coherent integration of data from different detectors

- •⊱ Taking advantage of signal structure
 - Searching for known signals
 - Matched filtering greatly improves signal visibility
 - Searching for unknown transients
 - Look for excess power in time_frequency domain
 - Not much difference between the two methods when the number of cycles ~ few
- •⊱ Taking advantage of detector networks
 - Coincidence Searches: Cheaper and easier method
 - Separately analyse data from different detectors and look for coincidences
 - Coherent searches: Computationally and algorithmically more challenging
 - Coherent integration of data from different detectors
 - Current searches for compact binary coalescences are coincident searches; effort is required to implement fully coherent search





• Compact binary coalescences





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches
- Unmodelled bursts of radiation





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches
- Unmodelled bursts of radiation
 - Un_triggered searches





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches
- Unmodelled bursts of radiation
 - •> Un_triggered searches
 - Searches triggered by gamma_ray bursts, pulsar glitches, supernovae, etc.





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches
- Unmodelled bursts of radiation
 - •> Un_triggered searches
 - Searches triggered by gamma_ray bursts, pulsar glitches, supernovae, etc.
- Continuous waves from rapidly rotating neutron stars





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches
- Unmodelled bursts of radiation
 - •> Un_triggered searches
 - Searches triggered by gamma_ray bursts, pulsar glitches, supernovae, etc.
- Continuous waves from rapidly rotating neutron stars
 - Radiation from known pulsars





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches
- Unmodelled bursts of radiation
 - •> Un_triggered searches
 - Searches triggered by gamma_ray bursts, pulsar glitches, supernovae, etc.
- Continuous waves from rapidly rotating neutron stars
 - Radiation from known pulsars
 - All sky, blind searches





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches
- Unmodelled bursts of radiation
 - •> Un_triggered searches
 - Searches triggered by gamma_ray bursts, pulsar glitches, supernovae, etc.
- Continuous waves from rapidly rotating neutron stars
 - Radiation from known pulsars
 - All sky, blind searches
 - Pulsars in binary systems





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches
- Unmodelled bursts of radiation
 - •> Un_triggered searches
 - Searches triggered by gamma_ray bursts, pulsar glitches, supernovae, etc.
- Continuous waves from rapidly rotating neutron stars
 - Radiation from known pulsars
 - All sky, blind searches
 - Pulsars in binary systems
- Stochastic radiation





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches
- Unmodelled bursts of radiation
 - •> Un_triggered searches
 - Searches triggered by gamma_ray bursts, pulsar glitches, supernovae, etc.
- Continuous waves from rapidly rotating neutron stars
 - Radiation from known pulsars
 - All sky, blind searches
 - Pulsars in binary systems
- Stochastic radiation
 - Isotropic searches





- Compact binary coalescences
 - Binary neutron stars low-mass searches
 - Binary black holes high–mass searches
- Unmodelled bursts of radiation
 - •> Un_triggered searches
 - Searches triggered by gamma_ray bursts, pulsar glitches, supernovae, etc.
- Continuous waves from rapidly rotating neutron stars
 - Radiation from known pulsars
 - All sky, blind searches
 - Pulsars in binary systems
- Stochastic radiation
 - Isotropic searches
 - Directed searches





Inspiral Search Pipeline



Wednesday, 26 June 2013

Inspiral Search Pipeline



Matched filtering using inspiral phase of the signal

- Matched filtering using inspiral phase of the signal
 - Merger phase of the signal is out of detector's sensitivity band

- Matched filtering using inspiral phase of the signal
 - Merger phase of the signal is out of detector's sensitivity band
 - Spins are not important and they are neglected both in the searches and computing upper limits

- Matched filtering using inspiral phase of the signal
 - Merger phase of the signal is out of detector's sensitivity band
 - Spins are not important and they are neglected both in the searches and computing upper limits
- Search in the two_dimensional space of the two component masses

