# Quantitative geometry of hyperbolic manifolds, II

Peter Shalen

December 18, 2012

It follows that any geometrically defined invariant of such a manifold is a topological invariant.

It follows that any geometrically defined invariant of such a manifold is a topological invariant. Natural examples are the volume, the diameter, and the minimum injectivity radius.

It follows that any geometrically defined invariant of such a manifold is a topological invariant. Natural examples are the volume, the diameter, and the minimum injectivity radius.

A very natural example is to relate these geometrically defined invariants to classical topological invariants such as homology.

It follows that any geometrically defined invariant of such a manifold is a topological invariant. Natural examples are the volume, the diameter, and the minimum injectivity radius.

A very natural example is to relate these geometrically defined invariants to classical topological invariants such as homology. Relating the geometry of a manifold to its homology is a very classical theme in differential geometry.

Thurston showed, using results due to Jorgensen and Gromov, that the set of (finite) volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , is well-ordered (by the usual order relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Its ordinal type is  $\omega^{\omega}$ .

Thurston showed, using results due to Jorgensen and Gromov, that the set of (finite) volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , is well-ordered (by the usual order relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Its ordinal type is  $\omega^{\omega}$ . This shows that the class of hyperbolic 3-manifolds is very rich.

Thurston showed, using results due to Jorgensen and Gromov, that the set of (finite) volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , is well-ordered (by the usual order relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Its ordinal type is  $\omega^{\omega}$ . This shows that the class of hyperbolic 3-manifolds is very rich.

Thus the finite volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds are in natural bijective correspondence with ordinal numbers less than  $\omega^{\omega}$ .

Thurston showed, using results due to Jorgensen and Gromov, that the set of (finite) volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , is well-ordered (by the usual order relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Its ordinal type is  $\omega^{\omega}$ . This shows that the class of hyperbolic 3-manifolds is very rich.

Thus the finite volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds are in natural bijective correspondence with ordinal numbers less than  $\omega^{\omega}$ .

This means that the results below, which relate volume to the rank of homology, may be thought of either in terms of real numbers or in terms of ordinals.

Thurston showed, using results due to Jorgensen and Gromov, that the set of (finite) volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , is well-ordered (by the usual order relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Its ordinal type is  $\omega^{\omega}$ . This shows that the class of hyperbolic 3-manifolds is very rich.

Thus the finite volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds are in natural bijective correspondence with ordinal numbers less than  $\omega^{\omega}$ .

This means that the results below, which relate volume to the rank of homology, may be thought of either in terms of real numbers or in terms of ordinals. (The real number corresponding to a given ordinal is not known, but can typically being bounded above by some number R, explicitly producing a rich enough class of manifolds with volumes < R.)

In the following statements,

• *M* will be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold,

In the following statements,

- *M* will be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold,
- *V* will denote the volume of *M*,

In the following statements,

- *M* will be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold,
- V will denote the volume of M,
- $\alpha < \omega^{\omega}$  will denote the ordinal corresponding to V, and

In the following statements,

- *M* will be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold,
- V will denote the volume of M,
- $\alpha < \omega^{\omega}$  will denote the ordinal corresponding to V, and
- $r_p$  will denote the rank of  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  for any prime p.

In the following statements,

- *M* will be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold,
- V will denote the volume of M,
- $\alpha < \omega^{\omega}$  will denote the ordinal corresponding to V, and
- $r_p$  will denote the rank of  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  for any prime p.

Theorem (Agol-Culler-S.) If  $V \le 1.22$  then  $r_p \le 2$  for every odd prime p, and  $r_2 \le 3$ .

In the following statements,

- *M* will be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold,
- V will denote the volume of M,
- $\alpha < \omega^{\omega}$  will denote the ordinal corresponding to V, and
- $r_p$  will denote the rank of  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  for any prime p.

#### Theorem (Agol-Culler-S.) If $V \le 1.22$ then $r_p \le 2$ for every odd prime p, and $r_2 \le 3$ .

In particular this result applies when  $\alpha \leq 3$ , because there are three known volumes less than 1.22.

In the following statements,

- *M* will be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold,
- V will denote the volume of M,
- $\alpha < \omega^{\omega}$  will denote the ordinal corresponding to V, and
- $r_p$  will denote the rank of  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  for any prime p.

#### Theorem (Agol-Culler-S.) If $V \le 1.22$ then $r_p \le 2$ for every odd prime p, and $r_2 \le 3$ .

In particular this result applies when  $\alpha \leq 3$ , because there are three known volumes less than 1.22.

The bound on  $r_p$  is sharp when p = 5.

In particular this result applies when  $\alpha \leq 8\omega$ , because there are eight known volumes which are less than 3.08 and are known to correspond to limit ordinals.

In particular this result applies when  $\alpha \leq 8\omega$ , because there are eight known volumes which are less than 3.08 and are known to correspond to limit ordinals.

This result does not appear to be sharp. Among examples that we know of with  $V \leq 3.08$ , the largest value of  $r_2$  that occurs is 3.

In particular this result applies when  $\alpha \leq 8\omega$ , because there are eight known volumes which are less than 3.08 and are known to correspond to limit ordinals.

This result does not appear to be sharp. Among examples that we know of with  $V \leq 3.08$ , the largest value of  $r_2$  that occurs is 3.

Theorem (Culler-S.) If  $V \le 3.44$  then  $r_2 \le 7$ .

In particular this result applies when  $\alpha \leq 8\omega$ , because there are eight known volumes which are less than 3.08 and are known to correspond to limit ordinals.

This result does not appear to be sharp. Among examples that we know of with  $V \leq 3.08$ , the largest value of  $r_2$  that occurs is 3.

```
Theorem (Culler-S.)
```

If  $V \le 3.44$  then  $r_2 \le 7$ .

In particular this result applies when  $\alpha \leq 42\omega$ , because there are 42 known volumes which are less than 3.44 and are known to correspond to limit ordinals.

In particular this result applies when  $\alpha \leq 8\omega$ , because there are eight known volumes which are less than 3.08 and are known to correspond to limit ordinals.

This result does not appear to be sharp. Among examples that we know of with  $V \leq 3.08$ , the largest value of  $r_2$  that occurs is 3.

```
Theorem (Culler-S.)
```

If  $V \le 3.44$  then  $r_2 \le 7$ .

In particular this result applies when  $\alpha \leq 42\omega$ , because there are 42 known volumes which are less than 3.44 and are known to correspond to limit ordinals.

Again this result does not appear to be sharp.

The *rank* of a finitely generated group is defined to be the minimal cardinality of a generating set of the group.

The *rank* of a finitely generated group is defined to be the minimal cardinality of a generating set of the group.

A group  $\Gamma$  is said to be *k*-free, where *k* is a given positive integer, if every finitely generated subgroup of  $\Gamma$  having rank at most *k* is free.

The *rank* of a finitely generated group is defined to be the minimal cardinality of a generating set of the group.

A group  $\Gamma$  is said to be *k*-free, where *k* is a given positive integer, if every finitely generated subgroup of  $\Gamma$  having rank at most *k* is free.

When  $\pi_1(M) \cong \Gamma$  is k-free, the  $\log(2k - 1)$  theorem can sometimes be used to deduce geometric information about M.

The *rank* of a finitely generated group is defined to be the minimal cardinality of a generating set of the group.

A group  $\Gamma$  is said to be *k*-free, where *k* is a given positive integer, if every finitely generated subgroup of  $\Gamma$  having rank at most *k* is free.

When  $\pi_1(M) \cong \Gamma$  is k-free, the  $\log(2k - 1)$  theorem can sometimes be used to deduce geometric information about M. On the other hand, k-freeness of  $\pi_1(M)$  can be related to more familiar topological invariants of M (such as homology).

The *rank* of a finitely generated group is defined to be the minimal cardinality of a generating set of the group.

A group  $\Gamma$  is said to be *k*-free, where *k* is a given positive integer, if every finitely generated subgroup of  $\Gamma$  having rank at most *k* is free.

When  $\pi_1(M) \cong \Gamma$  is k-free, the  $\log(2k - 1)$  theorem can sometimes be used to deduce geometric information about M. On the other hand, k-freeness of  $\pi_1(M)$  can be related to more familiar topological invariants of M (such as homology). Both of these connections involve novel uses of ideas from classical topology.

Let *P* be a point of a compact hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ , and let *p* be a point of  $\mathbb{H}^3$  that maps to *P* under the quotient map.

Let *P* be a point of a compact hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ , and let *p* be a point of  $\mathbb{H}^3$  that maps to *P* under the quotient map.

Let  $\lambda > 0$  be given. There is a hyperbolic ball of radius  $\lambda/2$  about P if and only if dist $(p, \gamma \cdot p) \ge \lambda$  for every element  $\gamma \ne 1$  of  $\Gamma$ .

Let *P* be a point of a compact hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ , and let *p* be a point of  $\mathbb{H}^3$  that maps to *P* under the quotient map.