- Matched filtering using inspiral phase of the signal
 - Merger phase of the signal is out of detector's sensitivity band
 - Spins are not important and they are neglected both in the searches and computing upper limits
- Search in the two_dimensional space of the two component masses
 - Component masses varied from 1 to 24 solar masses

- Matched filtering using inspiral phase of the signal
 - Merger phase of the signal is out of detector's sensitivity band
 - Spins are not important and they are neglected both in the searches and computing upper limits
- Search in the two_dimensional space of the two component masses
 - Component masses varied from 1 to 24 solar masses
 - •⊱ Total mass from 2 to 25 solar masses

Distance Reach of the Various Detectors



S6 / VSR3 Big Dog Event



Wednesday, 26 June 2013

Binary Neutron Star Searches: Rate Upper Limits



Wednesday, 26 June 2013

Neutron Star-Black Hole Searches: Rate Upper Limits



Upper Limits Compared to Predicted Rates



Wednesday, 26 June 2013
Effective one_body waveforms are used in a matched filter search

- Effective one_body waveforms are used in a matched filter search
 - Search space is still two_dimensional but spins are included in computing the upper limits

- Effective one_body waveforms are used in a matched filter search
 - Search space is still two_dimensional but spins are included in computing the upper limits
- Heavier binaries consisting of either neutron stars or black holes

- Effective one_body waveforms are used in a matched filter search
 - Search space is still two_dimensional but spins are included in computing the upper limits
- Heavier binaries consisting of either neutron stars or black holes
 - Component masses varied from 1 to 99 solar masses

- Effective one_body waveforms are used in a matched filter search
 - Search space is still two_dimensional but spins are included in computing the upper limits
- Heavier binaries consisting of either neutron stars or black holes
 - Component masses varied from 1 to 99 solar masses
 - Total mass from 25 to 100 solar masses

- Effective one_body waveforms are used in a matched filter search
 - Search space is still two_dimensional but spins are included in computing the upper limits
- Heavier binaries consisting of either neutron stars or black holes
 - Component masses varied from 1 to 99 solar masses
 - Total mass from 25 to 100 solar masses
 - Complementary to the low_mass search

Distance Reach during S6



Rate upper limit: per Mpc³ per Myr



Wednesday, 26 June 2013

 Black holes so massive that they cannot form via the usual evolution of massive stars are called Intermediate Mass Black Holes

- Black holes so massive that they cannot form via the usual evolution of massive stars are called Intermediate Mass Black Holes
 - •⊱ Could be of 50_1000 solar masses

- Black holes so massive that they cannot form via the usual evolution of massive stars are called Intermediate Mass Black Holes
 - •⊱ Could be of 50_1000 solar masses
- ⋅ We don't know if IMBHs exist in the Universe, let alone IMBBH

- Black holes so massive that they cannot form via the usual evolution of massive stars are called Intermediate Mass Black Holes
 - •⊱ Could be of 50_1000 solar masses
- ⋅ We don't know if IMBHs exist in the Universe, let alone IMBBH
 - Believed to be hosts of astronomical candidates: Ultra-luminous X-ray sources

- Black holes so massive that they cannot form via the usual evolution of massive stars are called Intermediate Mass Black Holes
 - •⊱ Could be of 50_1000 solar masses
- ⋅ We don't know if IMBHs exist in the Universe, let alone IMBBH
 - Believed to be hosts of astronomical candidates: Ultra-luminous X-ray sources
 - Great interest also in cosmology as they could be the first seed black holes

- Black holes so massive that they cannot form via the usual evolution of massive stars are called Intermediate Mass Black Holes
 - •⊱ Could be of 50_1000 solar masses
- We don't know if IMBHs exist in the Universe, let alone IMBBH
 - Believed to be hosts of astronomical candidates: Ultra-luminous
 X-ray sources
 - Great interest also in cosmology as they could be the first seed black holes
- Signals are very short: typically less than 1 second in bad