Let  $\lambda > 0$  be given. There is a hyperbolic ball of radius  $\lambda/2$  about P if and only if dist $(p, \gamma \cdot p) \ge \lambda$  for every element  $\gamma \ne 1$  of  $\Gamma$ .

Every non-trivial element of  $\Gamma$  lies in a unique maximal cyclic subgroup.

Let *P* be a point of a compact hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ , and let *p* be a point of  $\mathbb{H}^3$  that maps to *P* under the quotient map.

Let  $\lambda > 0$  be given. There is a hyperbolic ball of radius  $\lambda/2$  about P if and only if dist $(p, \gamma \cdot p) \ge \lambda$  for every element  $\gamma \ne 1$  of  $\Gamma$ .

Every non-trivial element of  $\Gamma$  lies in a unique maximal cyclic subgroup. Hence P is the center of a hyperbolic ball of radius  $\lambda/2$  if and only if

$$p \notin \bigcup_C Z_\lambda(C),$$

where C ranges over the maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , and

$$Z_{\lambda}(C)$$
: = { $z \in \mathbb{H}^3$  : dist $(z, \gamma \cdot z) < \lambda$  for some  $\gamma \in C - \{1\}$ }.
#### **Displacement Cylinders**

Let *P* be a point of a compact hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ , and let *p* be a point of  $\mathbb{H}^3$  that maps to *P* under the quotient map.

Let  $\lambda > 0$  be given. There is a hyperbolic ball of radius  $\lambda/2$  about P if and only if dist $(p, \gamma \cdot p) \ge \lambda$  for every element  $\gamma \ne 1$  of  $\Gamma$ .

Every non-trivial element of  $\Gamma$  lies in a unique maximal cyclic subgroup. Hence P is the center of a hyperbolic ball of radius  $\lambda/2$  if and only if

$$p \notin \bigcup_C Z_\lambda(C),$$

where C ranges over the maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , and

$$Z_{\lambda}(C)$$
: = { $z \in \mathbb{H}^3$  : dist $(z, \gamma \cdot z) < \lambda$  for some  $\gamma \in C - \{1\}$ }.

Hence *M* contains a ball of radius  $\lambda/2$  if and only if the sets  $Z_{\lambda}(C)$  fail to cover  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

This illustrates the relevance of the family of sets  $(Z_{\lambda}(C))$ , indexed by the maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , to studying the geometry of M.

This illustrates the relevance of the family of sets  $(Z_{\lambda}(C))$ , indexed by the maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , to studying the geometry of M.

Each  $Z_{\lambda}(C)$  is a "cylinder" in the following sense: there exist a hyperbolic line  $A_C$  (the common "axis" of the non-trivial elements of C) and a number r depending on C and  $\lambda$  such that

$$Z_{\lambda}(C) = \{z \in \mathbb{H}^3 : \operatorname{dist}(z, A_C) < r\}.$$

If we take  $\lambda = \log(2k - 1)$ , the  $\log(2k - 1)$  theorem implies (formally) that if  $\Gamma$  is *k*-free and if  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  are maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$  such that

$$Z_{\lambda}(C_1) \cap \cdots \cap Z_{\lambda}(C_k) \neq \emptyset$$

then the free group generated by  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  has rank < k.

If we take  $\lambda = \log(2k - 1)$ , the  $\log(2k - 1)$  theorem implies (formally) that if  $\Gamma$  is *k*-free and if  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  are maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$  such that

$$Z_{\lambda}(C_1) \cap \cdots \cap Z_{\lambda}(C_k) \neq \emptyset$$

then the free group generated by  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  has rank < k.

(Recall the relevant part of the  $\log(2k - 1)$  Theorem: Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer and let F be a discrete subgroup of  $\text{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$  which is freely generated by elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ .

If we take  $\lambda = \log(2k - 1)$ , the  $\log(2k - 1)$  theorem implies (formally) that if  $\Gamma$  is *k*-free and if  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  are maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$  such that

$$Z_{\lambda}(C_1) \cap \cdots \cap Z_{\lambda}(C_k) \neq \emptyset$$

then the free group generated by  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  has rank < k.

(Recall the relevant part of the  $\log(2k - 1)$  Theorem: Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer and let F be a discrete subgroup of  $\operatorname{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$  which is freely generated by elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ . Let p be any point of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

If we take  $\lambda = \log(2k - 1)$ , the  $\log(2k - 1)$  theorem implies (formally) that if  $\Gamma$  is *k*-free and if  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  are maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$  such that

$$Z_{\lambda}(C_1) \cap \cdots \cap Z_{\lambda}(C_k) \neq \emptyset$$

then the free group generated by  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  has rank < k.

(Recall the relevant part of the  $\log(2k - 1)$  Theorem: Let  $k \ge 2$ be an integer and let F be a discrete subgroup of  $\operatorname{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ which is freely generated by elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ . Let p be any point of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . Then for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  we have  $\operatorname{dist}(p, x_i \cdot p) \ge \log(2k - 1)$ .)

If we take  $\lambda = \log(2k - 1)$ , the  $\log(2k - 1)$  theorem implies (formally) that if  $\Gamma$  is *k*-free and if  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  are maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$  such that

$$Z_{\lambda}(C_1) \cap \cdots \cap Z_{\lambda}(C_k) \neq \emptyset$$

then the free group generated by  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  has rank < k.

(Recall the relevant part of the  $\log(2k - 1)$  Theorem: Let  $k \ge 2$ be an integer and let F be a discrete subgroup of  $\operatorname{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ which is freely generated by elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ . Let p be any point of  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . Then for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  we have  $\operatorname{dist}(p, x_i \cdot p) \ge \log(2k - 1)$ .)

These ingredients interact via topology.

Given a discrete torsion-free (purely loxodromic) subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\operatorname{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$  and a number  $\lambda > 0$ , we define an abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{\lambda}(\Gamma)$  as follows:

Given a discrete torsion-free (purely loxodromic) subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\operatorname{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$  and a number  $\lambda > 0$ , we define an abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{\lambda}(\Gamma)$  as follows:

• the vertices of K are the maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$ ;

Given a discrete torsion-free (purely loxodromic) subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\operatorname{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$  and a number  $\lambda > 0$ , we define an abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{\lambda}(\Gamma)$  as follows:

- the vertices of K are the maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$ ; and
- $(C_0, \ldots, C_m)$  is an *m*-simplex if and only if  $\bigcap_{i=0}^m Z_\lambda(C_i) \neq \emptyset$ .

Given a discrete torsion-free (purely loxodromic) subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\operatorname{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$  and a number  $\lambda > 0$ , we define an abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{\lambda}(\Gamma)$  as follows:

- the vertices of K are the maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$ ; and
- $(C_0, \ldots, C_m)$  is an *m*-simplex if and only if  $\bigcap_{i=0}^m Z_\lambda(C_i) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group. By a  $\Gamma$ -labeled complex we shall mean a simplicial complex K equipped with a family  $(C_v)_v$  of infinite cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$  indexed by the vertices of K.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group. By a  $\Gamma$ -labeled complex we shall mean a simplicial complex K equipped with a family  $(C_v)_v$  of infinite cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$  indexed by the vertices of K.

By a *labeling-compatible action of*  $\Gamma$  *on* K we shall mean a simplicial action of  $\Gamma$  on K such that for each vertex v of K we have  $C_{\gamma \cdot v} = \gamma C_v \gamma^{-1}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group. By a  $\Gamma$ -labeled complex we shall mean a simplicial complex K equipped with a family  $(C_v)_v$  of infinite cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$  indexed by the vertices of K.

By a *labeling-compatible action of*  $\Gamma$  *on* K we shall mean a simplicial action of  $\Gamma$  on K such that for each vertex v of K we have  $C_{\gamma \cdot v} = \gamma C_v \gamma^{-1}$ .

For an (open) *m*-simplex  $\Delta$  with vertices  $v_0, \ldots, v_m$  let  $\Theta(\Delta)$  denote the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $C_{v_0}, \ldots, C_{v_m}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group. By a  $\Gamma$ -labeled complex we shall mean a simplicial complex K equipped with a family  $(C_v)_v$  of infinite cyclic subgroups of  $\Gamma$  indexed by the vertices of K.

By a *labeling-compatible action of*  $\Gamma$  *on* K we shall mean a simplicial action of  $\Gamma$  on K such that for each vertex v of K we have  $C_{\gamma \cdot v} = \gamma C_v \gamma^{-1}$ .

For an (open) *m*-simplex  $\Delta$  with vertices  $v_0, \ldots, v_m$  let  $\Theta(\Delta)$  denote the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $C_{v_0}, \ldots, C_{v_m}$ .

Thus a discrete, purely loxodromic subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\text{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$  and a number  $\lambda > 0$  determine a  $\Gamma$ -labeled complex K and a labeling-compatible action of  $\Gamma$  on K.