- Black holes so massive that they cannot form via the usual evolution of massive stars are called Intermediate Mass Black Holes
 - •⊱ Could be of 50_1000 solar masses
- ⋅ We don't know if IMBHs exist in the Universe, let alone IMBBH
 - Believed to be hosts of astronomical candidates: Ultra-luminous X-ray sources
 - Great interest also in cosmology as they could be the first seed black holes
- Signals are very short: typically less than 1 second in bad
- •⊱ Searched for using the burst method

Effective Range of HLV in Mpc



Rate upper limit: per Mpc³ per Myr



• **⊱** Receive triggers from gamma_ray satellites

- •⊱ Receive triggers from gamma_ray satellites
- Progenitors of gamma_ray bursts could be:

- •⊱ Receive triggers from gamma_ray satellites
- Progenitors of gamma_ray bursts could be:
 - Short hard bursts that last 2 seconds or less

- •⊱ Receive triggers from gamma_ray satellites
- Progenitors of gamma_ray bursts could be:
 - Short hard bursts that last 2 seconds or less
 - Long soft burst that last more than 2 seconds

- •⊱ Receive triggers from gamma_ray satellites
- Progenitors of gamma_ray bursts could be:
 - Short hard bursts that last 2 seconds or less
 - Long soft burst that last more than 2 seconds

- •⊱ Receive triggers from gamma_ray satellites
- Progenitors of gamma_ray bursts could be:
 - Short hard bursts that last 2 seconds or less
 - Long soft burst that last more than 2 seconds
- •⊱ Two search methods employed
 - Search for binary neutron star inspirals

- •⊱ Receive triggers from gamma_ray satellites
- Progenitors of gamma_ray bursts could be:
 - Short hard bursts that last 2 seconds or less
 - Long soft burst that last more than 2 seconds
- •⊱ Two search methods employed
 - Search for binary neutron star inspirals
 - un_modelled bursts around the time of the gamma_ray trigger

- •⊱ Receive triggers from gamma_ray satellites
- Progenitors of gamma_ray bursts could be:
 - Short hard bursts that last 2 seconds or less
 - Long soft burst that last more than 2 seconds
- •⊱ Two search methods employed
 - Search for binary neutron star inspirals
 - un_modelled bursts around the time of the gamma_ray trigger
- Since the search makes use of a window of data only a few minutes long the search sensitivity is better

- •⊱ Receive triggers from gamma_ray satellites
- Progenitors of gamma_ray bursts could be:
 - Short hard bursts that last 2 seconds or less
 - Long soft burst that last more than 2 seconds
- •⊱ Two search methods employed
 - Search for binary neutron star inspirals
 - un_modelled bursts around the time of the gamma_ray trigger
- Since the search makes use of a window of data only a few minutes long the search sensitivity is better
 - Inspiral searches can use fully coherent search algorithms to further improve upon sensitivity

Origin of GRB 070201 from LIGO Observations

- LSC searched for binary inspirals and did not find any events: results in ApJ 681 1419 2008
- Null inspiral search result
 excludes binary progenitor in
 M31
- Soft Gamma_ray Repeater (SGR) models predict energy release
 <= 10⁴⁶ ergs.
- SGR not excluded by GW limits





LETTERS

An upper limit on the stochastic gravitational-wave background of cosmological origin

The LIGO Scientific Collaboration* & The Virgo Collaboration*

Stochastic background

- Metric fluctuations carry energy:
- $\rho_{GW} = \frac{c^2}{32\pi G} < \dot{h}_{ab} \dot{h}^{ab} >$ Characterize by frequency dependence: $\Omega_{GW}(f) = \frac{1}{\rho_c} \frac{d\rho_{GW}(f)}{d\ln f}$ Describe in terms of strain power spectrum $S(f) = \frac{3H_0^2}{10\pi^2} \frac{\Omega_{GW}(f)}{f^3}$ • Strain scale: $h(f) = 6.3 \times 10^{-22} \sqrt{\Omega_{GW}(f)} \left(\frac{100 \text{ Hz}}{f}\right)^{3/2} \text{ Hz}^{-1/2}$

Searching for a Stochastic Background

$$\Omega_{\rm gw}(f) = \frac{1}{\rho_{\rm crit}} \frac{d\rho_{\rm gw}}{d\ln f}$$

• Nucleosynthesis upper_limit

$$\int \frac{df}{f} \Omega_{\rm gw}(f) \lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-5}.$$

 Upper limit from LIGO data from the 4th Science run

 $\Omega_{\rm gw}(f) < 6.5 \times 10^{-5}$

 Data from the 5th science run has improved this better than the nucleosynthesis limit

$$\Omega_{\rm GW} < 6.9 \times 10^{-6}$$

LSC, Astrophys. J. 659 (2007) 918



Wednesday, 26 June 2013

Searches for Continuous Waves

Searches for Continuous Waves

•⊱ Searching for CW should have been trivial

Searches for Continuous Waves

- •⊱ Searching for CW should have been trivial
 - Just take the Fourier transform of the signal and look for peaks
- Searching for CW should have been trivial
 - Just take the Fourier transform of the signal and look for peaks
- However, this is arguably the most computationally expensive search

- Searching for CW should have been trivial
 - Just take the Fourier transform of the signal and look for peaks
- However, this is arguably the most computationally expensive search
 - Earth's spin and rotation about the sun causes amplitude and phase modulations

- Searching for CW should have been trivial
 - Just take the Fourier transform of the signal and look for peaks
- However, this is arguably the most computationally expensive search
 - Earth's spin and rotation about the sun causes amplitude and phase modulations
- Different methods are used in searches

- Searching for CW should have been trivial
 - Just take the Fourier transform of the signal and look for peaks
- However, this is arguably the most computationally expensive search
 - Earth's spin and rotation about the sun causes amplitude and phase modulations
- Different methods are used in searches
 - Fully coherent searches for known pulsars (also make use of radio data to follow the EM signal)

- Searching for CW should have been trivial
 - Just take the Fourier transform of the signal and look for peaks
- However, this is arguably the most computationally expensive search
 - Earth's spin and rotation about the sun causes amplitude and phase modulations
- Different methods are used in searches
 - Fully coherent searches for known pulsars (also make use of radio data to follow the EM signal)
 - Semi_coherent and hierarchical search methods for blind searches

- Searching for CW should have been trivial
 - Just take the Fourier transform of the signal and look for peaks
- However, this is arguably the most computationally expensive search
 - Earth's spin and rotation about the sun causes amplitude and phase modulations
- Different methods are used in searches
 - Fully coherent searches for known pulsars (also make use of radio data to follow the EM signal)
 - Semi_coherent and hierarchical search methods for blind searches
 - Einstein@HOME one of the most successful project that uses public volunteered computational resources to get in excess of 100's of TFLOPS for GW searches

Spin-down limit on the Crab pulsar

- 2 kpc away, formed in a spectacular supernova in 1054 AD
- Losing energy in the form of particles and radiation, leading to its spin_down

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm spin \ frequency \ of \ } \nu = 29.78 \, {\rm Hz} \\ {\rm spin-down \ rate, \ } \dot{\nu} \approx -3.7 \times 10^{-10} \, {\rm Hz \ s^{-1}} \\ \dot{E} = 4 \pi^2 I_{zz} \nu |\dot{\nu}| \approx 4.4 \times 10^{31} \, {\rm W} \\ h_0^{\rm sd} = 8.06 \times 10^{-19} \, I_{38} r_{\rm kpc}^{-1} (|\dot{\nu}|/\nu)^{1/2} \end{array}$

- We have searched for gravitational waves in data from the fifth science run of LIGO detectors
- The search did not find any gravitational waves
- Lack of GW at S5 sensitivity means a limit on ellipticity a factor 4 better than spin-down upper limit - less than 4% of energy in GW

$$h_0^{95\%} = 3.4 \times 10^{-25}$$
. $\varepsilon = 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$

LSC, ApJ Lett., 683, (2008) 45





Wednesday, 26 June 2013

Challenges of Gravitational Astronomy

Wednesday, 26 June 2013

• Testing general relativity from GW observations

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source
 - Wave generation formula beyond the quadrupole approximation

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - +> How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source
 - Wave generation formula beyond the quadrupole approximation
 - Testing alternative theories of gravity

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source
 - Wave generation formula beyond the quadrupole approximation
 - Testing alternative theories of gravity
- What can GW observations say about astrophysical models of the formation and evolution of compact object binaries?