The consequence of the log(2k - 1) theorem stated above implies:

(\*) If  $\Gamma$  is *k*-free and we take  $\lambda = \log(2k - 1)$ , then for every (k - 1)-simplex  $\Delta = (C_0, \ldots, C_{k-1})$ , the free group  $\Theta(\Delta)$  has rank less than *k*.

The consequence of the log(2k - 1) theorem stated above implies:

(\*) If  $\Gamma$  is *k*-free and we take  $\lambda = \log(2k - 1)$ , then for every (k - 1)-simplex  $\Delta = (C_0, \ldots, C_{k-1})$ , the free group  $\Theta(\Delta)$  has rank less than *k*.

If *M* does not contain a ball of radius  $\lambda/2$ , the displacement cylinders Z(C) cover  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

The consequence of the log(2k-1) theorem stated above implies:

(\*) If  $\Gamma$  is *k*-free and we take  $\lambda = \log(2k - 1)$ , then for every (k - 1)-simplex  $\Delta = (C_0, \ldots, C_{k-1})$ , the free group  $\Theta(\Delta)$  has rank less than *k*.

If *M* does not contain a ball of radius  $\lambda/2$ , the displacement cylinders Z(C) cover  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . Since the Z(C) are convex, they and their non-empty finite intersections are contractible. A theorem due to Leray then implies that *K* is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and therefore contractible.

The consequence of the log(2k - 1) theorem stated above implies:

(\*) If  $\Gamma$  is *k*-free and we take  $\lambda = \log(2k - 1)$ , then for every (k - 1)-simplex  $\Delta = (C_0, \ldots, C_{k-1})$ , the free group  $\Theta(\Delta)$  has rank less than *k*.

If *M* does not contain a ball of radius  $\lambda/2$ , the displacement cylinders Z(C) cover  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . Since the Z(C) are convex, they and their non-empty finite intersections are contractible. A theorem due to Leray then implies that *K* is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and therefore contractible.

Thus topological-combinatorial-group-theoretical results about contractible  $\Gamma$ -labeled complexes which admit labeling-compatible  $\Gamma$ -actions and satisfy (\*) can imply geometric results, such as the existence of balls of certain radii in hyperbolic manifolds whose fundamental groups satisfy such conditions as *k*-freeness for suitable values of *k*.

These methods, and their refinements, were used to prove:

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 2-free then M contains a hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 3)/2$ .

These methods, and their refinements, were used to prove:

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 2-free then M contains a hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 3)/2$ .

#### Theorem (Anderson-Canary-Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 3-free then M contains a hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 5)/2$ .

These methods, and their refinements, were used to prove:

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 2-free then M contains a hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 3)/2$ .

#### Theorem (Anderson-Canary-Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 3-free then M contains a hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 5)/2$ .

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 4-free then M contains a point P such that all loops of length  $< \log 7$  based at P define elements of a single cyclic subgroup of  $\pi_1(M, P)$ .

These methods, and their refinements, were used to prove:

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 2-free then M contains a hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 3)/2$ .

#### Theorem (Anderson-Canary-Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 3-free then M contains a hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 5)/2$ .

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 4-free then M contains a point P such that all loops of length  $< \log 7$  based at P define elements of a single cyclic subgroup of  $\pi_1(M, P)$ .

If this cyclic subgroup were trivial, there would be a hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 7)/2$  about *P*. The weaker conclusion is still geometrically meaningful, and gives volume estimates.

Applications of this method, cont'd

For example, here is the proof of the second statement.

# Applications of this method, cont'd

For example, here is the proof of the second statement. Write  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$  discrete, purely loxodromic, 3-free.

# Applications of this method, cont'd

For example, here is the proof of the second statement. Write  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$  discrete, purely loxodromic, 3-free. Define K as above. For example, here is the proof of the second statement.

Write  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$  discrete, purely loxodromic, 3-free. Define *K* as above. Assume that *M* contains no hyperbolic ball of radius (log 5)/2, so that *K* is contractible.

For example, here is the proof of the second statement.

Write  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$  discrete, purely loxodromic, 3-free. Define K as above. Assume that M contains no hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 5)/2$ , so that K is contractible. Then the proposition above says that  $\Gamma$  is free of rank at most 2. For example, here is the proof of the second statement.

Write  $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$  discrete, purely loxodromic, 3-free. Define K as above. Assume that M contains no hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 5)/2$ , so that K is contractible. Then the proposition above says that  $\Gamma$  is free of rank at most 2. But then  $H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , and hence M is not closed.

These results raise the question of which 3-manifolds have k-free fundamental groups.

These results raise the question of which 3-manifolds have k-free fundamental groups.

#### Theorem (S.-Wagreich)

If *M* is a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold, *k* is an integer, and  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  has rank at least k + 2 for some prime *p*, then  $\pi_1(M)$  either is *k*-free or has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group for some *g* with 1 < g < k.

These results raise the question of which 3-manifolds have k-free fundamental groups.

#### Theorem (S.-Wagreich)

If M is a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold, k is an integer, and  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  has rank at least k + 2 for some prime p, then  $\pi_1(M)$  either is k-free or has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group for some g with 1 < g < k.

This uses classical 3-manifold topology and some interesting computations in the homology of groups.
*k*-freeness, rank of  $H_1$ , and low-genus incompressible surfaces

These results raise the question of which 3-manifolds have k-free fundamental groups.

#### Theorem (S.-Wagreich)

If M is a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold, k is an integer, and  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  has rank at least k + 2 for some prime p, then  $\pi_1(M)$  either is k-free or has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group for some g with 1 < g < k.

This uses classical 3-manifold topology and some interesting computations in the homology of groups.

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

Let g be an integer  $\geq 2$ . Let M be a closed, simple ( $\iff$  hyperbolic) 3-manifold such that  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at least  $\max(3g - 1, 6)$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group. Then M contains a closed surface F with  $1 < \text{genus}(F) \leq g$  which is incompressible in the sense that the inclusion homomorphism  $\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is injective.

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

Let g be an integer  $\geq 2$ . Let M be a closed, simple ( $\iff$  hyperbolic) 3-manifold such that  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at least  $\max(3g - 1, 6)$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group. Then M contains a closed surface F with  $1 < \text{genus}(F) \leq g$  which is incompressible in the sense that the inclusion homomorphism  $\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is injective.

This is a fancier version of classical results due to Papkyriakopoulos about removing self-intersections of surfaces in 3-manifolds. It depends on the S.-Wagreich theorem stated above, a deep result due to Gabai, and Fisher's inequality from combinatorics.

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

Let g be an integer  $\geq 2$ . Let M be a closed, simple ( $\iff$  hyperbolic) 3-manifold such that  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at least  $\max(3g - 1, 6)$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group. Then M contains a closed surface F with  $1 < \text{genus}(F) \leq g$  which is incompressible in the sense that the inclusion homomorphism  $\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is injective.

This is a fancier version of classical results due to Papkyriakopoulos about removing self-intersections of surfaces in 3-manifolds. It depends on the S.-Wagreich theorem stated above, a deep result due to Gabai, and Fisher's inequality from combinatorics.

When M does contain a low-genus incompressible surface, a result due to Agol-Storm-Thurston often gives a good lower bound for the volume of M. This result depends on Perelman's work on the Ricci flow with surgeries.

Let F be an incompressible surface in a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M.

Let F be an incompressible surface in a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M. Let X denote the manifold-with-boundary obtained by splitting M along F.

Let F be an incompressible surface in a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M. Let X denote the manifold-with-boundary obtained by splitting M along F. Then up to isotopy, X has a well-defined *characteristic submanifold*  $\Sigma$ .

Let F be an incompressible surface in a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M. Let X denote the manifold-with-boundary obtained by splitting M along F. Then up to isotopy, X has a well-defined *characteristic submanifold*  $\Sigma$ .

Each component of  $\Sigma$  is either an *I*-bundle meeting  $\partial X$  in its horizontal boundary, or a solid torus meeting  $\partial X$  in a collection of disjoint annuli that are homotopically non-trivial in X.

Let F be an incompressible surface in a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M. Let X denote the manifold-with-boundary obtained by splitting M along F. Then up to isotopy, X has a well-defined *characteristic submanifold*  $\Sigma$ .

Each component of  $\Sigma$  is either an *I*-bundle meeting  $\partial X$  in its horizontal boundary, or a solid torus meeting  $\partial X$  in a collection of disjoint annuli that are homotopically non-trivial in *X*. We can characterize  $\Sigma$  by the properties that (a) every essential annulus in *X* is isotopic to one contained in  $\Sigma$ ,

Let F be an incompressible surface in a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M. Let X denote the manifold-with-boundary obtained by splitting M along F. Then up to isotopy, X has a well-defined *characteristic submanifold*  $\Sigma$ .