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source
 - Wave generation formula beyond the quadrupole approximation
 - Testing alternative theories of gravity
- What can GW observations say about astrophysical models of the formation and evolution of compact object binaries?
 - What are the expected mass and spin distributions of binary mergers and how do they differ from one model to another

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source
 - Wave generation formula beyond the quadrupole approximation
 - Testing alternative theories of gravity
- What can GW observations say about astrophysical models of the formation and evolution of compact object binaries?
 - What are the expected mass and spin distributions of binary mergers and how do they differ from one model to another
 - Is there a gap in the distribution of masses of neutron stars and black holes and can future
 GW observations measure this gap?

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source
 - Wave generation formula beyond the quadrupole approximation
 - Testing alternative theories of gravity
- What can GW observations say about astrophysical models of the formation and evolution of compact object binaries?
 - What are the expected mass and spin distributions of binary mergers and how do they differ from one model to another
 - Is there a gap in the distribution of masses of neutron stars and black holes and can future
 GW observations measure this gap?
 - What is the mechanism behind core_collapse supernovae, especially core bounce and shock revival when a black hole forms?

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source
 - Wave generation formula beyond the quadrupole approximation
 - Testing alternative theories of gravity
- What can GW observations say about astrophysical models of the formation and evolution of compact object binaries?
 - What are the expected mass and spin distributions of binary mergers and how do they differ from one model to another
 - Is there a gap in the distribution of masses of neutron stars and black holes and can future
 GW observations measure this gap?
 - What is the mechanism behind core_collapse supernovae, especially core bounce and shock revival when a black hole forms?
- Measuring the neutron star equation of state from binary neutron star mergers

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source
 - Wave generation formula beyond the quadrupole approximation
 - Testing alternative theories of gravity
- What can GW observations say about astrophysical models of the formation and evolution of compact object binaries?
 - What are the expected mass and spin distributions of binary mergers and how do they differ from one model to another
 - Is there a gap in the distribution of masses of neutron stars and black holes and can future
 GW observations measure this gap?
 - What is the mechanism behind core_collapse supernovae, especially core bounce and shock revival when a black hole forms?
- Measuring the neutron star equation of state from binary neutron star mergers
- How well can we measure cosmological parameters with GW observations?

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source
 - Wave generation formula beyond the quadrupole approximation
 - Testing alternative theories of gravity
- What can GW observations say about astrophysical models of the formation and evolution of compact object binaries?
 - What are the expected mass and spin distributions of binary mergers and how do they differ from one model to another
 - Is there a gap in the distribution of masses of neutron stars and black holes and can future
 GW observations measure this gap?
 - What is the mechanism behind core_collapse supernovae, especially core bounce and shock revival when a black hole forms?
- Measuring the neutron star equation of state from binary neutron star mergers
- How well can we measure cosmological parameters with GW observations?
- Following_up GW events with astronomical telescopes

- Testing general relativity from GW observations
 - How best can we deploy GW data for strong field tests of general relativity
 - Black hole no_hair theorems
 - Measuring the moments of the source
 - Wave generation formula beyond the quadrupole approximation
 - Testing alternative theories of gravity
- What can GW observations say about astrophysical models of the formation and evolution of compact object binaries?
 - What are the expected mass and spin distributions of binary mergers and how do they differ from one model to another
 - Is there a gap in the distribution of masses of neutron stars and black holes and can future
 GW observations measure this gap?
 - What is the mechanism behind core_collapse supernovae, especially core bounce and shock revival when a black hole forms?
- Measuring the neutron star equation of state from binary neutron star mergers
- How well can we measure cosmological parameters with GW observations?
- Following_up GW events with astronomical telescopes
 - The EM transient background