Each component of  $\Sigma$  is either an *I*-bundle meeting  $\partial X$  in its horizontal boundary, or a solid torus meeting  $\partial X$  in a collection of disjoint annuli that are homotopically non-trivial in *X*. We can characterize  $\Sigma$  by the properties that (a) every essential annulus in *X* is isotopic to one contained in  $\Sigma$ , and (b) no union of a proper subset of the components of  $\Sigma$  satisfies (a).

Let F be an incompressible surface in a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M. Let X denote the manifold-with-boundary obtained by splitting M along F. Then up to isotopy, X has a well-defined *characteristic submanifold*  $\Sigma$ .

Each component of  $\Sigma$  is either an *I*-bundle meeting  $\partial X$  in its horizontal boundary, or a solid torus meeting  $\partial X$  in a collection of disjoint annuli that are homotopically non-trivial in *X*. We can characterize  $\Sigma$  by the properties that (a) every essential annulus in *X* is isotopic to one contained in  $\Sigma$ , and (b) no union of a proper subset of the components of  $\Sigma$  satisfies (a).

Now define kish(M, F) (the "kishkes" of X, sometimes called the "guts") to be the union of all components of  $\overline{X - \Sigma}$  that have negative Euler characteristic.

Let  $V_8 = 3.66$  denote the volume of a regular ideal octahedron in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

Let  $V_8 = 3.66$  denote the volume of a regular ideal octahedron in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

If X is a compact triangulable space, I'll set  $\overline{\chi}(X) = -\chi(X)$ , where  $\chi$  denotes Euler characteristic.

Let  $V_8 = 3.66$  denote the volume of a regular ideal octahedron in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

If X is a compact triangulable space, I'll set  $\overline{\chi}(X) = -\chi(X)$ , where  $\chi$  denotes Euler characteristic.

#### Theorem (Agol-Storm-Thurston)

Let F be a closed, orientable incompressible surface in a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then

 $\operatorname{Vol} M \geq V_8 \cdot \overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)).$ 

Let  $V_8 = 3.66$  denote the volume of a regular ideal octahedron in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ .

If X is a compact triangulable space, I'll set  $\overline{\chi}(X) = -\chi(X)$ , where  $\chi$  denotes Euler characteristic.

#### Theorem (Agol-Storm-Thurston)

Let F be a closed, orientable incompressible surface in a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then

 $\operatorname{Vol} M \geq V_8 \cdot \overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)).$ 

I will illustrate how the above ingredients fit together to prove the theorems that I stated at the beginning.

Here is one of the theorems that I stated at the beginning.

Theorem (Culler-S.)

Let M be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. If  $\operatorname{Vol} M \leq 3.08$  then the rank of  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is at most 5.

Here is one of the theorems that I stated at the beginning.

Theorem (Culler-S.)

Let M be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. If  $\operatorname{Vol} M \leq 3.08$  then the rank of  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is at most 5.

Equivalently, this says that if  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at least 6 then  $\operatorname{Vol} M > 3.08$ .

Here is one of the theorems that I stated at the beginning.

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

Let M be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. If  $\operatorname{Vol} M \leq 3.08$  then the rank of  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is at most 5.

Equivalently, this says that if  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at least 6 then  $\operatorname{Vol} M > 3.08$ . To prove this, first recall:

### Theorem (S.-Wagreich)

If 3-manifold, k is an integer, and  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  has rank at least k + 2 for some prime p, then  $\pi_1(M)$  either is k-free or has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group for some g with 1 < g < k.

Here is one of the theorems that I stated at the beginning.

#### Theorem (Culler-S.)

Let M be a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. If  $\operatorname{Vol} M \leq 3.08$  then the rank of  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is at most 5.

Equivalently, this says that if  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at least 6 then  $\operatorname{Vol} M > 3.08$ . To prove this, first recall:

#### Theorem (S.-Wagreich)

If 3-manifold, k is an integer, and  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  has rank at least k + 2 for some prime p, then  $\pi_1(M)$  either is k-free or has a subgroup isomorphic to a genus-g surface group for some g with 1 < g < k.

Applying this with p = 2 and k = 3, we deduce that  $\pi_1(M)$  either is 3-free or contains a genus-2 surface group.

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 3-free, we use another one of the theorems I stated earlier:

Theorem (Anderson-Canary-Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 3-free then M contains a hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 5)/2$ .

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 3-free, we use another one of the theorems I stated earlier:

#### Theorem (Anderson-Canary-Culler-S.)

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is 3-free then M contains a hyperbolic ball of radius  $(\log 5)/2$ .

A theorem due to Böröczky and Florian about sphere-packing in hyperbolic space implies that if M contains a hyperbolic ball of radius (log 5)/2 then Vol M > 3.08.

Now suppose that  $\pi_1(M)$  contains a genus-2 surface group. In this case we use:

### Theorem (Culler-S.)

Let g be an integer  $\geq 2$ . Let M be a closed, simple (  $\iff$  hyperbolic) 3-manifold such that  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at least  $\max(3g - 1, 6)$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  contains a genus-g surface group. Then M contains a closed incompressible surface F with  $1 < \operatorname{genus}(F) \leq g$ .

Now suppose that  $\pi_1(M)$  contains a genus-2 surface group. In this case we use:

### Theorem (Culler-S.)

Let g be an integer  $\geq 2$ . Let M be a closed, simple (  $\iff$  hyperbolic) 3-manifold such that  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at least  $\max(3g - 1, 6)$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  contains a genus-g surface group. Then M contains a closed incompressible surface F with  $1 < \operatorname{genus}(F) \leq g$ .

Applying this with k = 2 we deduce that M contains a closed incompressible surface F of genus 2.

Now suppose that  $\pi_1(M)$  contains a genus-2 surface group. In this case we use:

### Theorem (Culler-S.)

Let g be an integer  $\geq 2$ . Let M be a closed, simple (  $\iff$  hyperbolic) 3-manifold such that  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at least  $\max(3g - 1, 6)$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  contains a genus-g surface group. Then M contains a closed incompressible surface F with  $1 < \operatorname{genus}(F) \leq g$ .

Applying this with k = 2 we deduce that M contains a closed incompressible surface F of genus 2.

The next step is to apply the Agol-Storm-Thurston theorem:

Theorem (Agol-Storm-Thurston)

Let F be a closed, orientable incompressible surface in a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then

 $\operatorname{Vol} M \geq V_8 \cdot \overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)).$ 

The next step is to apply the Agol-Storm-Thurston theorem:

Theorem (Agol-Storm-Thurston)

Let F be a closed, orientable incompressible surface in a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then

 $\operatorname{Vol} M \geq V_8 \cdot \overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)).$ 

If  $\overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) \geq 1$ , the theorem implies that

 $Vol M \ge V_8 = 3.66 \dots > 3.08.$ 

The next step is to apply the Agol-Storm-Thurston theorem:

Theorem (Agol-Storm-Thurston)

Let F be a closed, orientable incompressible surface in a closed, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then

 $\operatorname{Vol} M \geq V_8 \cdot \overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)).$ 

If  $\overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) \geq 1$ , the theorem implies that

 $Vol M \ge V_8 = 3.66 \dots > 3.08.$ 

If  $\overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) = \emptyset$ , and the manifold X obtained by splitting M along F is a (possibly disconnected) book of *I*-bundles.

If  $\overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) = \emptyset$ , and the manifold X obtained by splitting M along F is a (possibly disconnected) book of *I*-bundles. This means that X is made up of a union of mutually disjoint *I*-bundles over surfaces ("pages") and a union of mutually disjoint solid tori ("bindings"),

If  $\overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) = \emptyset$ , and the manifold X obtained by splitting M along F is a (possibly disconnected) book of *I*-bundles. This means that X is made up of a union of mutually disjoint *I*-bundles over surfaces ("pages") and a union of mutually disjoint solid tori ("bindings"), in such a way that the intersection of any binding B with any page P is a vertical annulus in the boundary of P which is homotopically non-trivial in the boundary of B.

If  $\overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) = \emptyset$ , and the manifold X obtained by splitting M along F is a (possibly disconnected) book of *I*-bundles. This means that X is made up of a union of mutually disjoint *I*-bundles over surfaces ("pages") and a union of mutually disjoint solid tori ("bindings"), in such a way that the intersection of any binding B with any page P is a vertical annulus in the boundary of P which is homotopically non-trivial in the boundary of B. In this case an elementary topological argument shows that  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at most 5.

If  $\overline{\chi}(\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{kish}(M, F)) = \emptyset$ , and the manifold X obtained by splitting M along F is a (possibly disconnected) book of *I*-bundles. This means that X is made up of a union of mutually disjoint *I*-bundles over surfaces ("pages") and a union of mutually disjoint solid tori ("bindings"), in such a way that the intersection of any binding B with any page P is a vertical annulus in the boundary of P which is homotopically non-trivial in the boundary of B. In this case an elementary topological argument shows that  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  has rank at most 5.

The other results I mentioned at the beginning are proved by putting together the ingredients I have described in a similar way.